



**Project Team**

Congestion Relief & Bus Rapid Transit Projects

# **APPENDIX E4**

## **I-405, SR 520 TO SR 522 KIRKLAND NICKEL – ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (FEBRUARY 2005)**

### **I-405, SR520 to SR522 Stage 1 (Kirkland Stage 1)**

**Draft RFP**  
**March 22, 2005**



**Washington State  
Department of Transportation**





# I-405, SR 520 to SR 522 - Kirkland Nickel Project




Corridor Program

Congestion Relief & Bus Rapid Transit Projects

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

February 2005





## **I-405, SR 520 TO SR 522 – KIRKLAND NICKEL PROJECT**

---

*King County, Washington*

### *Environmental Assessment*

Submitted pursuant to Section 42 U.S.C 4332 (2) (c) and 23 C.F.R. Part 771

By the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Washington Division, and the Washington State Department of Transportation

2/17/05  
(Date of Approval)

Megan White  
Megan White, P.E.

Washington State Department of Transportation,  
Director, Environmental Services Offices

2/17/05  
(Date of Approval)

James Leonard  
James Leonard, P.E.

Federal Highway Administration, Urban Area Engineer

The following persons may be contacted for additional information concerning this document:

James Leonard, P.E.  
Federal Highway Administration  
711 South Capitol Way, Suite 501  
Olympia, WA 98501  
(360) 753-9408

Christina Martinez  
Washington State Department of Transportation  
600 108th Avenue NE, Suite 405  
Bellevue, Washington 98004  
(425) 456-8526

In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), this Environmental Assessment (EA) describes the environmental consequences of the addition of one northbound general-purpose lane on I-405 from the NE 70th Street interchange to the NE 124th Street interchange, the addition of one southbound general-purpose lane on I-405 from the SR 522 interchange to the SR 520 interchange, and reconfiguration of the interchange at NE 116th Street.

Copies of this document may be purchased for \$96.00, which does not exceed the cost of reproduction. Comments are requested by March 25, 2005 and should be returned to:

Christina Martinez  
Washington State Department of Transportation  
600 108th Avenue NE  
Suite 405  
Bellevue, Washington 98004

or email [martinezc@wsdot.wa.gov](mailto:martinezc@wsdot.wa.gov)

A public hearing on this environmental assessment will be held on March 15, 2005 at the City of Kirkland Maintenance Center, 915 8th Street, Kirkland, from 4 PM to 7 PM.





## **Title VI**

WSDOT ensures full compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 by prohibiting discrimination against any person on the basis of race, color, national origin or sex in the provision of benefits and services resulting from its federally assisted programs and activities. For questions regarding WSDOT's Title VI Program, you may contact the Department's Title VI Coordinator at 360.705.7098.

## **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Information**

If you would like copies of this document in an alternative format -- large print, Braille, cassette tape, or on computer disk, please call 360.705.7097. Persons who are deaf or hard of hearing, please call the Washington State Telecommunications Relay Service, or Tele-Braille at 7-1-1, Voice 1.800.833.6384, and ask to be connected to 360.705.7097.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

<b>Acronyms.....</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>Chapter 1: Executive Summary .....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
<i>Where is the Kirkland Nickel Project located?.....</i>	<i>1-1</i>
<i>What is the Kirkland Nickel Project?.....</i>	<i>1-1</i>
<i>Why are we building this project? .....</i>	<i>1-2</i>
<i>When will construction begin and how long will it take?.....</i>	<i>1-2</i>
<i>How will the project affect the built environment? .....</i>	<i>1-2</i>
<i>How will the project affect the natural environment? .....</i>	<i>1-3</i>
<b>Chapter 2: What is the Purpose and Need of the Project? .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
<i>Why do we need the Kirkland Nickel Project? .....</i>	<i>2-1</i>
<i>What is the I-405 Corridor Program and how does the Kirkland Nickel     Project relate to it .....</i>	<i>2-1</i>
<i>What happens if the Kirkland Nickel Project is not built? .....</i>	<i>2-2</i>
<i>What other improvements are being implemented as part of the region's     transportation planning process? .....</i>	<i>2-2</i>
<b>Chapter 3: Developing the Alternatives .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
<i>How did we advance from the I-405 Corridor Program to the Kirkland Nickel     Project? .....</i>	<i>3-1</i>
<i>How was the Kirkland Nickel Project developed? .....</i>	<i>3-2</i>
<i>What alternatives are studied in this environmental assessment? .....</i>	<i>3-3</i>
<i>What is the No Build Alternative? .....</i>	<i>3-3</i>
<i>What environmental issues influenced the project design? .....</i>	<i>3-3</i>
<i>Why is the Kirkland Nickel Project being evaluated in this environmental     assessment? .....</i>	<i>3-4</i>
<i>How has the public been involved? .....</i>	<i>3-4</i>
<i>How have government agencies been involved? .....</i>	<i>3-6</i>

<b>Chapter 4: Description of the Project .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
<i>What are the principal features of the Kirkland Nickel Project? .....</i>	<i>4-1</i>
<i>What benefits will the Kirkland Nickel Project provide? .....</i>	<i>4-2</i>
<i>What types of improvements will be made? .....</i>	<i>4-3</i>
<i>How will stormwater from the project be managed? .....</i>	<i>4-22</i>
<i>How will the project incorporate community design preferences? .....</i>	<i>4-25</i>
<i>How are CSS guidelines being incorporated into the Kirkland Nickel Project? .....</i>	<i>4-25</i>
<i>How does the CSS process work? .....</i>	<i>4-25</i>
<i>How will the Kirkland Nickel Project be constructed? .....</i>	<i>4-26</i>
<i>What is the project construction schedule? .....</i>	<i>4-28</i>
<b>Chapter 5: The Environment: What's There Now, Project Effects, and Mitigation ....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
<i>How was environmental information used to improve the project? .....</i>	<i>5-2</i>
<i>How were potential effects evaluated? .....</i>	<i>5-2</i>
<b>Chapter 5.1: Traffic and Transportation.....</b>	<b>5.1-1</b>
<i>How were the data for the Kirkland Nickel Project evaluated? .....</i>	<i>5.1-1</i>
<i>What is traffic like now along the freeway and what will happen     in the future? .....</i>	<i>5.1-1</i>
<i>What about the reverse commute?.....</i>	<i>5.1-7</i>
<i>How does the project affect freight movements? .....</i>	<i>5.1-8</i>
<i>What safety improvements will be included in the Kirkland Nickel Project? .....</i>	<i>5.1-8</i>
<i>How does the project affect pedestrians and bicyclists? .....</i>	<i>5.1-9</i>
<i>How will construction activities affect the project area? .....</i>	<i>5.1-9</i>
<i>What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects on traffic     during construction? .....</i>	<i>5.1-11</i>



<b>Chapter 5.2: Noise .....</b>	<b>5.2-1</b>
<i>How were noise levels evaluated for the Kirkland Nickel Project? .....</i>	<i>5.2-1</i>
<i>How noisy is the project area? .....</i>	<i>5.2-1</i>
<i>How will project construction affect noise? .....</i>	<i>5.2-1</i>
<i>How will the completed project affect noise levels? .....</i>	<i>5.2-2</i>
<i>What measures are proposed to minimize noise effects during construction? .....</i>	<i>5.2-4</i>
<i>What measures are proposed to minimize noise effects during operation? .....</i>	<i>5.2-6</i>
<b>Chapter 5.3: Land Use Patterns.....</b>	<b>5.3-1</b>
<i>How do communities in the project area influence where to locate businesses and residences? .....</i>	<i>5.3-1</i>
<i>How can traffic patterns affect businesses and residences? .....</i>	<i>5.3-2</i>
<i>How will the Kirkland Nickel Project affect the location of businesses and residences in the project area? .....</i>	<i>5.3-2</i>
<b>Chapter 5.4: Communities, Neighborhoods, and Businesses.....</b>	<b>5.4-1</b>
<i>What types of data were analyzed for the project? .....</i>	<i>5.4-1</i>
<i>Who lives in the project area? .....</i>	<i>5.4-1</i>
<i>What community and social services are found in these communities? .....</i>	<i>5.4-2</i>
<i>How will the project affect communities, neighborhoods, and businesses? .....</i>	<i>5.4-6</i>
<i>How will communities, neighborhoods, and businesses be affected by construction activities? .....</i>	<i>5.4-8</i>
<i>Will existing properties be acquired or displaced? .....</i>	<i>5.4-8</i>
<i>What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to communities, neighborhoods and businesses during construction? .....</i>	<i>5.4-12</i>
<b>Chapter 5.5: Recreational and Cultural Resources .....</b>	<b>5.5-1</b>
<i>What recreational, historic, and cultural resources are located within the project area and how will they be affected? .....</i>	<i>5.5-1</i>

What are the characteristics of local parks and will they be affected by the project? .....	5.5-2
What historic, cultural, and archaeological resources are located in the project area? .....	5.5-3
Will any historic, cultural, and archaeological resources be affected by the Kirkland Nickel Project?.....	5.5-4
<b>Chapter 5.6: Public Services and Utilities.....</b>	<b>5.6-1</b>
How were public services and utilities identified and analyzed for the Kirkland Nickel Project?.....	5.6-1
What public services and utilities are located in the project area?.....	5.6-1
How will public services and utilities be affected? .....	5.6-2
Will any public services be displaced? .....	5.6-2
Will the project cause any utility disruptions? .....	5.6-2
Will construction activities affect the area? .....	5.6-3
What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to public services and utilities during construction? .....	5.6-3
<b>Chapter 5.7: Visual Quality .....</b>	<b>5.7-1</b>
How were the visual resources identified and evaluated for the project? .....	5.7-1
What are the visual resources located in the project area?.....	5.7-1
How will people be affected by visual changes as a result of the project? .....	5.7-2
How will project construction activities affect views? .....	5.7-3
What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to visual quality during construction? .....	5.7-3
<b>Chapter 5.8: Air Quality .....</b>	<b>5.8-1</b>
Is air quality a concern in the project area? .....	5.8-1
How was air quality evaluated for the project? .....	5.8-1
How will air quality change with the project? .....	5.8-2
How will construction activities affect air quality? .....	5.8-2

What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to air quality during construction? .....	5.8-5
<b>Chapter 5.9: Water Resources .....</b>	<b>5.9-1</b>
How were water resources evaluated for the project? .....	5.9-1
What water resources are found in the project area? .....	5.9-1
How is stormwater from I-405 currently managed? .....	5.9-3
How will stormwater be affected once the project is built?.....	5.9-3
How will construction activities affect water resources in the project area?.....	5.9-8
What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to water resources during construction? .....	5.9-9
What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to water resources during operation?.....	5.9-10
<b>Chapter 5.10: Wetlands .....</b>	<b>5.10-1</b>
How were wetlands identified in the project area? .....	5.10-1
Are wetlands located in the project area?.....	5.10-1
How will wetlands be affected by the project?.....	5.10-3
How will construction activities affect wetlands? .....	5.10-5
What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to wetlands during construction? .....	5.10-5
<b>Chapter 5.11: Wildlife and Vegetation.....</b>	<b>5.11-1</b>
How were wildlife and vegetation studied within the project area? .....	5.11-1
What types of wildlife and vegetation are found in the project area? .....	5.11-2
How will wildlife and vegetation be affected by the project? .....	5.11-3
What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to wildlife and vegetation during construction? .....	5.11-4
<b>Chapter 5.12: Fish, Aquatic Habitat, and Threatened and Endangered Fish Species.....</b>	<b>5.12-1</b>
How were aquatic resources evaluated for the project? .....	5.12-1

What streams are in the project area and what fish species live in the streams? .....	5.12-1
What type of habitat is required for these fish? .....	5.12-2
What is the condition of the fish habitat? .....	5.12-3
How will the project affect fish, aquatic habitat, and threatened and endangered fish species? .....	5.12-4
How will construction activities affect fish, aquatic habitat, and threatened and endangered fish species? .....	5.12-5
What are the operational effects of the proposed project? .....	5.12-7
Will the project remove barriers to fish passage? .....	5.12-9
What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to fish and aquatic species during construction? .....	5.12-9
What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to fish and aquatic species during operation? .....	5.12-10
<b>Chapter 5.13: Geology and Soils.....</b>	<b>5.13-1</b>
How were geology and soils evaluated for the Kirkland Nickel Project? .....	5.13-1
What is the geology of the project area? .....	5.13-1
What soils are found in the project area? .....	5.13-2
How will geologic resources be affected by the project? .....	5.13-2
What measures are proposed to minimize effects to geology and soils during construction? .....	5.13-3
What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to geology and soils during operation? .....	5.13-4
<b>Chapter 5.14: Hazardous Materials .....</b>	<b>5.14-1</b>
How were hazardous materials and wastes identified within the project area? .....	5.14-1
Are there any potentially contaminated sites in the project area? .....	5.14-1
Will the project affect any hazardous materials sites? .....	5.14-4
What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects from hazardous materials during construction? .....	5.14-4

**Chapter 6: Cumulative Effects .....6-1**

*What are cumulative effects and why do we study them? .....6-1*

*If identified, how will adverse cumulative effects associated with the  
Kirkland Nickel Project be mitigated? .....6-2*

*What is the relationship between this cumulative effects analysis and that  
contained in the I-405 Corridor Program Final EIS? .....6-2*

*What are the temporal and geographic boundaries for this analysis? .....6-3*

*Under what circumstances were other projects included in the cumulative effects  
analysis for the Kirkland Nickel Project? .....6-4*

*What has been the history of the environmental resources analyzed? .....6-5*

*What contribution to cumulative effects will result from construction of the Kirkland  
Nickel Project? .....6-9*

*What contribution to cumulative effects will result from operation of the Kirkland  
Nickel Project? .....6-13*

*What measures are proposed to minimize cumulative effects? .....6-14*

**Chapter 7: List of Preparers .....7-1**

**Chapter 8: References.....8-1**

**List of Exhibits**

*Exhibit 1-1 – Project Overview .....1-1*

*Exhibit 2-1 – Project Vicinity.....2-3*

*Exhibit 3-1 – Scoping Comments for the Kirkland Nickel Project .....3-5*

*Exhibit 4-1 – Interchanges Within the Project Area.....4-3*

*Exhibit 4-2 – Major Project Features .....4-17*

*Exhibit 4-3 – Half Single Point Urban Interchange .....4-19*

*Exhibit 4-4 – Watersheds.....4-22*

*Exhibit 4-5 – Summary of Runoff Treatment by Watershed .....4-23*

*Exhibit 4-6 – Ecology Embankment Cross-Section.....4-24*

*Exhibit 5-1 – Traffic Conditions Today.....5.1-3*

<i>Exhibit 5-2 – General-Purpose Vehicles Traveling Through the Corridor During the Morning Peak Hour.....</i>	<i>5.1-4</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-3 – Southbound Travel Speeds During the Morning Peak Hour.....</i>	<i>5.1-4</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-4 – General-Purpose Vehicles Traveling Through the Corridor During the Morning Peak Hour .....</i>	<i>5.1-6</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-5 – Northbound Travel Speeds During the Evening Peak Hour.....</i>	<i>5.1-6</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-6 – Proposed Interchange and Intersection Improvements.....</i>	<i>5.1-10</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-7 – Noise Levels.....</i>	<i>5.2-3</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-8 – Location of New or Relocated Noise Walls.....</i>	<i>5-2.5</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-9 – Noise Wall locations (Table).....</i>	<i>5.2-5</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-10 – Land Use Patterns.....</i>	<i>5.3-2</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-11 – Project Area Population by Race.....</i>	<i>5.4-2</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-12 – Kirkland Nickel Project Neighborhoods (Table).....</i>	<i>5.4-3</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-13 – Neighborhoods.....</i>	<i>5.4-3</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-14 – Population Growth in the Area: 1970-2030.....</i>	<i>5.4-4</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-15 – Housing Units Authorized by Permit.....</i>	<i>5.4-5</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-16 – Employment by Sector in the Project Area: 2000.....</i>	<i>5.4-6</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-17 – Property Acquisitions and Easements (Table).....</i>	<i>5.4-10</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-18 – Property Acquisitions and Easements.....</i>	<i>5.4-11</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-19 – Recreational Resources in the Project Area (Table).....</i>	<i>5.5-2</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-20 – Recreational and Historic Resources.....</i>	<i>5.5-2</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-21 – Public Services.....</i>	<i>5.6-2</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-22 – Area Visible from I-405.....</i>	<i>5.7-2</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-23 – I-405 at NE 100th Street (Before and After).....</i>	<i>5.7-3</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-24 – Looking Southwest from Slater Avenue (Before and After) .....</i>	<i>5.7-3</i>
<i>Exhibit 5-25 – Intersections Studied for Air Quality.....</i>	<i>5.8-3</i>

Exhibit 5-26 – One-hour Average CO Concentrations.....	5.8-4
Exhibit 5-27 – Eight-hour Average CO Concentrations.....	5.8-4
Exhibit 5-28 – How does water move across and below the ground?.....	5.9-2
Exhibit 5-29 – Watersheds and Associated Streams.....	5.9-2
Exhibit 5-30 – Stormwater Treatment.....	5.9-4
Exhibit 5-31 – Pollutant Loadings .....	5.9-5
Exhibit 5-32 – Proposed Stormwater Treatment Features.....	5.9-7
Exhibit 5-33 – Components of a Wetland.....	5.10-1
Exhibit 5-34 – Wetlands in the Project Area.....	5.10-2
Exhibit 5-35 – Method for Determining Impacts.....	5.10-3
Exhibit 5-36 – Seep Wetland.....	5.10-4
Exhibit 5-37 – Detention Pond Wetland.....	5.10-4
Exhibit 5-38 – Wetland Impacts and Proposed Mitigation (Table).....	5.10-4
Exhibit 5-39 – Wetland Impacts and Proposed Mitigation.....	5.10-5
Exhibit 5-40 – Wetland Mitigation Sites.....	5.10-7
Exhibit 5-41 – Wildlife and Vegetation Study Area.....	5.11-2
Exhibit 5-42 – Vegetation Impacts – Forbes Creek (KL5).....	5.11-3
Exhibit 5-43 – Vegetation Impacts – Juanita Creek (C29).....	5.11-3
Exhibit 5-44 – Streams Surveyed in the Project Area.....	5.12-2
Exhibit 5-45 – Fish Barrier at Forbes Creek (KL5).....	5.12-4
Exhibit 5-46 – Fish Barrier at Unnamed Stream (KL8).....	5.12-8
Exhibit 5-47 – Tributary to Juanita Creek (C29).....	5.12-9
Exhibit 5-48 – Landslide and Loose Soil Area .....	5.13-2
Exhibit 5-49 – Reasonably Predictable Properties (Table) .....	5.14-2
Exhibit 5-50 – Potentially Contaminated Sites.....	5.14-3

<i>Exhibit 6-1 – Geographic Boundaries for Surface Waters, Wetlands, and Fish and Aquatic Habitat .....</i>	<i>6-3</i>
<i>Exhibit 6-2 – Projects Considered in Cumulative Effects Analysis.....</i>	<i>6-4</i>
<i>Exhibit 6-3 – Wetlands in the Project Area.....</i>	<i>6-12</i>

## **List of Sidebars**

<i>What is congestion? .....</i>	<i>2-1</i>
<i>The I-405 Corridor Program Environmental Process .....</i>	<i>3-1</i>
<i>Where is the Kirkland Nickel Project area? .....</i>	<i>3-2</i>
<i>The Kirkland Nickel Project Environmental Process.....</i>	<i>3-3</i>
<i>What is project scoping? .....</i>	<i>3-4</i>
<i>Kirkland Nickel Project Outreach Chronology .....</i>	<i>3-5</i>
<i>How WSDOT communicates with the public.....</i>	<i>3-6</i>
<i>What is a general-purpose lane? .....</i>	<i>4-1</i>
<i>What are Context Sensitive Solutions? .....</i>	<i>4-1</i>
<i>What are high accident locations?.....</i>	<i>4-2</i>
<i>What is a single point urban interchange?.....</i>	<i>4-2</i>
<i>What is the Totem Lake Freeway Station/128th Street Project?.....</i>	<i>4-4</i>
<i>What are detention ponds? .....</i>	<i>4-24</i>
<i>What is a Discipline Report? .....</i>	<i>5-1</i>
<i>What are potential effects? .....</i>	<i>5-2</i>
<i>What is FHWA noise abatement criteria? .....</i>	<i>5.2-1</i>
<i>What are sensitive receptors? .....</i>	<i>5.2-2</i>
<i>How loud are the noises we hear every day?.....</i>	<i>5.2-2</i>
<i>Headlines through the years .....</i>	<i>5.4-4</i>
<i>What is environmental justice? .....</i>	<i>5.4-7</i>



What is the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 .....	5.4-12
What is Section 4(f)? .....	5.5-1
What is Section 6(f)? .....	5.5-3
What is Section 106? .....	5.5-3
How is visual quality determined? .....	5.7-1
What is the Clean Air Act? .....	5.8-1
What are air quality standards? .....	5.8-2
What is the Clean Water Act? .....	5.9-1
What is enhanced water quality? .....	5.9-3
What are best management practices? .....	5.9-9
How are wetlands categorized? .....	5.10-1
What is the Endangered Species Act? .....	5.11-1
What is spawning? .....	5.12-1
What are salmonids? .....	5.12-3
What are resident fish? .....	5.12-3
What is a landslide? .....	5.13-2
What are substantially contaminated properties? .....	5.14-1
What are reasonably predictable properties? .....	5.14-2

## Appendices

### Included in this document:

- A. Glossary
- B. Proposed Construction at Cross-Culverts
- C. Cross Reference of NEPA Elements of the Environment and Environmental Assessment Sections
- D. Concurrence Letters
- E. List of Commitments

**Included in this document (on CD only):**

- F. Transportation Discipline Report*
- G. Noise Discipline Report*
- H. Land Use Plans and Policies Discipline Report*
- I. Land Use Patterns Discipline Report*
- J. Economics Discipline Report*
- K. Environmental Justice Discipline Report*
- L. Social Elements Discipline Report*
- M. Historic, Cultural, and Archaeological Resources Discipline Report*
- N. Section 4(f) Resources Technical Memorandum*
- O. Public Services and Utilities Discipline Report*
- P. Visual Quality Discipline Report*
- Q. Air Quality Discipline Report*
- R. Water Quality Discipline Report*
- S. Surface Water and Floodplains Discipline Report*
- T. Geology, Soils, and Groundwater Discipline Report*
- U. Wetlands Discipline Report*
- V. Wildlife and Vegetation Discipline Report*
- W. Fish and Aquatic Habitat Discipline Report and Supplemental Stream Habitat Survey*
- X. Hazardous Materials Discipline Report*
- Y. Cumulative Effects Analysis Discipline Report*
- Z. Energy Technical Memorandum*

# ACRONYMS

Acronym or Abbreviation	Meaning
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ACM	asbestos-containing materials
BMPs	best management practices
BNSF	Burlington Northern Santa Fe
BRT	bus rapid transit
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CO	carbon monoxide
CSS	context sensitive solutions
dB	decibels
dBA	decibels in the A-weighted scale to show relative loudness of sound
EA	environmental assessment
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EIS	environmental impact statement
EPA	US Environmental Protection Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
HABS	Historic American Building Survey
HAER	Historic American Engineering Records
HOV	high-occupant vehicle
HPA	Hydraulic Project Approval
HRM	Highway Runoff Manual
LBP	lead-based paint
MP	milepost
MTCA	Model Toxics Control Act
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act

<b>Acronym or Abbreviation</b>	<b>Meaning</b>
NESHAP	National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
NOAA Fisheries	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Fisheries
OAHP	Office of Archaeological and Historic Preservation
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
ROD	Record of Decision
RTID	Regional Transportation Investment District
SEPA	State Environmental Policy Act
SPCC	spill prevention control and countermeasure plan
SR	State Route
SWPPP	stormwater pollution prevention plan
TDA	threshold discharge area
TESC	temporary erosion and sediment control
TDM	transportation demand management
TMP	Transportation Management Plan
USDOT	US Department of Transportation
USFWS	US Fish and Wildlife Service
UST	underground storage tank
VMT	vehicle miles traveled
WAC	Washington Administrative Code
WDFW	Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
WRHP	Washington Register of Historic Places
WRIA	Water Resource Inventory Area
WSDOT	Washington State Department of Transportation

# CHAPTER 1

## Executive Summary

### ***The I-405, SR 520 to SR 522 — Kirkland Nickel Project,***

commonly referred to as the Kirkland Nickel Project, is part of the overall I-405 Corridor Program. The Kirkland Nickel Project is the first of many projects from the program to be reviewed in an environmental document and the first planned for construction. For the reader's convenience, we will use the name "Kirkland Nickel Project" throughout this document.

### ***Where is the Kirkland Nickel Project located?***

The Kirkland Nickel Project is located along a 7.6-mile section of I-405 between SR 520 and SR 522 in the state of Washington. Beginning in Bellevue, the project passes north through Kirkland and a portion of unincorporated King County before ending in Bothell.

### ***What is the Kirkland Nickel Project?***

There are three basic construction elements to the Kirkland Nickel Project (Exhibit 1-1). They include:

- A new northbound general-purpose lane on I-405 from the NE 70th Street interchange to the NE 124th Street interchange;
- A new southbound general-purpose lane from just south of the SR 522 interchange to just north of the SR 520 interchange; and
- Reconfiguration of the interchange at NE 116th Street to improve traffic operations.

Other improvements will be made as part of the project, including changes at NE 85th Street and NE 116th Street; water quality treatment, detention, and conveyance system upgrades; architectural treatments that will enhance project appearance; and numerous measures to avoid or minimize effects to the environment. The project description is discussed in detail in Chapter 4.

**Exhibit 1-1**  
*Project Overview*



The Kirkland Nickel Project is the first of three “nickel” projects being implemented by WSDOT to improve transportation conditions along I-405. Funding is being provided by the Washington State Legislature in the form of a 5-cents-per-gallon gas tax. The estimated cost to design and construct the Kirkland Nickel Project is \$164 million.

***Why are we building this project?***

The Kirkland Nickel Project is being built to improve personal and freight mobility and reduce foreseeable traffic congestion in a manner that is safe and reliable. It is one of three “nickel” projects that constitute the first step toward fulfillment of the I-405 Corridor Program. Overall, they are part of a comprehensive strategy to reduce congestion and improve mobility throughout the I-405 Corridor.

***When will construction begin and how long will it take?***

WSDOT will construct the project in two stages—the first will begin in 2005 and be completed in 2007; the second stage will begin in 2009 and be completed in 2011.

***How will the project affect the built environment?***

Based on the analysis conducted for this project, there will be no substantial effects to the built environment (elements of the built environment are analyzed in Chapter 5, Sections 5.1 through 5.7). The following discussion highlights findings of the analysis:

**Traffic** – Although future traffic volumes will increase, traffic congestion will be reduced and there will be fewer delays than if the project is not built.

**Land Use Planning and Policies** – No changes to current or future land use patterns are anticipated.

**Community, Neighborhoods, and Businesses** – There will be minor effects within the project area and most of these will be beneficial. Some 5.28 acres of vacant, residential, commercial, and public property will be converted to transportation-related use. Approximately 10.8 acres of undeveloped land will be acquired for wetlands mitigation and enhancement.

**Environmental Justice** – The project will not have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on minority and/or low-income populations.

**Parks, recreational, historic, cultural, and archaeological resources** – The project will not affect any parks within the project area. Likewise, no historic, cultural, or archaeological resources will be affected.

**Public Services and Utilities** – The project will have positive benefits to public services by improving traffic flow. There will be no effect on utilities, which will be relocated prior to or during construction.

**Visual Quality** – Both roadway users and neighbors will experience minor changes in visual resources. The effect on freeway users will be low, and few neighbors will experience noticeable changes in visual quality.

### ***How will the project affect the natural environment?***

There will be no substantial effects to the natural environment (elements of the natural environment are analyzed in Chapter 5, Sections 5.8 through 5.14).

**Noise** – The Kirkland Nickel Project will create some temporary construction noise. After construction, noise walls will reduce noise levels to below WSDOT's noise abatement criteria at locations meeting reasonability and feasibility criteria. No predicted noise levels will exceed 75 dBA.

**Air Quality** – The project will conform to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards and the Air Quality Maintenance Plans for ozone and carbon monoxide established for the Puget Sound region.

**Water Resources** – Using enhanced water quality treatment facilities for both new impervious surfaces and some currently untreated surfaces, the project will improve water quality in the project area. Temporary, minor effects on water quality will be caused by construction.

**Wetlands** – Approximately 1.6 acres in 14 wetlands will be filled. Some 7.2 acres of wetland mitigation will be provided on three sites to compensate for this loss.

**Wildlife and Vegetation** – Approximately 80 acres of upland vegetation will be removed. Because much of the affected vegetation is degraded and fragmented, the effects will be minor.

**Fish, Aquatic Habitat, and Threatened and Endangered Fish Species** – Most streams in the immediate project area are not

known to support anadromous<sup>1</sup> fish including threatened chinook salmon and bull trout; therefore, this project is not likely to affect them. Resident fish will be temporarily displaced in areas when in-water construction work occurs.

Generally, effects on fish habitat will be positive because of the enhanced water quality treatment of new pavement and the retrofitting of previously untreated pavement. Fish passage will be improved by the construction of a fish-friendly passage structure under I-405 at Forbes Creek.

**Geology and Soils** – Effects on geology and soils in the project area can be managed using standard construction techniques. Several design and construction elements have been incorporated into the project to address a potential landslide slope at the north end of the project.

**Hazardous Materials and Wastes** – There are 17 properties with recognized environmental conditions near the I-405 right of way. However, because quantities of hazardous materials are expected to be small and contaminants are localized, they are unlikely to affect the project.

**Cumulative Effects** – As a result of mitigation there will be a positive cumulative effect to wetlands. Loss of wetlands will be offset by compensatory mitigation resulting in more wetlands being created or enhanced than filled or permanently impacted.

The longer-term effects to fish, aquatic habitats, and surface waters will be generally positive because of the fish-friendly improvements at Forbes Creek to restore fish passage, and the enhanced water quality treatment for new pavements and the retrofitting of previously untreated pavements.

WSDOT has written this Environmental Assessment in a format that is different from many that you may have read in the past. We have tried to eliminate technical jargon and replace scientific and engineering terms with commonly used language. A glossary defining the technical terms used is included in Appendix A. We have also organized this document so that the affected environment, potential impacts, and proposed measures to avoid or minimize impacts are grouped together for individual topics in Chapter 5.

---

<sup>1</sup> Anadromous fish are fish such as salmon that return from saltwater to the rivers and streams where they were born in order to breed.



## CHAPTER 2

---

### What is the Purpose and Need of the Project?

*In 2002, the Washington State Department of Transportation prepared an environmental impact statement (EIS) providing a corridor-wide review of a range of transportation improvement alternatives for I-405. That process led to the selection of an alternative that has become the I-405 Corridor Program. As part of the I-405 Corridor Program, the Kirkland Nickel Project proposes a focused strategy on improving the section of the corridor between SR 520 in Kirkland and SR 522 in Bothell.*

#### **Why do we need the Kirkland Nickel Project?**

Our region needs the Kirkland Nickel Project to improve personal and freight mobility and to reduce traffic congestion in ways that are safe and reliable. Anyone who has traveled on I-405 through Kirkland already knows how congested this stretch of road has become. On an average morning, motorists and transit users currently experience southbound traffic congestion between 6:00 and 9:00 AM. Likewise, on a typical afternoon, they experience traffic congestion heading northbound beginning by 3:00 PM and lasting for several hours into the evening.

#### **What is the I-405 Corridor Program and how does the Kirkland Nickel Project relate to it?**

The I-405 Corridor Program was created as a comprehensive strategy to reduce congestion and improve mobility throughout the I-405 Corridor, which begins at the I-5 interchange in the City of Tukwila and extends northward 30 miles to the I-5 interchange in Lynnwood. Its purpose is to provide an efficient, integrated, and multimodal system of transportation solutions that:

- Maintains or enhances livable communities within the corridor;



**Traffic on I-405**

---

#### **What is congestion?**

Congestion occurs when vehicles on the freeway move at an average speed of 45 miles per hour or less, and the flow of traffic is often stop and go.

---

- Maintains or improves air quality, protects or enhances fish-bearing streams, and promotes regional environmental values such as continued integrity of the natural environment;
- Supports a vigorous state and regional economy by responding to existing and future travel needs; and
- Accommodates planned regional growth.

As part of the overall I-405 Corridor Program, the Kirkland Nickel Project proposes to make access and mobility improvements to the section of roadway between SR 520 in Kirkland and SR 522 in Bothell (see Exhibit 2-1; also see Exhibit 4-2 on pages 4-6 to 4-16 in Chapter 4).


***What happens if the Kirkland Nickel Project is not built?***

Currently the average daily traffic volume on I-405 in Kirkland is 191,000 vehicles per day. By year 2014 our transportation models predict 211,000 vehicles per day. If the project is not built, the increase of 20,000 vehicles per day could lengthen both morning and evening peak period congestion by as much as two hours.

***What other improvements are being implemented as part of the region's transportation planning process?***

Improvements along I-405, as well as those on SR 520, I-90, and SR 522, are included in WSDOT's *Highway System Plan* (WSDOT, May 18, 2004), which forecasts transportation needs for the next 20 years. *Destination 2030* (PSRC, May 24, 2001; revised May 22, 2003) is the Metropolitan Transportation Plan for the Central Puget Sound region and defines the transportation action plan for the next 30 years. The Kirkland Nickel Project is included in *Destination 2030*. The Kirkland Nickel Project is also consistent with the transportation plans for the cities of Kirkland and Bothell.

**Exhibit 2-1**  
Project Vicinity





## CHAPTER 3

# Developing the Alternatives

*The I-405 Corridor EIS analyzed the range of alternatives for different methods of moving people and freight, as well as mitigation measures proposed for the corridor.*

*The Kirkland Nickel Project is a specific project that will provide transportation benefits for the section of I-405 extending from SR 520 north to SR 522. This environmental assessment is a focused, project-specific environmental review of two alternatives—the Build Alternative and the No Build Alternative.*

### **How did we advance from the I-405 Corridor Program to the Kirkland Nickel Project?**

In the EIS, decision-makers considered various modes of travel for making potential improvements. The range of options evaluated included single-occupant vehicles, carpools, transit, and rail alternatives, general locations for improvements, and how combinations of improvements could work together as a comprehensive system. The I-405 Corridor Program Environmental Process, shown at the right, outlines the overall process; details on the development of the Preferred Alternative and the Selected Alternative are described below.

#### **Preferred Alternative**

Once the Draft EIS was completed, a Preferred Alternative was recommended for analysis in the Final EIS. The Preferred Alternative was a compilation of highway, transit, local arterial, and other improvements within the 30-mile stretch of the I-405 Corridor and immediate vicinity. The details of the Preferred Alternative were included in the Final EIS, along with the analyses of five other alternatives.

#### **Selected Alternative**

With some modifications, the Preferred Alternative in the Final EIS became the Selected Alternative in the

#### **THE I-405 CORRIDOR PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL PROCESS**

##### **Discipline Reports**

A set of technical reports written to describe the natural and built environment to evaluate alternative methods for project design, construction and operation. Each discipline report describes the topic's affected environment, existing conditions, the proposed actions, and how effects will be avoided, minimized, or mitigated.



##### **NEPA/SEPA Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)**

Identified the environmental effects of the proposed action and other alternatives proposed for the I-405 Corridor Program.



##### **Preferred Alternative**

The alternative selected from among five alternatives analyzed in the EIS. The details of the Preferred Alternative analysis, as well as the analysis of the other alternatives are included in the Final EIS.



##### **Selected Alternative**

The alternative selected and approved by FHWA and FTA as documented in the Record of Decision.



##### **Record of Decision (ROD)**

The final step in the EIS process. A concise document that identifies the decision (selected alternative), and mitigation measures adopted for the Selected Alternative.



##### **Kirkland Nickel Project**

A specific set of project improvements contained in the Selected Alternative that focuses on the Kirkland section of the I-405 Corridor.

---

**Where is the Kirkland Nickel Project area?**

The project area begins just north of the SR 520 interchange and extends north almost to the SR 522 interchange. While most project construction will take place within the existing I-405 right of way, there are few locations, such as at the NE 116th Street interchange where construction will occur beyond it.

---

Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Record of Decision (ROD). The ROD identified the basis for the decision to advance the Selected Alternative, and explained the adopted means to avoid, minimize, and compensate for environmental effects.

In both the EIS and the ROD, WSDOT specified that the improvements cited in the Selected Alternative would be re-examined prior to implementation to determine the best combinations for phased construction. WSDOT continues to examine these recommendations within the constraints of the available budget while maintaining good engineering design.

It is expected to take 20 years or more to implement the Selected Alternative for the entire I-405 Corridor Program. To maintain momentum, smaller-scale projects have been prioritized that can be implemented with approved funding. The Kirkland Nickel Project is one of these projects, and serves as a first step toward completing the Selected Alternative.

**How was the Kirkland Nickel Project developed?**

Using the Selected Alternative as the master plan, WSDOT developed relatively low cost, congestion relief roadway improvements and began to define the Kirkland Nickel Project with the following features in mind:

- Improving the worst congestion choke points<sup>1</sup> along I-405. i.e., the “Kirkland Crawl;”
- Improving safety;
- Increasing travel speeds in Kirkland during peak commuter hours;
- Facilitating freight movement;
- Implementing meaningful environmental improvements;
- Providing a benefit return of several times the investment costs through reduced travel time, and increased freight speeds.

---

<sup>1</sup> An area of highway with inadequate capacity or a point or area of traffic congestion.

Using professional engineering and planning judgment with the scrutiny of outside experts, the design of the Kirkland Nickel Project began to take shape. For example, team members determined that a relatively low-cost lane addition in Kirkland would provide some traffic relief for one of the corridor's worst bottlenecks. Throughout the planning process, reviews were conducted to ensure that methods to avoid or minimize potential effects were evaluated and incorporated into the project. The environmental review process for the Kirkland Nickel Project will be completed in three primary stages, shown to the right.

### ***What alternatives are studied in this environmental assessment?***

Two alternatives were evaluated:

- A **Build Alternative**, which will add northbound and southbound lanes to the Kirkland Nickel section of the I-405 Corridor and improve the NE 116th Street interchange; and
- A **No Build Alternative**, which would make no transportation improvements to I-405 in the Kirkland area.

The project description for the Build Alternative is presented in Chapter 4.

### ***What is the No Build Alternative?***

A No Build Alternative was evaluated to establish a baseline for comparing the effects associated with the Build Alternative. The No Build Alternative maintains the status quo, meaning only routine activities such as road maintenance, repair, and safety improvements would take place over the next 20 years. This alternative does not include improvements that would increase roadway capacity, reduce congestion, or improve safety meaningfully. For these reasons, it does not satisfy the project's purpose.

### ***What environmental issues influenced the project design?***

Throughout the development of the Kirkland Nickel Project design, numerous design refinements were proposed to avoid or minimize effects to the environment. For example, areas where construction will be allowed were modified several

---

## **THE KIRKLAND NICKEL PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL PROCESS**

### **Discipline Reports**

Each of the 21 discipline reports describes the topic's affected environment, existing conditions, the proposed actions, and how effects will be avoided, minimized, or mitigated.



### **Environmental Assessment (EA)**

A concise document prepared in compliance with NEPA that briefly discusses the purpose and need for an action, alternatives to the action, and provides sufficient evidence and analysis of impacts to determine whether to prepare an EIS or a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI).



### **F O N S I**

A FONSI presents the reasons why an action will not have a significant effect on the environment and, therefore, does not require the preparation of an EIS. Based on analyses and project feedback received to date, we anticipate preparing a FONSI for the Kirkland Nickel Project.

---



**Project Scoping Meeting,  
January 27, 2004**

times to limit contact with streams and wetlands. In some situations, retaining walls around culverts (headwalls) will be installed on culverts to avoid encroachment into streams and surrounding areas. Stormwater detention ponds (see Chapter 4, Description of the Project), also were relocated to avoid wetlands.

### ***Why is the Kirkland Nickel Project being evaluated in this environmental assessment?***

This EA goes beyond the analysis in the EIS, offering a more in-depth evaluation of the effects that may occur as a result of this project. Using this evaluation, WSDOT will determine whether an EIS or a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) will be prepared. The EA does not re-examine corridor-level alternatives, effects, or other measures that were already analyzed in the EIS.

### ***How has the public been involved?***

WSDOT has involved the public in the Kirkland Nickel Project through a wide range of activities such as:

- Inviting citizens to participate in the project scoping and development process;
- Conducting public open houses, producing newsletters, presentations at neighborhood meetings, etc.;
- Other outreach efforts such as Executive, Steering and Advisory committee meetings.

---

#### **What is project scoping?**

A scoping meeting is designed to:

- Inform the public and agencies of proposed actions and alternatives;
  - Serve as a forum to gather comments to help identify potential environmental impacts;
  - Ensure that the environmental documents consider reasonable alternatives; and
  - Help identify issues or concerns to promote a focus on items important to the local community and to agencies.
- 


#### **Project Scoping and Development**

More than 100 citizens attended the Kirkland Nickel Project scoping meeting on January 27, 2004. They were invited to submit written and verbal comments to WSDOT during a public comment period. On that same day, WSDOT met with cities and agencies that have jurisdiction in the project area to identify and incorporate their concerns and comments.

Following these meetings, WSDOT categorized and compiled the comments into the Kirkland Nickel Project Scoping Report. The comments addressed topics such as noise, water quality, stormwater management, and asked about the purpose and need for the project (see Exhibit 3-1). Commenters who provided a mailing address received a copy of the scoping report.



**Exhibit 3-1**  
*Scoping Comments for the Kirkland Nickel Project*



During the comment period, which took place from January 11 to March 1, 2004, WSDOT actively communicated with citizens in the form of letters, emails, and phone conversations.

### **Public Outreach**

Since the Kirkland Nickel Project received funding in July 2003, WSDOT has worked closely with the public, elected officials, local agencies, tribes, and regulators. WSDOT has provided information about the Kirkland Nickel Project to the public—through neighborhood meetings, open house events, and visits to community facilities and businesses. For example, project team members conducted extensive outreach efforts to minority and low-income populations in the area. They contacted municipal agencies and private organizations to identify and locate special groups and to learn about their transportation needs. Some of these organizations included the Kirkland Senior Center, area food banks, public health facilities, and libraries, among others.

### **Other Outreach Efforts**

Several standing committees have met regularly to provide ongoing dialogue and coordination for the project. These groups include:




---

#### **Kirkland Nickel Project Outreach**

##### **Kirkland Charette:**

September 9, 2002

##### **Scoping Meeting with Resource Agencies and Jurisdictions:**

January 27, 2004

##### **Public Scoping Open House:**

January 27, 2004

##### **Environmental Kick-off:**

January 28, 2004

##### **Kirkland Advisory Committee Meeting:**

Initial: February 4, 2004

Monthly thereafter

##### **Neighborhood Meetings:**

March – September 2004

##### **Kirkland Nickel Project Open House**

September 23, 2004

---

---

### **How WSDOT communicates with the public**

**Speaker's Bureau** – Formal presentations by WSDOT personnel to community organizations.

**Environmental Outreach** – Field studies put I-405 environmental team members in touch with neighbors. For example, almost all the citizens who made comments about noise concerns were contacted and, where feasible, noise monitoring was conducted at their residences.

**Project Website** – The I-405 Project Team Website, at [www.wsdot.wa.gov/projects/I-405](http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/projects/I-405) was designed as a resource for the public, and has been updated regularly.

**Newsletters/Project Updates** – Newsletter mailings and email updates offer an ideal opportunity to inform the public on project progress.

**Return Mail Postcard** – Mailings included a return postcard offering an opportunity to comment on the project and to request a visit by I-405 Project Team members at organization meetings. Individual postcards were distributed to libraries, multi-family apartment/ condominium associations, and special housing establishments.

---

### **Committees**

- The **I-405 Executive Committee**, comprised of executives from the FHWA, FTA, WSDOT, King County, and Sound Transit, as well as members from the Washington State Transportation Commission and elected officials from cities along the I-405 Corridor, provided monthly to quarterly input on policy matters.
- A **Kirkland Advisory Committee**, made up of citizens, business people, elected officials, partnering agencies, WSDOT, and city staff, was effective in reaching Kirkland neighborhoods by engaging the community in design, environmental, and aesthetic issues. This group continues to meet on a monthly basis.
- The **I-405 Steering Committee**, consisting of senior staff from the local, regional, state, and federal agencies having jurisdiction within the Kirkland Nickel Project area, is responsible for providing technical and policy guidance. The Steering Committee meets regularly to provide valuable feedback on technical feasibility, environmental acceptability, costs, and performance.
- A **Multi-agency Permitting (MAP) Team**, comprised of eight senior environmental regulators from WSDOT, the Department of Ecology, the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, the US Army Corps of Engineers, and King County, consider issues pertaining to project permitting. WSDOT and the MAP Team meet regularly to make project permitting decisions.

### **How have government agencies been involved?**

Government agencies have played major roles in the development of the Kirkland Nickel Project. WSDOT has involved governmental agencies through regular meetings and other means to address issues on an as-needed basis.

Examples of these methods are:

- Congressional and legislative briefings in late June and early July 2004. These briefings were conducted to inform Washington State legislators within the I-405 Corridor study area and US Congress members from the Washington State delegation;

- Meetings with tribal representatives to discuss cultural resource investigations proposed for the project;
- Sessions with resource agency staff to explain design-build concepts;
- Briefings and site visits with the MAP Team;
- Sessions to review stormwater management strategies with representatives from the cities of Kirkland and Bothell;
- Sessions with King County, Kirkland, and Bothell to coordinate wetlands mitigation strategies and site selection;
- Scoping meetings with agencies to discuss traffic, air, noise, endangered species, water, wetlands, and mitigation strategies;
- Discussions with the US Fish and Wildlife Service and NOAA Fisheries on ESA issues; and
- Wetland confirmation meetings with the US Army Corps of Engineers.



## CHAPTER 4

---

### Description of the Project

*The Build Alternative, commonly referred to as the Kirkland Nickel Project, was designed to improve safety and mobility throughout a 7.6-mile section of I-405 in the Kirkland area, between the SR 522 and SR 520 interchanges. This project description provides an overview of the project's principal features as well as other features that are necessary to support these improvements.*



#### **What are the principal features of the Kirkland Nickel Project?**

There are three basic construction elements to the project. They include:

- A northbound general-purpose lane will be constructed from the I-405 and NE 70th Street interchange to the I-405 and NE 124th Street interchange;
- A southbound general-purpose lane will be constructed from the I-405 and SR 522 interchange to the I-405 and SR 520 interchange;
- I-405 at the NE 116th Street interchange will be reconstructed, realigned, and reconfigured;

Other features of the project include:

- Interchange improvements will be made to NE 85th Street and NE 116th Street;
- Stormwater management facilities will be constructed to provide water quality treatment and detention and conveyance system upgrades;
- Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS) will be implemented during the project to incorporate the elements of mobility, safety, environment, and aesthetics throughout the project; and
- Measures will be implemented that will avoid or minimize impacts or compensate for unavoidable effects to the environment.



---

#### **What is a general-purpose lane?**

General-purpose travel lanes, in contrast to high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes, have no restrictions on the number of vehicle passengers.

---

---

#### **What are Context Sensitive Solutions?**

Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS) incorporate community values on aesthetics, the environment, mobility, and safety. WSDOT incorporated CSS into the design of the facility by working with local agencies and citizens on the "look and feel" of the project design.

---

---

**What are high accident locations?**

High Accident Locations are defined as locations less than a mile long that have experienced a higher than average rate of accidents during the previous 2 years.

---

---

**What is a single point urban interchange?**

In a single point urban interchange, left-turn movements are brought to a "single point" at the center of the interchange. Opposing left-turn movements are then completed simultaneously with a traffic signal. Since this type of interchange can be constructed with minimal right of way, it is ideal for urban areas.

---

**What benefits will the Kirkland Nickel Project provide?**

The Kirkland Nickel Project will provide many short- and long-term benefits to improve access and mobility within this section of the freeway. Some of these benefits are:

- Shortening periods of congestion in the Kirkland area;
- Improving interchange operations at NE 116th Street by constructing a half single point urban interchange;
- Improving merging conditions from the northbound and southbound off-ramps at NE 85th Street;
- Improving water quality conditions in the project area by treating 321 percent of new impervious surfaces and by following WSDOT's new Highway Runoff Manual guidelines;
- Providing benefits to endangered salmon species by improving water quality conditions;
- Improving fish passage by installing a fish passage improvement (culvert or structure) on Forbes Creek;
- Mitigating for wetlands affected by project construction;
- Constructing five new noise walls and upgrading or relocating four existing walls to the edge of right of way;
- Implementing Context Sensitive Solutions design principles to improve aesthetics;
- Avoiding environmental impacts through collaborative efforts between environmental and design teams, resulting in low overall impacts;
- Making safety improvements at several high-accident locations.

The design and construction contract will include many provisions to protect the environment and to ensure compliance with project-specific permit conditions and project commitments.

## What types of improvements will be made?

### Lane Improvements

One way to visualize the changes proposed to the mainline is to imagine that you are driving along I-405, beginning in Bellevue and heading north toward Bothell and then returning to Bellevue. The following description of roadway improvements and Exhibit 4-1 follows this route and identifies the changes proposed at freeway interchanges as part of the Kirkland Nickel Project:

- **Northbound, North of SR 520 Interchange to NE 70th Street:** No changes are proposed for this section of freeway. This roadway will continue to have four general-purpose lanes and one HOV lane.
- **Northbound, NE 70th Street to NE 85th Street:** WSDOT will add one general-purpose lane for a total of four general-purpose lanes and one HOV lane. The existing drop lane (exit only) at the NE 70th Street off-ramp will become a through lane. The bridges over NE 85th Street will remain unchanged. Restriping over these bridges will accommodate the additional lane, resulting in narrower lanes and shoulders. The pavement will be widened to the outside (east) in select areas to provide space for emergency pullout areas.
- **Northbound, NE 85th Street to NE 116th Street:** WSDOT will add one general-purpose lane for a total of four general-purpose lanes and one HOV lane. The existing pavement will be widened by 10 to 15 feet to the outside (east) beginning at the on-ramp from NE 85th Street. Approaching NE 116th Street, the alignment will be shifted by approximately 20 feet to the east to accommodate the bridge reconstruction at the northbound I-405 bridge over NE 116th Street. (For improvements to the NE 116th Street interchange, see *Interchange Improvements* on page 4-17.)
- **Northbound, NE 116th Street to NE 124th Street:** WSDOT will continue the new general-purpose lane added from the south for a total of four general-purpose lanes and one HOV lane. The existing pavement will be widened by up to 15 feet to the outside (east) to accommodate the new lane. The new general-purpose

**Exhibit 4-1**  
Interchanges within the Project Area







**Looking north along I-405 at NE 128th Street**

**What is the Totem Lake Freeway Station/NE 128th Street Project?**

Sound Transit proposes to build a new bridge over I-405 at NE 128th Street and direct access ramps connecting the HOV lanes on I-405 with the new crossing.

Sound Transit proposes reconstructing the roadway and drainage system on NE 128th Street from 120th Avenue NE to the eastern limit of the Totem Lake Freeway Station Project.

lane will become a drop lane (exit only) at NE 124th Street.


- **Northbound, NE 124th Street to SR 522:** North of the NE 124th Street off-ramp, WSDOT does not propose any changes to the roadway; it will remain as three general-purpose lanes and one HOV lane.
- **Southbound, SR 522 to NE 160th Street:** WSDOT will add one general-purpose lane in this area for a total of four general-purpose lanes and one HOV lane. The additional lane will connect to the existing merge lane from the eastbound SR 522 connector (to southbound I-405). The southbound off-ramp to NE 160th Street will be reconstructed to accommodate the mainline widening. WSDOT will widen the existing pavement by up to 15 feet to the outside (west) to accommodate the new lane.
- **Southbound, NE 160th Street to NE 124th Street:** WSDOT will add one general-purpose lane in this area for a total of four general-purpose lanes and one HOV lane. The existing pavement will be widened by up to 15 feet to the outside (west) from the NE 160th Street interchange southward to approximately southward where the median widens (approximately NE 145th Street). At that point, WSDOT will widen to the inside (east) south to approximately NE 132nd Street. South of there, WSDOT will restripe the pavement widened by Sound Transit's proposed project: the Totem Lake Freeway Station/NE 128th Street Project. The on-ramp at NE 160th Street, including the existing noise wall on top of the barrier along the roadway shoulder will also be reconstructed to accommodate the additional southbound lane.
- **Southbound, NE 124th Street to NE 116th Street:** WSDOT will add one general-purpose lane in this area for a total of four general-purpose lanes and one HOV lane. The project will restripe the pavement constructed as part of the proposed Sound Transit Totem Lake Freeway Station/NE 128th Street Project.
- **Southbound, NE 116th Street to NE 85th Street:** WSDOT will add one general-purpose lane in this area for a total of four general-purpose lanes and one HOV



lane. The existing pavement will be widened by 10 to 15 feet to the outside (west) north of the NE 85th Street interchange. The new lane will be created by restriping existing pavement resulting in narrower lanes and shoulders.



- **Southbound, NE 85th Street to NE 70th Street:** WSDOT will add one general-purpose lane for a total of four general-purpose lanes and one HOV lane. The bridges over NE 85th Street will remain unchanged. Restriping over these bridges will accommodate the additional lane, resulting in narrower lanes and shoulders. The pavement will be widened to the outside (west) in select areas to provide space for emergency pullout areas.
- **Southbound, NE 70th Street to SR 520:** WSDOT will add one general-purpose lane in this area for a total of four general-purpose lanes and one HOV lane. The existing pavement will be widened by 10 to 15 feet to the outside (west). The new lane will tie into the existing add lane for the connection to the SR 520 interchange, which is located approximately 330 feet north of the Bellevue city boundary (milepost 15.83).

Exhibit 4-2 (Sheets 1 through 11) shows the major features of the proposed project.



### Legend

- Streams
- Proposed ROW
- Existing ROW
- Proposed Retaining Wall
- Noise Wall
- Proposed Ecology Emabankment
- Proposed Detention Pond or Vault
- Municipality



### Southbound Improvements


No changes are proposed for this section of freeway.

### Northbound Improvements

No changes are proposed for this section of freeway.

**Exhibit 4-2**  
Major Project Features  
Sheet 1 of 11





### Southbound Improvements


A new lane will be added by widening the existing pavement up to 15 feet to the outside (west). The new lane will tie into the existing add lane for the connection to the SR-520 interchange, which is located approximately 330 feet north of the Bellevue city boundary (milepost 15.83).

### Northbound Improvements

No changes are proposed for this section of freeway.

**Exhibit 4-2**  
Major Project Features  
Sheet 2 of 11






### Legend

- Streams
- Proposed ROW
- Existing ROW
- Proposed Retaining Wall
- Noise Wall
- Proposed Ecology Embankment
- Proposed Detention Pond or Vault
- Municipality



0 250 500  
Feet



### Southbound Improvements


A new lane will be added by widening the existing pavement up to 15 feet to the outside (west). The new lane will continue by restriping the existing pavement.

### Northbound Improvements

The existing drop lane (exit only) at the NE 70th Street off-ramp will become a through lane. The new lane will continue by restriping the existing pavement.

**Exhibit 4-2**  
Major Project Features  
Sheet 3 of 11





### Southbound Improvements


A new lane will be added by restriping in this area.

### Northbound Improvements

The new lane will be added by restriping in this area. The pavement will be widened to the outside (east) in select areas to provide space for emergency pullout areas.

**Exhibit 4-2**  
Major Project Features  
Sheet 4 of 11





### Southbound Improvements


The new lane will be added by widening the existing pavement up to 15 feet to the outside (west).

### Northbound Improvements

The new lane will be added by widening the existing pavement up to 15 feet to the outside (east) beginning at the on-ramp from NE 85th Street.

**Exhibit 4-2**  
Major Project Features  
Sheet 5 of 11






### Legend

- Streams
- Proposed ROW
- Existing ROW
- Proposed Retaining Wall
- Noise Wall
- Proposed Ecology Embankment
- Proposed Detention Pond or Vault
- Municipality



0 250 500  
Feet



### Southbound Improvements

The new lane will be added by widening the existing pavement up to 15 feet to the outside (west).

### Northbound Improvements

The new lane will be added by widening the existing pavement up to 15 feet to the outside (east).

**Exhibit 4-2**  
**Major Project Features**  
Sheet 6 of 11






### Legend

- Streams
- Proposed ROW
- Existing ROW
- Proposed Retaining Wall
- Noise Wall
- Proposed Ecology Embankment
- Proposed Detention Pond or Vault
- Municipality



0 250 500  
Feet



### Southbound Improvements



The new lane will be added by restriping in this area. The project will tie into the proposed Sound Transit Totem Lake Freeway Station/NE 128th Street.

### Northbound Improvements

The new lane will be added by widening the existing pavement up to 15 feet to the outside (east). The new general-purpose lane will become a drop lane (exit only) at NE 124th Street.

**Exhibit 4-2**  
Major Project Features  
Sheet 7 of 11






### Southbound Improvements

The new lane will be added by widening the existing pavement up to 15 feet to the inside (east) from NE 132nd Street to milepost 21.5 and to the outside (west) up to NE 160th Street Interchange.

### Northbound Improvements

No changes are proposed for this section of freeway.





### Southbound Improvements


The new lane will be added by widening the existing pavement up to 15 feet to the outside (west) up to NE 160th Street Interchange. The southbound on-ramp from NE 160th street will also be reconstructed.

### Northbound Improvements

No changes are proposed for this section of freeway.

**Exhibit 4-2**  
Major Project Features  
Sheet 9 of 11





### Southbound Improvements

The new lane will be added by widening the existing pavement up to 15 feet to the inside (east) from NE 132nd Street to milepost 21.5 and to the outside (west) up to NE 160th Street Interchange.

### Northbound Improvements


No changes are proposed for this section of freeway.

### Legend

- Streams
- Proposed ROW
- Existing ROW
- Proposed Retaining Wall
- Noise Wall
- Proposed Ecology Emabankment
- Proposed Detention Pond or Vault
- Municipality



0 250 500  
Feet








### Legend

- Streams
- Proposed ROW
- Existing ROW
- Proposed Retaining Wall
- Noise Wall
- Proposed Ecology Embankment
- Proposed Detention Pond or Vault
- Municipality



0 250 500  
Feet



### Southbound Improvements

No changes are proposed  
for this section of freeway.

### Northbound Improvements

No changes are proposed  
for this section of freeway.

**Exhibit 4-2**  
Major Project Features  
Sheet 11 of 11




## Interchange Improvements

WSDOT will reconstruct the NE 116th Street interchange, which is presently configured as a half-diamond, to a half single point urban interchange (see Exhibit 4-3). The single point urban interchange design configuration provides through- and left-turn control from a single traffic signal and free right turns to and from on- and off-ramps. Drivers will benefit from better traffic signal phasing and improvements in intersection operations. Designers considered future lane improvements in this area by redesigning the interchange to require only minor structure widening and modifications to on- and off-ramps in the future.

Elements of the interchange improvements will include:

- **Phased removal and replacing the northbound and southbound I-405 bridges over NE 116th Street.** The bridges will be rebuilt to accommodate the new northbound and southbound lanes, and will provide standard vertical clearance over NE 116th Street.
- **Reconstructing the northbound off-ramp and southbound on-ramp in the new half single point urban interchange configuration.** This work will be compatible with construction of the new ramps at some future time; only ramp tie-in work will be necessary when the ramps are constructed.
- **Widening NE 116th Street on both sides of the interchange to accommodate dual-turn on- and off-ramps.** On the west side of the interchange, the widening (on both sides of the street) will extend for approximately 1,700 feet, tapering from approximately 58 feet at the interchange to 43 feet at the west end. East of the interchange, both sides of NE 116th Street will be widened for approximately 900 feet. The curb-to-curb width will be approximately 70 feet from the interchange to the intersection at 124th Avenue NE.
- **Replacing the overlay on the deck of the NE 116th Street bridge over the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) railroad tracks.** The new bridge will have five traffic lanes with bicycle lanes and sidewalks on both sides of the roadway.

**Exhibit 4-3**  
*Half Single Point Urban Interchange*



- **Reconstructing the 120th Avenue NE and NE 116th Street intersection.** The purpose of this improvement is to accommodate an additional eastbound through lane on NE 116th Street, and to improve turning radii at corners.

There are several existing traffic safety issues that will be addressed by Kirkland Nickel Project improvements to interchanges. For example, accident data collected at the NE 85th Street interchange indicates a high accident location where traffic from the southbound off-ramp merges with westbound traffic on NE 85th Street. This condition is caused by off-ramp traffic directly merging into a right-turn-only lane to 114th Avenue NE. WSDOT will improve traffic safety at this location by rebuilding the off-ramp (approximately 200 feet) so that it intersects with the NE 85th Street westbound through lanes at an angle closer to 90 degrees.

Another high accident location occurs at the northbound off-ramp at the NE 85th Street interchange. This problem is associated with insufficient traffic gaps in eastbound NE 85th Street (SR 908) traffic that causes vehicles on the northbound off-ramp to queue up. WSDOT will install a traffic signal on NE 85th Street to alleviate the problem. Additionally, approximately 200 feet of the northbound off-ramp will be reconstructed so that vehicle queues will not back up onto I-405.

Traffic modeling indicates that, with or without the Kirkland Nickel Project, by 2030, traffic on the southbound off-ramp to NE 70th Place could back up onto the mainline. To prevent this situation, WSDOT will add a right-turn lane, approximately 350 feet long, to the off-ramp (see Exhibit 4-2, Sheet 4 of 11).

### **Other Improvements**

#### *Local roadway widening*

WSDOT will widen NE 116th Street as part of the reconfiguration of the interchange. WSDOT will also add a left-turn pocket on 120th Avenue NE at its intersection with NE 116th Street, and replace the overlay on the deck of the NE 116th Street bridge over the BNSF railroad tracks.

### *Retaining walls*

Widening I-405 for the Kirkland Nickel Project will require retaining walls along portions of the northbound NE 116th Street on- and off-ramps and at the southbound on-ramp from NE 160th Street. Retaining walls will also be necessary along NE 116th Street to accommodate street widening. Retaining walls will be constructed at other locations to avoid wetlands and to keep grading within the right of way.

### *Noise walls*

WSDOT will construct noise walls at five locations, provided that adjacent residents are in agreement. In addition, WSDOT will relocate four noise walls at or near the edge of the right of way.

Information about the specific location and height of noise walls is found in Chapter 5.2, Noise. The locations of the noise walls are also shown in Exhibit 4-2.

### *Slope stability and erosion management*

WSDOT has identified a landslide hazard area along the western side of I-405 between MP 23.03 and 23.08, just south of the SR 522 interchange. Seepage is evident in the slide face, and erosion has occurred to within 60 feet of the pavement edge. To prevent further deterioration of the slide face, any of the following features, or combination of these features, will be constructed:

- Horizontal drains installed into the landslide;
- Surface drains along the freeway to convey stormwater runoff to detention sites;
- Rock fill placed along the slide face to buttress selected areas; and
- Retaining walls, approximately 100 feet in length, constructed downslope of the freeway.


### *Culverts*

WSDOT anticipates that improvements to the freeway mainline and associated interchanges will impact some existing cross-culverts<sup>1</sup>. Each impacted culvert will be checked

---

<sup>1</sup> A pipe or concrete box structure that conveys flow from open channels, swales, or ditches under a roadway.

**Exhibit 4-4**  
**Watersheds**



with WSDOT maintenance personnel to evaluate the proposed improvements and address any maintenance concerns. A table, Proposed Construction at Cross-Culverts, shows how culverts may be affected by roadway improvements, can be found in Appendix B. Associated culvert improvements include lengthening, connection to new drainage structures, stabilizing culvert ends with rock or retaining walls, and replacement.

Extensions will be added to existing drainage culverts in areas where the grading limits have been shifted to accommodate the new roadway widening. The extensions will be added upstream or downstream, depending on which culvert end is affected by the grading. During design, each proposed culvert extension was reviewed for potential impacts to stream areas. In locations where potential impacts were identified, construction of headwalls (retaining walls around culverts) or other retaining features were specified to avoid the need for culvert extensions.

A new culvert or other structure to provide improved fish passage under I-405 and to carry normal stream flows will be constructed at Forbes Creek, while the existing culvert will be used to pass stream high flows.

### **How will stormwater from the project be managed?**

#### **Stormwater Design Standards**

The Project Team has designed the stormwater management facilities for the project to comply with the following guidelines and procedures:

- WSDOT Highway Runoff Manual M 31-16, March 2004;
- WSDOT Hydraulics Manual M 23-03, March 2004.

The Kirkland Nickel Project spans four primary watersheds, listed south to north (Exhibit 4-4), as follows:

- Lake Washington East / Bellevue North
- Forbes Creek
- Juanita Creek
- Sammamish River



## Stormwater Treatment Facilities

In most cases, water quality treatment is required for 100 percent of new impervious surfaces along with detention of half of the two-year storm to the 50-year storm. Additional design references and guidelines have been used as they apply for local jurisdictional requirements. Designs of storm drainage improvements for the Kirkland Nickel Project will use the *WSDOT Highway Runoff Manual* (HRM) (2004) as the primary design reference.

Overall, the project will add 13.56 acres of net new impervious surface. In addition to providing enhanced treatment for the new pavement areas, 38.17 acres of presently untreated impervious surface will be retrofitted for enhanced water quality treatment. In total, the Kirkland Nickel Project will treat 51.73 acres of impervious surface, or 381 percent of the new impervious surfaces to be created by the project (Exhibit 4-5).


**Exhibit 4-5: Summary of Runoff Treatment by Watershed**

Watershed	Existing Impervious Area <sup>1</sup> (acres)	New Impervious Area (acres)	Impervious Area Treated (acres)	Percent of New Impervious Area Treated
Lake Washington East Bellevue North	122.55	1.88	6.49	345%
Forbes Creek	26.17	7.92	12.47	157%
Juanita Creek	82.60	2.77	17.31	625%
Sammamish River	31.81	0.99	15.46	1,562%
<b>Project Total</b>	<b>263.13</b>	<b>13.56</b>	<b>51.73</b>	<b>381%</b>

<sup>1</sup>Includes I-405, interchanges, and some surface streets where construction will occur

These improvements will be provided in accordance with the *WSDOT Highway Runoff Manual* in the form of combined treatment systems, ecology embankments, and constructed stormwater treatment wetlands. Ecology embankments (Exhibit 4-6) are the preferred method of treatment because of their flexibility in construction, enhanced treatment capabilities, and relatively low cost.

**Exhibit 4-6**  
Ecology Embankment Cross-Section



### Stormwater Flow Control

After water quality treatment, infiltration<sup>2</sup> will be used where it is cost effective and technically feasible to discharge stormwater or otherwise reduce flow control treatment volumes. Current information about surrounding soils and geologic formations indicates that infiltration is not a viable method of discharging stormwater in most of the project area. However, it is believed that pockets of well-draining soils exist in some upland areas along the corridor. Testing is currently being conducted to identify areas where infiltration may be viable.

### Drainage Collection and Conveyance

Existing drainage structures and systems will be retained in places where they will not be disturbed by new construction. Where space and access to structures make it possible, ecology embankments will be constructed to provide enhanced treatment of the runoff.

Generally, the proposed collection and conveyance systems will also include standard WSDOT catch basin and manhole structures connected by lateral and trunk drains to the treatment and detention facilities. Pipe sizes will generally range from 12 to 30 inches in diameter and will be installed on grades and at depths necessary for proper vertical clearances and hydraulic performance. Inlets will be placed at locations where it is necessary to limit the spread of design storm flows into the travel lanes, as required by the *WSDOT Hydraulics Manual* (2004).

---

#### What are detention ponds?

A detention pond is a facility for temporarily holding stormwater runoff so that it can be released at a controlled rate. A detention vault is similar to a pond, but with more hard-sided construction.

---



---

<sup>2</sup> Movement of water through the soil surface into the soil.

### ***How will the project incorporate community design preferences?***

The Kirkland Nickel Project is being planned, developed, and designed in accordance with guidelines called Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS), also referred to as Context Sensitive Design. These guidelines provide a means of incorporating community design preferences into the project.

### ***How are CSS guidelines being incorporated into the Kirkland Nickel Project?***

Throughout development of the Kirkland Nickel Project, local input has been encouraged to ensure that community concerns are addressed. WSDOT formed the Kirkland Advisory Committee (KAC) to review Kirkland-area “view to” issues such as interchange locations/ designs, noise wall locations/ treatments, traffic, safety, structures, lighting, and landscape. Several KAC members also serve on a corridor-wide CSS Aesthetics Committee, which focuses on the “view from the corridor” issues. The Aesthetics Committee’s work, combined with the KAC, has determined an I-405 theme of “Culture, Nature, and Progress,” that will carry into corridor-wide and local I-405 designs.

WSDOT established a memorandum of understanding with the City of Kirkland that commits to continued interaction and review by the KAC and Aesthetics Committee throughout the design process. A CSS Urban Design Guidelines Manual, incorporating KAC design preferences, is being developed to coordinate with the scope of work in the Kirkland Nickel contract documents.

### ***How does the CSS process work?***

Through a series of interactions with the public, elected officials, WSDOT, and City of Kirkland staff, the I-405 CSS team has developed design themes to guide future improvements along the corridor.

WSDOT’s CSS team prepared illustrations and photos of design features, beginning with examples of local baseline designs and compared them to options implemented in other parts of the country. Committee preferences were then narrowed down to features that could be incorporated into the Urban Design Guidelines Manual for the I-405 Corridor. These preferences were later reviewed by WSDOT’s Technical

Committee, KAC and Aesthetics Committees to ensure they fit with corridor-wide aesthetics and maintenance standards.

### ***How will the Kirkland Nickel Project be constructed?***

#### **At-grade Construction**

The at-grade construction work for new lanes and shoulders will include the removal of existing asphalt and concrete surfaces, clearing and grading adjacent areas, laying the aggregate roadway foundation, placing asphalt surfaces, and installing stormwater management facilities. Construction equipment such as backhoes, excavators, front loaders, pavement grinders, jack hammers, trucks will be used along with grading and paving equipment.

Approximately 80 acres of clearing and grading will be required for the additional lanes. Project earthwork will require approximately 175,000 cubic yards of cut and 265,000 cubic yards of fill.

Construction of the Forbes Lake East wetland mitigation site will require excavation and removal of 20,000 to 30,000 cubic yards of material. Construction of the wetland mitigation sites, which is expected to take place over six to nine months, will generate 2,000 to 3,000 truck trips on 124th Avenue NE and other arterials. Construction of the wetland mitigation sites will require removal of 6,000 cubic yards of material.

#### **Bridge Structures**

The project's only new bridge structures will replace the I-405 bridges over NE 116th Street. No in-water work is associated with these bridges. Construction equipment used for the bridges will include cranes, pile drivers (if allowed by resource agencies), drilling rigs and augers, backhoes and excavators, jack hammers, concrete pumping equipment, and slurry processing equipment.

#### **Construction Staging Areas**

Staging areas in unused right of way will provide room for employee parking, large equipment storage, and material stockpiles. Construction staging will occur within areas of existing or newly-acquired right of way adjacent to the mainline; however, this does not mean that staging will not occur elsewhere. The contractor will likely find additional locations for storage and staging. WSDOT will allow staging areas in already disturbed parts of the right of way without

trees. Staging for construction will not occur in environmentally-sensitive areas, as defined by the King County Sensitive Areas Ordinance, which includes wetlands, streams or alongside streams. Possible staging areas can include the following:

- Right of way areas along the project limits, which are generally adequate to perform the work with typical machinery, including room for onsite staging;
- Spaces between the mainline and the southbound NE 70th Street on- and off-ramps;
- Space within the northwest quadrant of the NE 85th Street loop ramp;
- Triangular areas between the on- and off-ramps and the mainline at the northwest, northeast, and southwest quadrants of the NE 85th Street interchange;
- Space within the southbound NE 116th Street on-ramp;
- Northbound and southbound along the mainline, between NE 116th Street and the BNSF bridges where extra-wide WSDOT right of way exists. The northbound side has a wetland area near NE 116th Street that will be delineated by high visibility fencing, but the remaining workable area is greater than 1.5 acres;
- Spaces within the northeast, southeast, and southwest quadrants of the NE 124th Street interchange; and
- Spaces within the northwest, northeast, southwest, and southeast quadrants of the NE 160th Street interchange.

The Biological Assessment prepared for the project contained the following performance standards for staging areas:

- No contractor staging areas will be allowed within 90 meters (300 feet) of any wetland, stream, or river with listed species.
- Temporary materials storage piles will not be placed within the 100-year floodplain between October 1 and May 1. Material used within 12 hours of deposition will not be considered a temporary material storage pile. All temporary material storage piles will be

protected by appropriate BMPs to prevent sediments from leaving the piles.

- When practicable, all equipment fueling and maintenance will occur more than 90 meters (300 feet) from the nearest wetland, ditch, or flowing or standing water. (Fueling large cranes, pile drivers, and drill rigs over 90 meters (300 feet) away may not be practicable.)
- Project contractors will confine construction projects to the minimum area necessary to complete the project.
- Project contractors will flag boundaries of clearing limits associated with site access and construction to prevent ground disturbance outside the limits.

### **Traffic Maintenance**

A conceptual traffic staging plan has been developed to illustrate how construction can occur with minimal disruptions to existing traffic patterns and capacity on the I-405 mainline, the interchanges, and the local roadways. The plan's primary objectives are to maintain existing traffic capacity, and to streamline the construction schedule.

Detour agreements with the local agencies will be obtained by the contractor after contract award. A traffic control plan will need to be approved by WSDOT prior to construction.

I-405 is periodically used by vehicles with over-sized loads to transport freight through the Central Puget Sound area. Both during and after construction, WSDOT will continue to use its existing permit process to accommodate these vehicles. Oversized loads should be scheduled for off-peak periods, subject to their special permits.

### ***What is the project construction schedule?***

Construction is expected to take place in stages, with the entire construction phase lasting up to six years beginning in 2005 and ending in 2011. It is likely that the Kirkland Nickel Project will be constructed in two stages. Stage 1, which is approximately 1.8 miles long, will provide immediate relief in Kirkland's worst congestion areas. WSDOT expects that the first stage of roadway construction, scheduled to begin in the latter half of 2005 and last until 2007, will include the following major elements:

- Construction of new northbound and southbound lanes and shoulders of I-405 between NE 85th Street and NE 124th Street;
- Reconstruction of the northbound off-ramp of the NE 116th Street interchange and minor modifications to the southbound on-ramp. If funding is available, reconstruction of the southbound on-ramp will be completed;
- Construction of related stormwater management facilities and noise walls between NE 85th Street and NE 124th Street;
- Reconstruction of the northbound and southbound bridges over NE 116th Street in preparation for the interchange configuration that will take place in Stage 2;
- Construction of a fish passage facility on Forbes Creek; and
- Construction of wetland mitigation for the entire project.

WSDOT anticipates that the second stage of the project, scheduled to begin in 2009 and last into 2011, will be made up of the following roadway construction elements:

- Restriping of the northbound lane and shoulder from the NE 70th Street interchange to the NE 85th Street interchange;
- Construction of a new southbound lane and shoulder on I-405 from the SR 522 interchange to the NE 124th Street interchange;
- Construction of a new southbound lane and shoulder from the NE 85th Street interchange to the add lane north of the SR 520 interchange;
- Construction of related stormwater management facilities and noise walls between NE 70th Street and NE 85th Street and NE 124th Street and SR 522; and
- Reconfiguration of the NE 116th Street interchange into a half single point urban interchange and widening and improvements to NE 116th Street and the NE 116th Street/120th Avenue NE intersection.

It should be noted that Stage 2 requires some narrower lane and shoulder widths to avoid rebuilding the interchanges at NE 70th, NE 85th, and NE 124th Streets. Future projects for this area are expected to rebuild each of these interchanges.



## CHAPTER 5

---

### The Environment: What's There Now, Project Effects, and Mitigation

*This chapter presents an analysis of the potential effects of the Kirkland Nickel Project on people and the environment. Scientists and planners from the project team conducted more than 20 different studies and summarized their analysis in Discipline Reports to illustrate how the project might affect the area. They used this information as a baseline for examining changes that can occur as a result of constructing improvements to I-405.*

The following discipline reports were prepared for the project. The complete discipline reports are found in Appendices F through Z on a CD included with this Environmental Assessment:

- Air Quality
- Cumulative Effects
- Economics
- Energy
- Environmental Justice
- Fish, Aquatic Habitat, and Threatened and Endangered Species
- Geology, Soils, and Groundwater
- Hazardous Materials and Wastes
- Historic, Cultural, and Archaeological Resources
- Land Use Patterns
- Land Use Plans and Policies
- Noise
- Public Services and Utilities
- Section 4(f) Evaluation
- Social Elements
- Surface Water and Floodplains



**Entrance to Spinney Homestead Park**



**Landslide slope south of SR 522**

---

#### **What is a Discipline Report?**

A discipline report focuses on an environmental topic (discipline) of concern, such as wildlife, noise, water quality, or other built or natural resources. It presents an analysis of the environment with respect to that discipline, how the project may affect that environment, and offers recommendations on how best to avoid or minimize adverse effects to that environment.

---

- Transportation
- Visual Quality
- Water Quality
- Wetlands
- Wildlife, Habitat, and Upland Threatened and Endangered Species

The study area for each discipline report varied, depending on the geographic extent of the potential effects being evaluated and the type of data needed for the analysis. For example, the analysis of recreational facilities required WSDOT to collect data on parks within one-quarter mile of the I-405 right of way. To assess effects on social characteristics, however, WSDOT used Census information and the Puget Sound Regional Council's Forecast Analysis Zone data because these data include a wider geographic area around I-405.

### ***How was environmental information used to improve the project?***

Once the project team collected the environmental baseline data, team members met with the roadway designers to identify places where project construction could have an effect on the environment. For example, to reduce effects to wetlands, WSDOT overlaid wetland locations on the preliminary design plans and made adjustments in the roadway alignment, roadside slopes, and location of stormwater facilities. They made several field visits to examine culvert crossings along the corridor and to propose ways of modifying the grading plan to avoid the need to extend culverts, and to minimize or avoid effects to streams. The project team also used information about a wellhead protection area in Kirkland to modify the location of stormwater discharge points to avoid potential effects on water quality. They made similar efforts to reduce or avoid effects to visual quality, vegetation, geological features, and noise.

### ***How were potential effects evaluated?***

After making modifications to minimize or avoid effects, WSDOT again compared the project design to the baseline conditions. This comparison enabled us to determine environmental, social, and economic changes that would

---

#### **What are potential effects?**

Potential effects are impacts or changes that could occur as a result of a proposed action. The effects may be ecological, aesthetic, historic, cultural, economic, social, or health-related. Examples might include the encroachment upon nearby wildlife that occurs from widening a roadway; the improvement of fish passage from retrofitting a blocked culvert; or how increased noise levels from traffic flow might affect nearby residents.

---

result from constructing and operating the Kirkland Nickel Project. For example, scientists evaluated what could happen to water quality both during and after construction.

Economists examined the effects of property acquisitions on social and economic conditions. Other findings included:

- Traffic will increase in the I-405 Corridor whether the project is constructed or not. The Kirkland Nickel Project will improve mobility and safety and provide additional capacity by adding general-purpose lanes as discussed in Chapter 4, Description of the Project.
- The estimated energy consumption with the operation of the Kirkland Nickel Project will make up a very small portion of the overall amount of fuel consumed annually by Washington State commuters.
- There are no farmlands affected by the project. Consequently, this topic was not addressed in this document.

Team members evaluated these and other aspects of the environment and documented these issues in separate discipline reports. The results of these analyses are summarized in this chapter.

For a cross reference of how discipline reports were grouped in this EA with respect to the NEPA Elements of the Environment, see Appendix C.



---

## 5.1 Traffic and Transportation

*The I-405 Corridor serves as an important transportation thoroughfare for the region. Increased traffic is a result of growth of the regional economy and associated changes in employment and population. Understanding how existing traffic and transportation conditions will change over time is important to many people within the region. WSDOT has assessed the data for both the proposed project and the No Build Alternative to provide an accurate depiction of how traffic conditions along I-405 will look in the future.*



**Congestion on I-405**

### **How were the data for the Kirkland Nickel Project evaluated?**

A travel demand forecasting model, consistent with the Puget Sound Regional Council's forecasts, was used to provide information about future year volumes on I-405. WSDOT reviewed the results of these forecasts for consistency with the cities of Kirkland, Bellevue, and Bothell; King County Metro, Sound Transit, Snohomish County, Community Transit; and the Puget Sound Regional Council. A microsimulation model was subsequently used to analyze freeway operations.

---

*Please refer to the Kirkland  
Nickel Project  
Transportation Discipline  
Report in Appendix F (on  
CD) for a complete  
discussion of the traffic  
analysis.*

---

### **What is traffic like now along the freeway and what will happen in the future?**

On a typical weekday, 191,000 vehicles currently travel along the I-405 Corridor in the Kirkland Nickel Project area. Some 99,000 of these vehicles travel southbound and 92,000 travel northbound. After the project is constructed, the traffic models predict that 211,000 vehicles will travel through the area in 2014 and 239,000 vehicles in 2030. If the project is not constructed, the flow of traffic will be constrained, which means that not all drivers wishing to travel on I-405 will be able to do so. If the project is not constructed, 11,000 fewer drivers will be able to use this part of I-405 in 2014; and 16,000 fewer in 2030.

During the peak period, I-405 in this section commonly experiences bumper-to-bumper and stop-and-go traffic, slower vehicle speeds, and more rear-end collisions. The

usual morning peak hour for I-405 traffic congestion lasts from 7 AM to 8 AM; in the evening the greatest congestion occurs from 4 PM to 5 PM. The times of highest traffic congestion are usually when people are traveling to and from work. As congestion has increased in the region, it has extended these peak periods to the point that congestion commonly lasts for several hours in both the morning and evening. Benefits of the Kirkland Nickel Project will be realized by increasing roadway capacity and reducing bottlenecks that contribute to congestion (Exhibit 5-1).

The following paragraphs give a snapshot of traffic conditions today and how they will look in the future on this part of I-405.

### **Southbound in the Morning**

#### *Today*

The typical southbound morning peak hour has between 5,600 to 6,300 vehicles in the general-purpose lanes and another 700 to 1,000 vehicles in the HOV lane. General-purpose traffic is so congested that average speeds are only about 45 miles per hour with frequent stop-and-go conditions. Traffic in the HOV lane tends to move at the posted limit, 60 miles per hour. Exhibits 5-2 and 5-3 present a comparison between morning conditions for the Build and No Build general-purpose lane volumes and average speeds in general-purpose and HOV lanes.

#### *No Build Alternative in 2014*

If we build nothing, during the peak hour there will be between 5,100 and 6,400 vehicles in the general-purpose lanes and 210 to 520 vehicles in the HOV lane. The decrease in the number of vehicles in the HOV lane is attributed to a traffic model assumption that HOV lane eligibility will change from two-persons-per-vehicle (2+) to three-persons-per-vehicle (3+) by 2014. The average speed in the general-purpose lanes will drop to about 35 miles per hour, with frequent stop-and-go conditions. The average HOV speed will remain at about 60 miles per hour.

**Exhibit 5-1**  
Traffic Conditions Today

**Southbound Lanes  
Morning Conditions**

Traffic volumes are highest between 6:00 am and 9:00 am.



Traffic congestion occurs through Kirkland.

Traffic congestion occurs around SR 522.


Intermittent traffic congestion between SR 520 and SR 522

Traffic volumes are highest between 3:00 pm and 6:00 pm.


**Northbound Lanes  
Late-Afternoon Conditions**



**Exhibit 5-2**  
*General-purpose Vehicles Traveling Through the Corridor  
 During the Morning Peak Hour*



**Exhibit 5-3**  
*Southbound Travel Speeds  
 During the Morning Peak Hour*





### ***Build Alternative in 2014***

The Kirkland Nickel Project will not eliminate traffic congestion 2014, but traffic conditions will be much better than if the project is not built. For example, with improvements, southbound I-405 volumes during the evening peak hour will increase by 500 to 900 vehicles per hour in the general-purpose lanes and average speeds will increase by more than 5 miles per hour. The HOV lane traffic volume and average speed will be similar to 2014 No Build conditions.

The proposed project reduces both the duration and extent of traffic congestion, especially through Kirkland.

### ***No Build Alternative in 2030***

In 2030, southbound morning peak-hour traffic conditions will be similar to that in 2014. The average speed in the general-purpose lanes will decrease to almost 30 miles per hour.

### ***Build Alternative in 2030***


In 2030, southbound peak period traffic conditions will be about 1,500 vehicles per hour greater in the general-purpose lanes than if the project is not built; speeds will be about 15 miles per hour higher. HOV lane traffic will continue to have an average speed of 60 miles per hour.

## **Northbound in the Evening**


### ***Today***

Current northbound evening peak period traffic congestion is noticeably worse than during the morning southbound peak period. Traffic ranges between 5,300 and 6,500 vehicles per hour in the general-purpose lanes, and the average speed is just over 35 miles per hour. Use of the HOV lane is also higher than in the morning southbound peak period, but vehicle speeds are generally at the posted limit, 60 miles per hour. Exhibits 5-4 and 5-5 present a comparison between morning conditions for the Build and No Build general-purpose lane volumes and average speeds in general-purpose and HOV lanes.

**Exhibit 5-4**  
*General-purpose Vehicles Traveling Through the Corridor  
 During the Evening Peak Hour*



**Exhibit 5-5**  
*Northbound Travel Speeds  
 During the Evening Peak Hour*



#### ***No Build Alternative 2014***

If we build nothing, the northbound peak-period congestion will continue to worsen. Most notably, the average vehicle speed in the general-purpose lanes will drop below 30 miles per hour. In addition, our models show that traffic will become increasingly slower as far south as I-90. HOV lane traffic will become somewhat slower with the average vehicle speed decreasing to about 55 miles per hour.

#### ***Build Alternative 2014***

The only northbound mainline improvements to I-405 in the project area will occur between NE 70th Street and NE 124th Street, about 2.8 miles. In this area, there will be little difference between the Build and No Build alternatives. General-purpose, peak-period traffic will have an average speed below 30 miles per hour, and the average HOV lane speed will be about 55 miles per hour.

#### ***No Build Alternative 2030***

Conditions in the general-purpose lanes will be similar to those in 2014, with an average speed below 30 miles per hour. The HOV lane peak hour traffic will increase by 250 to 300 vehicles compared with 2014 conditions; however, average speeds will drop to almost 50 miles per hour.

#### ***Build Alternative 2030***

As noted above, the length of the northbound mainline lane addition is so short that traffic conditions will be only slightly better than the No Build conditions. The short-term benefits identified for 2014 will be mostly overcome by increased traffic volumes in 2030. Additional improvements to I-405 must be implemented to accommodate 2030 traffic volumes.

#### ***What about the reverse commute?***

The northbound morning and southbound evening commutes, referred to as the reverse commutes, are currently free flowing through the Kirkland Nickel Project area. Northbound morning traffic will remain free flowing with either the No Build or the proposed project. However, the southbound evening commute will become worse with either the No Build or Build alternatives because of backups on SR 520. The serious traffic conditions that occur in this area cannot be alleviated without substantially greater changes to the freeway system (e.g., additional lanes and rapid transit improvements),

such as those proposed in other regional plans (see Chapter 3, Developing the Alternatives).

At the southbound off-ramp to NE 70th Street, WSDOT will add a new right-turn lane approximately 350 feet long that will provide additional vehicle queuing space so that vehicles will not back up onto I-405.

After WSDOT reconstructs the NE 116th Street interchange as a half single point urban interchange, traffic operations will be greatly improved. Today, there are long delays and vehicle queues during both the morning and evening commutes. The morning peak period routinely has delays of several minutes for westbound vehicles on NE 116th Street. Without improvements to the interchange, these delays will continue to get longer. The half single point urban interchange will also reduce delays and queues for eastbound vehicles at both the interchange and at the 120th Avenue NE/NE 116th Street intersection. With reconstruction of the interchange, year 2014 morning peak-period delays are projected to be less than a minute.

#### ***How does the project affect freight movements?***

The peak-period congestion benefits will also apply to freight traffic. Because the majority of freight moves during off-peak hours, having more lanes available for general-purpose traffic in these time periods will improve traffic conditions for freight.

#### ***What safety improvements will be included in the Kirkland Nickel Project?***

In the Kirkland section of I-405, the accident rate is 1.03 accidents per million vehicle miles, which is less than the average rate for the whole corridor of 1.48 million vehicle miles. During congested hours when there is bumper-to-bumper traffic, driver inattentiveness is a major cause of accidents. The Kirkland Nickel Project will increase freeway capacity and reduce congestion so that the number of accidents per vehicle mile will go down.

Despite the relatively low accident rate, the section of I-405 within the project area has 11 identified high-accident locations based on WSDOT's 2004 *High Accident Review*. The project includes improvements that will reduce the accident rate at these high accident locations (Exhibit 5-6):

- At the northbound off-ramp at the NE 85th Street interchange, WSDOT will add a traffic signal to give more time for vehicles from the off-ramp to move onto NE 85th Street. The northbound off-ramp will be lengthened to prevent vehicle queues from backing up onto I-405.
- Where the southbound off-ramp to NE 85th Street merges with local traffic, WSDOT will rebuild approximately 200 feet of the off-ramp so that it will intersect with the NE 85th Street westbound through lanes at an angle closer to 90 degrees.


### ***How does the project affect pedestrians and bicyclists?***

Pedestrians and bicyclists will benefit from the sidewalks and bicycle lanes that will be built along the NE 116th Street crossing beneath I-405. Currently, neither pedestrian traffic nor bicycle lanes are located on the south side of NE 116th Street between 120th Street NE and the east side of the interchange. These additions will greatly improve safety and accessibility for pedestrians and cyclists who wish to cross from one side of the freeway to the other.

### ***How will construction activities affect the project area?***

Mainline I-405 lanes may be shifted or re-aligned for widening or reconstruction. To accommodate these improvements, traffic lanes will be closed for short periods of time during the night and on weekends. These closures will be limited to the Kirkland Nickel Project area. The contractor will be required to prepare a traffic management plan prior to making any changes that will affect traffic flow, and the public and service providers will be notified before any changes are made. Further details on specific requirements of this traffic management plan are described below, as well as in the Kirkland Nickel Project Transportation Discipline Report in Appendix F.

**Exhibit 5-6**  
Proposed Interchange and Intersection Improvements



***What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects on traffic during construction?***

- The contractor will prepare a traffic management plan (TMP) prior to making any changes to the traffic flow. The public, school districts, and emergency service providers will be informed of the changes ahead of time through a public information process.
- Prior to and during construction, WSDOT will implement strategies to manage the demand on transportation infrastructure. These transportation demand management (TDM) strategies will form an important part of the construction management program and will be aimed at increasing public awareness and participation in HOV travel.





---

## 5.2 Noise

Noise is sound that is perceived as unpleasant, unwanted, or disturbingly loud. Noise levels are a consideration in transportation projects because noise from construction activities and operation of a roadway can affect daily life. When roadway systems expand to add vehicle capacity, noise levels generally increase, which can interfere with conversations, work and family activities, and sleep. Prolonged or heightened exposure to noise can also result in hearing loss. The project team is working alongside local agencies and the public to evaluate and address traffic noise, ultimately lessening noise effects from the freeway.

### **How were noise levels evaluated for the Kirkland Nickel Project?**

WSDOT uses the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Traffic Noise Model to estimate traffic noise levels. To evaluate levels in the area, WSDOT obtained actual field measurements of current noise levels and current traffic volumes. We used the Traffic Noise Model to compare these data and to make noise projections for the future.

### **How noisy is the project area?**

WSDOT measured noise levels at 110 sensitive receptor sites. From these measurements and modeling data, WSDOT concluded that current noise levels in the project area range between 51 and 75 dBA. Further, current noise levels at 25 of the 110 sites either approach or exceed the FHWA noise abatement criteria of 67 dBA. According to WSDOT noise policy, “approaching FHWA noise abatement criteria” means 66 dBA. These 25 sites represent about 283 residences and other noise-sensitive uses.

### **How will project construction and operation affect noise?**

Construction will be completed in phases, with each phase having its own noise characteristics depending on the types of equipment being used. Roadway construction, for instance, will involve clearing, cut-and-fill (grading), removing old pavement, importing fill, and paving.



**Measuring noise in the project area**

---

*Please refer to the Kirkland Nickel Project Noise Discipline Report in Appendix G (on CD) for a complete discussion of the noise analysis.*

---

---

### **What is FHWA's noise abatement criteria?**

If future noise levels with a project are predicted to approach or exceed the FHWA noise criteria at a sensitive receptor, then mitigation is evaluated at the receptor. For residences, the criteria is 67 dBA.

---

---

**What are sensitive receptors?**

Sensitive receptors represent all land use activity categories where the FHWA noise abatement criteria specify exterior and interior noise levels. Land use activity categories include residences, recreation areas, hotels, schools, churches, libraries, and hospitals.

---

---

**How loud are the noises we hear every day?**

Soft whisper from 15 feet  
30 dBA  
Television from 10 feet  
60 dBA  
Freeway traffic from 50 feet  
70 dBA  
City bus from 50 feet  
80 dBA  
Jet airliner from 200 feet  
120 dBA

---

For the duration of the project, the most prevalent source of noise will be from engines. The loudest noises will be from high-impact equipment, such as jack hammers and pile drivers (if allowed by resource agencies).

***How will the completed project affect noise levels?***

WSDOT compared future traffic noise levels to the FHWA noise abatement criteria<sup>1</sup> to estimate traffic noise impacts for the proposed project. For all locations that exceeded the FHWA criteria, the effectiveness of noise walls to reduce the noise was evaluated. Exhibit 5-7 on the following page shows a comparison of noise levels for today, the proposed project in 2030, and the No Build Alternative.

To include a noise wall in a project, the wall must meet criteria for both feasibility and reasonability. To be feasible, a noise wall must be constructible to achieve a noise reduction of at least 7 dBA at one or more sensitive receptors, and a reduction of at least 5 dBA at most of the first row of sensitive receptors. To be reasonable, the wall must both be wanted by the sensitive receptors that would benefit from the wall and must be cost-effective, by benefiting a sufficient number of sensitive receptors to justify the cost of the wall. The allowable cost per benefited receptor is established in WSDOT policy and depends on the level of traffic noise.


Severe noise impacts occur when traffic noise levels exceed 75 dBA at sensitive receptors or when predicted future noise levels exceed existing levels by 15 dBA or more as a result of the project. With the proposed noise walls, no predicted levels would exceed 75 dBA and no increases of 15 dBA or greater would occur. Consequently, the project would not cause any severe noise impacts.

For the Build Alternative, modeling indicates that without the recommended walls noise levels will approach or exceed the noise abatement criteria at 38 sites representing an equivalent of 365 residences. Noise levels at 25 of these 38 sites currently approach or exceed the FHWA criteria. The Build Alternative includes construction of several noise walls that would substantially reduce noise levels at 19 of the 38 sites predicted to approach or exceed the noise abatement criteria; however,

---

<sup>1</sup> The FHWA noise abatement criteria are the noise levels that, if needed, require the evaluation of mitigation. For residences, the level is 67 dBA.

**Exhibit 5-7**  
**Noise Levels**





***I-405 at NE 60th Street, where a new noise wall will be constructed.***

noise levels at four of the 19 benefited sites would continue to approach or exceed the criteria. As a result, with the proposed noise walls, noise levels at 21 sites will continue to approach or exceed the abatement criteria. At these sites, noise walls and other noise abatement measures were evaluated, but they would not be feasible or reasonable. None of the noise impacts at the 21 remaining sites would be severe (exceeding 75 dBA) under WSDOT's criteria.

***What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize noise effects during construction?***

To reduce construction noise at nearby receptors, the following measures will be incorporated into construction plans and specifications:

- Erecting noise berms and barriers prior to other construction activities to provide noise shielding;
- Limiting the noisiest construction activities, such as pile driving (if allowed by resource agencies), to between 7 AM and 10 PM to reduce construction noise levels during sensitive nighttime hours;
- Outfitting construction equipment engines with adequate mufflers, intake silencers, and engine enclosures to reduce their noise by 5 to 10 dBA (US EPA, 1971);
- Turning off construction equipment during prolonged periods of nonuse to eliminate noise;
- Requiring contractors to maintain all equipment and train their equipment operators in good practices to reduce noise levels;
- Locating stationary equipment away from receiving properties to decrease noise;
- Constructing temporary noise barriers or curtains around stationary equipment that must be located close to residences, to decrease noise levels at nearby sensitive receptors;
- Requiring resilient bed liners in dump trucks to be loaded on site during nighttime hours; and
- Requiring contractors to use OSHA-approved ambient sound-sensing backup alarms that could reduce disturbances from backup alarms during quieter periods.

**Exhibit 5-9: Noise Wall Locations**

Identifier	Location	Approximate Length (feet)	Approximate Height (feet)
<b>New noise walls to be constructed</b>			
NW1	Along the eastern edge of the I-405 right of way along the NE 160th Street northbound on-ramp to 118th Avenue NE	1,280	20
NW3	Along the western edge of the I-405 right of way between NE 132nd Street and 113th Avenue NE	1,680	18
NW4	Along the western edge of the I-405 right of way between the north end of the existing wall west of I-405 in the NE 95th Street vicinity and NE 100th Street	920	16
NW7	Along the eastern edge of the I-405 right of way between NE 80th Street and the off-ramp to NE 85th Street	735	20
NW8	Along the eastern edge of the I-405 right of way between NE 60th Street and the existing noise wall south of NE 67th Place	500	18
<b>Noise walls to be relocated</b>			
NW2	Along the western edge of the I-405 right of way between NE 144th Street and the vicinity of NE 149th Street	1,565	16
NW5	Along the eastern edge of the I-405 right of way beginning at the end of the northbound 85th Street on-ramp and ending at NE 97th Street.	1,325	16
NW6	In the vicinity of the receptor at 11638 NE 92nd Street on the west side of I-405	390	16-20
NW9	Along the western edge of the I-405 right of way between NE 53rd Street and NE 65th Street	700	8

**Exhibit 5-8**  
Location of New or Relocated Noise Walls



***What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize noise effects during operation?***

New noise walls will be constructed at five locations provided that adjacent residents agree and that wall construction is feasible from an engineering perspective (noise wall locations are shown in Exhibits 5-8 and 5-9). Four existing noise walls will be relocated at or closer to the right of way.

---

## 5.3 Land Use Patterns

*Land use planning helps to create and maintain vital communities with close-knit neighborhoods, a sustainable economy, protected natural systems, and an efficient public infrastructure. Balancing transportation and other land use needs through planning helps communities realize their visions. Local land use directly influences traffic patterns, which, in turn, help shape the project design and development.*

### **How do communities in the project area influence where to locate businesses and residences?**

Many municipalities plan for growth at the citywide and neighborhood level. Citywide plans provide overall policy guidance for future development and address topics such as land use, housing, parks and open space, public infrastructure, and the environment. Neighborhood plans allow for a detailed examination of issues affecting smaller geographic areas within the municipality. The cities of Kirkland, Bellevue, and Bothell, as well as King County, have comprehensive plans that describe how their neighborhoods should evolve over time. Those same neighborhoods depend daily on the freeway, transit, and connecting arterial transportation systems that serve them. For these reasons, it is important that the Kirkland Nickel Project be consistent with community plans.

As shown in Exhibit 5-10, the communities have planned for commercial land uses to occur at the I-405 interchanges. This is because visibility, ease of access, and volume of pass-by traffic are important factors to many businesses.

People in residential areas, however, desire low volumes of traffic on their streets. Higher commuter or cut-through traffic volumes on residential streets can create traffic congestion, noise, air quality, safety, and parking issues within neighborhoods.



**Kirkland townhouses under construction**

---

*Please refer to the Kirkland Nickel Project Land Use Plans and Policies and Land Use Patterns discipline reports in Appendices H and I (on CD) for a complete discussion of land use analysis.*


---



**Park-and-Ride facility near NE 116th Street**



**Exhibit 5-10**  
**Land Use Patterns**



### **How can traffic patterns affect businesses and residences?**

Changing traffic patterns can have positive or negative effects on business success and residential appeal. The types of businesses in a commercial area may change in response to changing traffic patterns and accessibility. For example, a service station and a professional office require different traffic patterns and accessibility.

Land use activity in a residential area that experiences a high level of traffic may eventually change to a higher intensity use (i.e., multi-family, commercial, or a mix of the two). This type of change, however, can be influenced by other factors including economics, political climate, zoning, and comprehensive plan designations.

### **How will the Kirkland Nickel Project affect the location of businesses and residences in the project area?**

A beneficial effect on the existing land use in the project area will occur as a result of transportation system improvements. WSDOT expects that the widening of I-405 will alleviate some of the vehicular congestion on adjacent local streets. Easier access and better traffic flow on I-405 will encourage commuters to use the freeway instead of seeking alternative routes on local streets.



---

## 5.4 Communities, Neighborhoods, and Businesses

*Communities, neighborhoods, and businesses are the heart of a region's social identity and economic vitality. Studying the social and economic effects of the Kirkland Nickel Project is important to maintaining the area's unique characteristics, as well as nurturing its living and business environments.*

### **What types of data were analyzed for the project?**

WSDOT conducted analyses of regional and community growth, employment, housing, and the local business environment. In addition, we also evaluated potential project effects on minority and low-income populations, such as changes in travel patterns, accessibility to community facilities, or availability of affordable housing.

Data from the 2000 Census were used to describe current socioeconomic characteristics of the population. Information tabulated by the Puget Sound Regional Council's forecast analysis zones was used to typify historical and projected characteristics. Since the size and shape of Census tracts and forecast analysis zones are irregular, the width of the study area on either side of I-405 varied to some extent.

### **Who lives in the project area?**

The population within the Kirkland Nickel Project area is becoming more diverse. While the people are predominantly white, more racial diversity is apparent today than in 1990. In 1990, approximately 7 percent of the population was non-white, compared to about 17 percent in 2000 (see Exhibit 5-11). This increased diversity provides the foundation for the interesting and healthy communities that surround the project area.




**Local commuters**

---

*Please refer to the Kirkland Nickel Project Economics, Environmental Justice, and Social Elements discipline reports in Appendices J, K, and L, respectively (on CD), for a complete discussion of these analyses.*

---



**What community and social services are found in these communities?**

The cities of Bellevue, Kirkland, and Bothell support formal and informal community organizations that encourage citizen participation. Organizations such as neighborhood groups, youth service providers, business associations, social and recreational organizations, and service groups are all part of the community. The City of Kirkland Parks and Community Services Department provides a variety of recreational programs including the Senior Center, classes for adults and children at the North Kirkland Community Center, and maintenance of the ballfields. The City of Bothell Recreation Section provides a variety of recreational opportunities including structured classes, teen events, adult sports, and youth camps.

Two of the notably larger community facilities in the project area are the Peter Kirk Park in Kirkland and the Park at Bothell Landing in Bothell. Both provide a venue for a range of social activities including senior centers, teen centers, performance centers, community celebrations, concerts, and recreational activities.

## Neighborhoods

Fifteen neighborhoods, located within four jurisdictions, are adjacent to the I-405 mainline. Shown in Exhibits 5-12 and 5-13, they include:

**Exhibit 5-12: Kirkland Nickel Project Neighborhoods**

	Neighborhood	Jurisdiction
1	North Creek/ 195th	Bothell
2	Downtown/ 190th/ Riverfront	Bothell
3	Waynita/Simonds/ Norway	Bothell
4	Brickyard/ Queensgate	Bothell
5	Kingsgate/ North Juanita	King County
6	North Juanita	Kirkland
7	Totem Lake	Kirkland
8	North Rose Hill	Kirkland
9	Highlands	Kirkland
10	Everest	Kirkland
11	South Rose Hill	Kirkland
12	Bridle Trails	Kirkland
13	Central Houghton	Kirkland
14	North Bellevue	Bellevue
15	Bridle Trails	Bellevue

These neighborhoods include churches, schools, developed recreational facilities and undeveloped open space. There are pedestrian and bicycle facilities on several streets adjacent to and spanning I-405, including three bridges over I-405 for non-

**Exhibit 5-13  
Neighborhoods**



---

## HEADLINES THROUGH THE YEARS

### Census Count Gives Kirkland and Vicinity Substantial Gain

*Eastside Journal*  
May 1, 1930

### Kirkland Doubles Population in Decade to 4,500

*Eastside Journal*  
June 8, 1950

### East Side Population May Zoom to 300,000

*Eastside Journal*  
September 3, 1959

### Kirkland Gains 249 Residents Over 15,000 City Estimate

*Eastside Journal*  
March 10, 1971

### I-405 Dedication Scheduled November 5

*Eastside Journal*  
October 29, 1969


---

motorized and emergency vehicle use only (NE 60th Street, NE 80th Street, and NE 100th Street).

## Population

Most of the rapid population growth in the Kirkland Nickel Project area occurred during the 1970s and 1980s. During that time, employment, the number of households, and traffic volumes increased dramatically. More recently, the project area has experienced relatively slow population growth, a trend that is expected to continue because much of the land is already developed. Exhibit 5-14 shows historical and projected population in the project area.


**Exhibit 5-14**  
*Population Growth in the Area:*  
1970 - 2030



## Housing

Housing changes for Census tracts (approximately one mile on either side) between 1993 and 2002 indicate that nearly 9,000 new residential units were permitted within the Kirkland Nickel Project area. Given the current zoning regulations and availability of land, the area has the capacity for over 28,500 new housing units, including single- and multi-family residential, and multi-use residential (Exhibit 5-15).

**Exhibit 5-15**  
*Housing Units Authorized by Permit*




### **Business and Employment**

The principal economic areas potentially affected by the Kirkland Nickel Project include the Finn Hill, Juanita, and Kingsgate neighborhoods of unincorporated King County; and the cities of Bellevue, Kirkland, Woodinville, Bothell, and Redmond. Combined, the five cities served as home to firms employing 255,000 employees in 2002, or 16 percent of the Central Puget Sound region's total employment (King, Kitsap, Pierce, and Snohomish counties). Commercial activity in these cities is dominated by activity in the Finance, Insurance, Real Estate and Services sector (FIRES) of the economy, but the affected cities also support a wide range of retail activity in Kirkland and Woodinville, and a regional retail destination in Bellevue immediately to the south of the Kirkland Nickel Project area. Exhibit 5-16 shows the share of employment per major sector for the project area. The relative shares of employment by sector are generally similar to King County as a whole, with a slightly greater emphasis on FIRES.

### **Exhibit 5-16**

#### *Employment by Sector in the Project Area: 2000*



#### **How will the project affect communities, neighborhoods, and businesses?**

The Kirkland Nickel Project will have minor effects on communities and people within the project area, and most of these effects will be beneficial. The Context Sensitive Solutions design principles to be used will help make the project fit aesthetically with the community. Periods of congestion will be shortened in the Kirkland area and the reconfiguration of the interchange at NE 116th Street will make it operate more efficiently. Improvements to the northbound and southbound off-ramps at NE 85th Street will make merging with local traffic safer.

#### **Communities and neighborhoods**

WSDOT's analysis shows that community integrity will remain intact during operation of the Kirkland Nickel Project because neighborhoods in the vicinity of I-405 are already well established. Access to community facilities and recreational areas will remain unchanged. Pedestrian and bicycle facilities

will also remain unaffected except for improvements at the NE 116th Street interchange.

### **Minority and low-income populations**

The Kirkland Nickel Project will not have disproportionately high and adverse effects on minority or low-income populations, or resources/services that are especially important to a minority and/or low-income populations. The details of WSDOT's analysis can be found in the Kirkland Nickel Project Environmental Justice Discipline Report found in Appendix I on CD.

WSDOT conducted numerous outreach efforts to reach minority, low-income, and other special groups to convey information about the Kirkland Nickel Project. WSDOT did this outreach, also known as "environmental justice" outreach to ensure that the project would not disproportionately affect minority or low-income populations. Most minority and/or low-income residents who provided feedback were glad that action will be taken to improve traveling conditions on I-405.

Most minority and/or low-income residents that were contacted for this study used I-405 to get to work or to access public services. They found traveling difficult when the freeway was congested. In general, these residents:

- Appreciated that WSDOT reached out to contact them;
- Seemed pleased that something was being done to improve traffic congestion on I-405;
- Recognized that there was a traffic problem and that it affected their daily lives—congestion on I-405 frequently made them late for appointments for essential services such as health care, and for more routine activities such as grocery trips;
- Expressed concern over potential interruptions in bus service and that comfortable bus trips were a major concern;
- Acknowledged that I-405 congestion and subsequent delays were less important in their lives when compared to other economic concerns.

### **Businesses**

The project will have modest, positive effects on access to the commercial areas through year 2014. However, the overall

---

#### **What is environmental justice?**

The term *environmental justice* is relatively new; however, the issues related to the concept have been in public discussion for decades. Essentially, environmental justice is the simple, common sense notion that the negative environmental effects of projects should not disproportionately burden low income or minority communities. Executive Order 12898, issued by President Clinton in 1994, provides that "each Federal agency shall make achieving environmental justice part of its mission by identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of its programs, policies, and activities on minority and low-income populations."

---

accessibility benefits will stabilize beyond 2014 as traffic volumes continue to increase. The project will have long-term, indirect benefits to the local economy because of improved mobility throughout the corridor.

***How will communities, neighborhoods, and businesses be affected by construction activities?***

Construction of the Kirkland Nickel Project is expected to last up to six years; however, construction activity in any one location will take substantially less time. Construction will pose some minor inconveniences because of localized travel delays, changes in some business access, possible parking reductions, and traffic re-routing. Access to some businesses may become slightly more difficult during construction of the NE 116th Street interchange, causing some customers temporarily to go elsewhere or postpone their trips. Some travelers may choose alternate routes to avoid construction activity. These detours and delays will be of short duration and highly localized; they will not affect social interaction or the economic vitality within local neighborhoods or the project area.

***Will existing properties be acquired or displaced?***

**Right of Way and Easements**

Although most of the Kirkland Nickel Project will be constructed in existing right of way, WSDOT will need to acquire property and easements in several areas (see Exhibits 5-17 and 5-18). These areas are adjacent to:

- The Brickyard Park-and-Ride and the west side of I-405 at NE 145th Street;
- In the vicinity of the NE 116th Street interchange; and
- Near East Riverside Drive in Bothell.

In total, WSDOT will need to acquire approximately 5.28 acres for right of way and stormwater runoff detention ponds for the project. In addition, property will be acquired for wetlands mitigation at three or more locations.

***Brickyard Park-and-Ride***

WSDOT will acquire 2.1 acres of property near the Brickyard Park-and-Ride from King County Metro to construct a new southbound on-ramp from NE 160th Street and a detention



pond. The land is currently vacant and is partially covered by a wetland. A full acquisition and relocation of one residence will be necessary for the construction of a detention pond.

### ***NE 116th Street Interchange***

In the vicinity of the I-405 and NE 116th Street interchange, WSDOT will acquire approximately 0.75 acres of property from twelve property owners along NE 116th Street west of the interchange. The purpose of these acquisitions will be to widen and add turn lanes on NE 116th Street and 120th Avenue NE. Full acquisition and relocation of a transmission repair service may be necessary. Partial acquisitions and easements of narrow strips of property will be necessary from the other eleven parcels; these acquisitions will not affect the operations of those businesses during construction or operation. The partial acquisitions are small and will not change site use with respect to local land use code. On the east side of the interchange, the widening of NE 116th Street will take place within existing City of Kirkland right of way; WSDOT will not acquire additional property at this location.

### ***East Riverside Drive***

A full acquisition and relocation of one residence will be necessary in the vicinity of Riverside Drive. Easements will be necessary from the other five properties in this area.

WSDOT will also acquire 7.6 acres of land for wetlands mitigation and enter into a Memorandum of Agreement with the City of Kirkland to use 4.5 acres of city property for wetland mitigation.



***NE 116th Street and 120th Avenue NE, where widening of turn lanes will require some property acquisitions***

**Exhibit 5-17: Property Acquisitions and Easements**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Purpose of Acquisition or Easement</b>	<b>Current Land Use</b>	<b>Parcel Size (sq. ft.)</b>	<b>Acquisition Area (sq. ft.)</b>	<b>Easement Area (sq. ft.)</b>
1	Detention Pond	Residential	40,042	40,042	NA
2	Temporary Construction Easement	Residential	143,748	NA	1,923
3	Detention Pond	Residential	53,583	NA	2,864
4	Detention Pond	Residential	74,052	NA	6,175
5	Temporary Construction Easement	Residential	63,395	NA	4,611
6	Temporary Construction Easement	Vacant	4,500	NA	2,785
7	Detention Pond Roadway Slopes	Future Park-and-Ride Expansion	794,099	91,940	NA
8	Detention Pond	Residential	65,340	65,340	NA
9	Roadway Widening	Light Industrial	460,865	1,375	13,735
10	Roadway Widening and Subterranean Easement	Vacant	81,893	2,463	6,442
11	Roadway Widening	Commercial	73,616	4,162	1,804
12	Roadway Widening	Commercial	81,000	3,489	2,455
13	Roadway Widening	Transmission Shop	17,000	17,000	NA
14	Roadway Widening	Car Dealership	41,726	321	NA
15	Roadway Widening	Car Dealership	86,528	135	162
16	Roadway Widening	Commercial	296,505	2,018	956
17	Roadway Widening	Commercial	42,361	1,344	4,510
18	Roadway Widening and Temporary Construction Easement	Truck Refueling	14,240	401	NA
19	Noise Wall	Costco Parking Lot	32,050	NA	7,958
20	Temporary Construction Easement	Commercial	27,300	NA	6,234
21	Temporary Construction Easement	Vacant	111,514	NA	1,113
22	Wetland Mitigation <sup>1</sup>	Wooded	215,819		215,819 <sup>2</sup>
23	Wetland Mitigation <sup>1</sup>	Lawn	136,495	136,495	
24 and 25	Wetland Mitigation <sup>1</sup>	Wooded	202,118	202,118	

<sup>1</sup> See Exhibit 5-40 for the location of these sites.

<sup>2</sup> City of Kirkland property.





***Freight movement in the I-405 Corridor***

---

#### **What is the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970?**

On January 2, 1971, Public Law 91-646, the "Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970," (Uniform Act) was signed into law. The Uniform Relocation Act provides important protections and assistance for people affected by federally-funded projects. This law was enacted by Congress to ensure that people whose real property is acquired, or who move as a result of projects receiving federal funds, will be treated fairly and equitably and will receive assistance in moving from the property they occupy.

---

### ***What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to communities, neighborhoods and businesses during construction?***

To reduce the effects of construction activities on neighborhoods and businesses, the following measures will be incorporated into construction plans and specifications.

#### **Communities and neighborhoods**

- The contractor will be required to prepare and implement a traffic management plan (TMP). If local streets must be temporarily closed during construction, detour routes will be provided and clearly marked with signs.
- The contractor will coordinate with the school districts before construction. The TMP will be implemented and coordinated with all emergency services organizations prior to any construction activity.
- The contractor will coordinate with utility providers prior to construction to identify conflicts and resolve the conflicts prior to or during construction.

#### **Businesses**

##### ***Construction Interference***

- The contractor will be required to maintain access to businesses throughout the construction period.
- Because it can be difficult to determine whether a business is open, or how to access the site during the construction period, the contractor will make provisions for posting appropriate signs to communicate the necessary information to potential customers.
- The contractor will keep daytime street closures to a minimum.

##### ***Displacements***

- In those situations where it is necessary to acquire property, WSDOT will conform to the requirements set forth in accordance with the Federal Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended and implemented by FHWA under 49 CFR Part 24, and according to Chapter 468-100 WAC Uniform Relocation and

Assistance and Real Property Acquisition. This will ensure just compensation of all properties and have a minimal effect on the current owners and residents. Relocation resources are available, without discrimination, to all eligible residential and business relocates.

- WSDOT will prepare a relocation plan in advance of actual displacements. Additional information will be collected, possibly through property owner interviews, to identify the specific needs of any business that will be relocated.



---

## 5.5 Recreational and Cultural Resources

*Citizens appreciate recreational resources because they help to improve the quality of life within our communities. Public spaces that are enjoyable, accessible, and diverse in their social and recreational functions enrich minds, bodies, and spirits.*

*Likewise, cultural and historic resources provide an important link to the past while establishing meaningful connections to lives today. They serve as memories and symbols of a community's accomplishments and represent the distinctive architectural, landscape, and engineering designs of our region.*

### **What recreational, historic, and cultural resources are located within the project area and how will they be affected?**

WSDOT identified nearby recreational, historic, cultural, and archaeological resources within the Kirkland Nickel Project. No historic or cultural resources were found that could be affected by the project. However, four recreational resources (parks) were identified that were close enough to the proposed project to be evaluated for effects from construction or operation as part of Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) analysis. Exhibit 5-19 depicts these four parks, the agencies that own them, and the types of recreational activities offered. Exhibit 5-20 shows the locations of the parks on a regional map.

Below we have provided a description of each park, as well as a description of the closest construction activities. Our evaluation shows that there will be no effects to the parks.



**Cycling in the project area**

---

*Please refer to Appendix M (on CD) for a complete discussion of historic, cultural, and archaeological resources analyses. In addition, Appendix N provides an evaluation of Section 4(f) resources.*

---

### **What is Section 4(f)?**

Section 4(f) of the US Department of Transportation (USDOT) Act of 1966 49 USC 303 provides that the proposed use of any land from a major publicly-owned park, recreational area, wildlife and waterfowl refuge, or any important historic site, will not be approved by the USDOT unless a determination is made that there is no feasible and prudent alternative to the use of land from that property. The Act also requires that the proposed action include all possible planning to minimize harm that may result from such use.

---

**Exhibit 5-20**  
Recreational and Historic Resources



**Exhibit 5-19: Recreational Resources in the Project Area**

	Park	Jurisdiction	Facility Type
1	Kingsgate	King County	Trail
2	Edith Moulton	King County	Park (Closed)
3	Spinney Homestead	Kirkland	Playfields, Picnic Area, Playground
4	Watershed	Kirkland	Undeveloped Open Space

### **What are the characteristics of local parks and will they be affected by the project?**

To understand whether recreational activities may be disrupted as a result of the Kirkland Nickel Project, we examined the specific characteristics of the four parks adjacent to the project area. In addition, we identified the construction activities that will take place near the parks. Finally, we looked for long-term impacts to the parks once the project was complete, such as noise increases.

**Kingsgate Park (1)** is a 7-acre, King County park located on the east side of I-405. This area is characterized by dense, native deciduous and evergreen trees and offers hiking trails. The western boundary borders the I-405 right of way. An inside southbound travel lane will be constructed approximately 250 feet from this park. The park is buffered from I-405 by trees and distance, and there will be no change to the visual experience or acoustic conditions for hikers in the park.

**Edith Moulton Park (2)** is a 26-acre King County park located west of I-405. The park is largely undeveloped on its west, north, and east sides. This undeveloped area is characterized by dense, native deciduous and evergreen trees. A short portion of the east boundary is adjacent to the I-405 right of way. The remaining east boundary borders multi-family and single-family housing. An inside southbound travel lane will be constructed approximately 450 feet from this park. Because of distance, the developed area of the park—consisting of open lawn, picnic area, and picnic shelter—is well buffered from I-405, both visually and acoustically.



**Spinney Homestead Park (3)** is a developed 6.5-acre City of Kirkland park. Recreation facilities include a children's playground, pathways, open lawn area, as well as on-site parking. A large earth berm with dense deciduous and evergreen trees is located between the freeway shoulder and the park. This berm blocks the view to I-405 from the park. A southbound travel lane will be constructed approximately 125 feet from the park at its closest location. Some of the vegetation in the WSDOT right of way will be removed; however, the earth berm and many of the trees and shrubs will remain and continue to separate I-405 from the park. Traffic-generated noise will increase slightly, but not to a discernable level.

**Watershed Park (4)** is a 66-acre City of Kirkland park with an eastern boundary adjacent to the I-405 right of way. The park is largely undeveloped woodland that offers hiking trails. On the I-405 mainline, a southbound travel lane will be constructed approximately 65 feet from this park. Trees on the I-405 right of way will be removed during construction to make room for the added lane. However, construction will not affect trees in the park. The park is well vegetated; the hiking trails will continue to be screened visually from I-405. Traffic-generated noise will increase slightly, but not to a discernable level, and there will be no change to the visual experience for hikers within the park.

---

***What historic, cultural, and archaeological resources are located in the project area?***

Through their archival research, project historians identified one above-ground historical resource within the project area.

The Shaw House, which appears to meet National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) eligibility Criterion A (for its association with economic growth during the early Twentieth Century) and Criterion C as an example of the Craftsman bungalow style.

A field survey also conducted as part of the Section 106 analysis revealed no additional buildings with physical integrity of historic significance.

Project archaeologists walked the project area and shovel tested landforms along the I-405 right of way. No buried archaeological resources were found; we do not expect to encounter them during construction.

---

**What is Section 6(f)?**

Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Act (LWCFA) concerns transportation projects that propose impacts, or the permanent conversion, of outdoor recreation property that was acquired or developed with LWCFA grant assistance which in Washington is distributed by the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation. The Act prohibits the conversion of property acquired or developed with these grants to a non-recreational purpose without the approval of the National Park Service.

---

---

**What is Section 106?**

Section 106 of the Historic Properties Act requires federal agencies to account for the effects of their undertakings on historic properties and to afford the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation an opportunity to comment. FHWA and WSDOT also seek to ensure that each tribe has the opportunity to identify and address any concerns regarding identification and evaluation of cultural resources and potential effects of the undertaking upon such resources.

---



***Shaw House (eligible for the NRHP)***

***Will any historic, cultural, and archaeological resources be affected by the Kirkland Nickel Project?***

The Shaw House is located sufficiently far from the site and is buffered by vegetation on local terrain so that it will not be affected by the project.

Though we do not expect to encounter archaeological resources during the project, WSDOT will prepare an Unanticipated Discovery Plan for the project that the contractor will be required to follow. This will avoid or minimize effects to historic, cultural, and archaeological resources.

Letters of concurrence regarding the area of potential effects and on the effects analysis from the Washington State Historic Preservation Office are included in Appendix D.

---

## 5.6 Public Services and Utilities

*Public services and utilities are an important consideration during the planning and construction of transportation projects because they affect the quality of human life. They allow people to live in a safer environment and enjoy a higher standard of living. If these services were to be interrupted, discontinued, or altered, such unanticipated inconveniences or emergencies could affect work schedules, daily activities, and other routine activities.*

### **How were public services and utilities identified and analyzed for the Kirkland Nickel Project?**

WSDOT evaluated the changes in travel times associated with construction and future operation of the project. This information was used to determine whether the project would affect response times of emergency vehicles, travel for school buses, and people accessing other public services, such as medical clinics.

WSDOT conducted a review of existing utility locations and compared them against the proposed project footprint. Any potential conflicts were noted and described by type and quantity. With this data, we determined where potential utility service disruptions and access problems might occur.

### **What public services and utilities are located in the project area?**

Public services and utilities within the Kirkland Nickel Project area are provided by a mix of local, regional, public, and private entities. Locations of public services are presented in Exhibit 5-21 and listed below.

**Police** – The cities of Kirkland and Bothell, the King County Sheriff's Office, and the Washington State Patrol provide police protection to residents in this area.

**Fire and Emergency Medical Services** – Providers include the City of Kirkland Fire Department, the City of Bothell Fire Department, King County Fire District 41, and Woodinville Fire and Life Safety.



*City of Kirkland Fire Department in action*

---

*Please refer to the Kirkland Nickel Project Public Services and Utilities Discipline Report in Appendix O (on CD) for a complete discussion of public services and utilities analysis.*

---

**Exhibit 5-21**  
**Public Services**



**School Districts** – The Northshore and Lake Washington school districts provide public education in the project area, supplemented by private educational institutions.

**Transit Services** – King County Metro Transit, Community Transit, and Sound Transit provide regional and local bus service along I-405 through the project area, including service to park-and-ride facilities. Five park-and-rides directly serve the project area. Vanpool service is provided by King County Metro and Community Transit.

**Healthcare Services** – Six hospitals and health clinics serve the project area. Combined, their services range from emergency Level IV Trauma to mental health and chemical dependency treatment.

**Utilities** – Water, sewer, solid waste service, storm sewer, electric power, gas, fuel, phone, and cable telecommunications are provided within the area. These utilities are transmitted by both above- and below-ground lines.

#### **How will public services and utilities be affected?**

WSDOT determined that the Kirkland Nickel Project will have positive benefits to public services by improving response times for emergency vehicles. By adding a southbound lane, 10-20 percent more vehicles will be able to travel during the morning commute by 2014. The addition of the one northbound lane will also improve traffic flow during the early evening commute.

Overall, improved traffic flow will reduce response times for emergency vehicles, increase transit reliability, and make travel easier for individuals who use I-405 to get to public service provider locations.

#### **Will any public services be displaced?**

A park-and-ride lot located at the intersection of NE 116th Street and 120th Avenue NE will need to be removed. This park-and-ride lot, which has a current capacity for 24 vehicles, is located on WSDOT property. WSDOT has allowed temporary use of this property by King County Metro; the lot will not be replaced.

***Will the project cause any utility disruptions?***

The Kirkland Nickel Project will have temporary and minor effects on utilities; any probable utility conflicts will be resolved, typically by relocation of the utility prior to construction. Relocation will be at the expense of the utility operator. All known utilities in the project right of way operate under an agreement with WSDOT that allows for their relocation at the expense of the utility provider.

***Will construction activities affect the area?***

How construction activities will affect neighbors and commuters is always a concern of WSDOT. However, effects on services are expected to be minor during construction of the Kirkland Nickel Project. Travelers through the area can expect minor delays; transit, school buses, and emergency response vehicles may also experience temporary route detours during some construction phases.

***What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to public services and utilities during construction?***

WSDOT will coordinate several efforts with the contractor prior to and during construction of the project. These efforts will ensure that:

- The contractor will prepare and implement a traffic management plan (TMP). Signs will be posted to show detour routes if periods of closure are needed.
- Coordination with the school districts will occur before construction. The TMP will be implemented and coordinated with all emergency services providers prior to any construction activity.
- Coordination with utility service providers will identify conflicts and resolve them prior to or during construction.
- Prior to removal of the park-and-ride facility at NE 116th Street and 112th Avenue NE, signs will be posted at the lot to announce closure, and the location of nearby lots will be identified.
- Potential utility conflicts within WSDOT right of way will be relocated at the utility's expense prior to construction.



***Utility relocation in the project area***





---

## 5.7 Visual Quality

When a person views the environment during an everyday commute or on a first-time trip to the city, the visual characteristics strongly influence responses—positive and negative. Research has shown that most people will generally agree on which views have high or low visual quality. This chapter describes how WSDOT studied the visual quality of the Kirkland Nickel Project area and examined how construction and operations will affect the views found within these local communities.

### **How were visual resources identified and evaluated for the project?**

WSDOT conducted a visual impact assessment that evaluated both negative and positive visual effects of the project on the area's visual resources. These visual resources were identified based on a field reconnaissance of the I-405 Corridor, review of existing aerial photographs and review of proposed design plans for the project. The visual resources were evaluated using a subjective evaluation of three criteria: vividness, intactness, and unity. These “artistic” criteria are prominent in landscapes perceived as having high visual quality. Proposed project improvements were then incorporated into the views looking toward and from I-405 to determine visual quality after project construction. The visual effects were based on the degree of change between the existing visual quality and the visual.

### **What are the visual resources located in the project area?**

The Kirkland Nickel Project consists of an urban and suburban landscape with some roadside elements of natural vegetation providing isolated wooded landscape elements. Much of the right of way between interchanges has trees and other vegetation along the right of way. Generally, the land on either side of I-405 is developed as single-family residential neighborhoods interspersed with parks, schools, and churches. In many areas, houses and/or apartment buildings directly abut the I-405 right of way. The areas around the



**NE 160th Street southbound on-ramp**

---

*Please refer to the Kirkland Nickel Project Visual Quality Discipline Report in Appendix P (on CD) for a complete discussion of visual quality analysis.*


---

### **How is visual quality determined?**

The project team determined the visual quality of existing views using three criteria.

- Vividness is the memorability of landscape components as they combine in striking and distinctive visual patterns.
  - Intactness is the visual integrity of the natural and human landscape and its freedom from encroaching elements.
  - Unity is the visual coherence and compositional harmony of the landscape considered as a whole (FHWA, 1981).
-

**Exhibit 5-22**  
Area Visible from I-405



interchanges are typically developed with a mix of commercial and light industrial land uses as well as multi-family residences.

The existing viewshed<sup>1</sup> is the area visible from I-405 (see Exhibit 5-22). Evaluators considered how the Kirkland Nickel Project will affect views looking from I-405 and toward I-405.

Currently, vegetation and structures screen many views looking both from and toward I-405. Vegetation along the right of way, particularly trees, provides an important visual screen between the roadway and adjacent lands. Visibility also decreases with distance. The freeway is visible from some locations near the roadway on cross streets, and there is greater visibility at the interchanges.

### **How will people be affected by visual changes as a result of the project?**

Both roadway users and neighbors will experience changes in the visual resources in the vicinity of the I-405 and NE 116th Street interchange, which will be reconfigured and reconstructed. However, the widening of NE 116th Street and the reconfiguration of the interchange do not represent a substantial change to the existing landscape of commercial strip development and light industrial uses near the interchange.

#### **I-405 users**

Freeway users will experience minor changes in their visual environment as a result of the project. Exhibit 5-23 shows how the freeway will likely look to a freeway user at I-405 northbound at NE 100th Street. The effects on visual quality will include slight increases in urbanization and encroachment, e.g., additional pavement, traffic lanes, signs, and other transportation-related structures. Further, some of the existing roadside vegetation, including many medium to large trees, will be cleared for construction.

<sup>1</sup> The landscape that can be directly seen from a viewpoint or along a transportation corridor

The project includes provisions for maintaining the natural vegetation in areas where construction will not be occurring, and planting new vegetation to buffer constructed elements. Overall, the effect on freeway users will be low.

### **I-405 neighbors**

The Kirkland Nickel Project will not affect the visual quality experienced by most I-405 neighbors. Roadway facilities are not visible from most of the surrounding neighborhoods; where they can be seen, a noise wall is usually the structure that is visible. Exhibit 5-24 shows how the freeway will look to neighbors on Slater Avenue NE where the noise wall will be moved to the edge of the right of way. Overall, few neighbors will experience noticeable changes in the visual environment.

### **How will project construction activities affect views?**

Removing vegetation will create temporary effects on I-405 users and neighbors during construction, increasing visibility looking toward and away from the freeway. In addition, the necessary construction equipment, barricades, lights, and signs will add complexity to what freeway users and some neighbors will see.

### **What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to visual quality during construction?**

- The contractor will follow the I-405 Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS) criteria being developed. Where local terrain and placement of light poles allow, the contractor will reduce light and glare effects by shielding roadway lighting and using downcast lighting so light sources will not be directly visible from residential areas and local streets.
- The contractor will restore (revegetate) construction areas in phases rather than waiting for the entire project to be completed.

**Exhibit 5-23**  
*I-405 at NE 100th Street, looking north from pedestrian bridge*



**Before**

**After**




**Exhibit 5-24**  
*Looking southwest from Slater Avenue NE*



**Before**

**After**







---

## 5.8 Air Quality

Clean air is important to a community's wellbeing and the environment. Pollutants in the air can have negative effects on human health and cause harm to animals, plants, and materials. Emissions from cars, trucks, and buses are a major factor affecting air quality, particularly in urban areas. Maintaining good air quality will be important to freeway users, neighbors, and the community at large.

### **Is air quality a concern in the project area?**

Because of heavy traffic congestion in the project area, there are several air pollutants associated with vehicle emissions. These pollutants include oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>)<sup>1</sup>, ozone, hazardous air pollutants, and greenhouse gases, primarily carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>). CO is a colorless, odorless, and poisonous gas generated by automobiles that reduces the oxygen-carrying capability of the blood. Nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) and hydrocarbons contribute to the ozone formation on a regional scale. Ozone, also referred to as smog, is an irritant, reduces lung function, and can damage plants and materials. PM<sub>10</sub> refers to particles less than 10 micrometers in size; it includes small dust particles and diesel particulate. The small particles can be inhaled deeply into the lungs, potentially leading to respiratory diseases. PM<sub>10</sub> is an important concern during construction.

### **How was air quality evaluated for the project?**

Air pollution is treated as a regional issue; however, some pollutants, such as CO, can have localized areas of high concentrations or “hot spots” under stable atmospheric conditions.

Regionally, the Kirkland Nickel Project was evaluated as part of the I-405 Corridor Program by the Puget Sound Regional Council. Air quality modeling results show that the Puget Sound Region, including the I-405 Corridor Program improvements, will conform to the Clean Air Act.



**Heavy traffic on I-405**

---

*Please refer to the Kirkland Nickel Project Air Quality Discipline Report in Appendix Q (on CD) for a complete discussion of the air quality analysis.*

---

### **What is the Clean Air Act?**

The Clean Air Act of 1970, 42 USC 7401 et seq., was enacted to protect and enhance air quality and to assist state and local governments with air pollution prevention programs. Under the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, USDOT cannot fund, authorize, or approve federal actions to support programs or projects that are not first found to conform to Clean Air Act requirements.

---

---

<sup>1</sup> Any liquid or solid particles present in the atmosphere.

---

**What are air quality standards?**

Under the federal Clean Air Act, the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) that specify maximum concentrations for specific pollutants. Transportation projects must conform to the NAAQS by demonstrating that:

- the proposed project will not cause or contribute to any new violation of NAAQS;
- the project will not increase the frequency or severity of any existing violation of any NAAQS; and
- the project will not delay timely attainment of the NAAQS within the region.
- It will not increase a CO reading in the design year (2030) over the CO reading in the existing year.

In addition to federal requirements, the Kirkland Nickel Project must conform to Air Quality Maintenance Plans (AQMPs) for ozone and CO that have been established for the Puget Sound region.

---

WSDOT evaluated how the Kirkland Nickel Project will affect regional air quality characteristics such as greenhouse gas emissions and ozone formation, as well as particulate matter.

Two future years were evaluated, 2014 and 2030. The year 2014 was analyzed to determine the project's effects on air quality in the year when the entire Kirkland Nickel Project is anticipated to be completed. The year 2030 was also evaluated to show the project's long-term effects.

***How will air quality change with the project?***

Based on the results of modeling, WSDOT has concluded that there will be no substantial air quality effects from CO concentrations as a result of the Kirkland Nickel Project.

WSDOT studied air quality at the four intersections with the highest traffic volumes and the most congestion (Exhibit 5-25). We used these intersections to model worst-case CO levels under existing conditions, as well as future conditions projected for both the proposed Build and the No Build alternatives. The modeled results represent the worst anticipated atmospheric conditions of cold, stable air, and peak-period traffic.


Because more traffic will move through some intersections with the project, the worst-case CO concentrations will be slightly higher at some locations with the project than without; however, none of the predicted future concentrations will exceed the NAAQS for CO; therefore, the project will not have a substantial negative effect on localized CO levels (Exhibits 5-26 and 5-27).

***How will construction activities affect air quality?***


Construction activities typical of roadway projects will temporarily generate particulate matter (mostly dust) and small amounts of other pollutants.

Emissions during construction activities will be temporary, limited to the immediate area surrounding the construction site, and will contribute only a small amount to the total emissions in the project area.


**Exhibit 5-25**  
*Intersections Studied for Air Quality*



**Exhibit 5-26**  
One-hour Average CO Concentrations



**Exhibit 5-27**  
Eight-hour Average CO Concentrations



**What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to air quality during construction?**

Measures to reduce air quality emissions during construction were discussed in the *I-405 Corridor EIS*. The measures applicable to the Kirkland Nickel Project are summarized here.

Fugitive dust will be controlled by the contractor in accordance with the Memorandum of Agreement between WSDOT and PSCAA Regarding Control of Fugitive Dust from Construction Projects (October 1999).

The following measures will be used to control dust (PM<sub>10</sub>), transmission of particulate matter, and emissions of CO and NO<sub>x</sub> during construction:

- Exposed soil will be sprayed with water to reduce emissions of PM<sub>10</sub> and deposition of particulate matter.
- All truck loads will be covered, and materials in trucks will be wetted or providing adequate freeboard (space from the top of the material to the top of the truck) to reduce PM<sub>10</sub> and deposition of particulates during transport.
- Wheel washers will be provided to remove particulate matter that would otherwise be carried off site by vehicles to decrease deposition of particulate matter on area roadways.
- Particulate matter deposited on public roads will be removed to reduce mud on area roadways.
- Dirt, gravel, and debris piles will be covered or wetted during periods of high wind when the stockpiles are not in use.
- Construction trucks will be routed and scheduled to reduce travel delays and unnecessary fuel consumption.





---

## 5.9 Water Resources

Water resources are essential to maintaining human health, fish and wildlife habitat, and vegetation. These resources can be affected by roadway projects because increased impervious surfaces can lead to changes in hydrology, degrade the surface waters that drain to streams and, thereby, affect natural habitats. These changes can also influence flooding effects and groundwater recharge<sup>1</sup>.

The Kirkland Nickel Project will benefit local water quality and baseline hydrology by treating almost three times as much impervious surface as the project will create.

### **How were water resources evaluated for the project?**


To identify water resources within the Kirkland Nickel Project area, WSDOT scientists and staff reviewed numerous maps and plans, GIS databases, aerial photographs, water quality studies, databases on point sources (such as pipes, ditches, channels, and wells), agency Web pages, and other recent data.

### **What water resources are found in the project area?**

Natural water resources typically include surface water (also in the form of stormwater), floodplains, lakes, wetlands, and groundwater. Within the Kirkland Nickel Project area, a wide range of these resources exists.

### **Surface Water**

Surface waters are waters stored or flowing at the earth's surface including natural bodies of water (rivers, lakes, and wetlands), as well as water in human-made storage and conveyance facilities (lakes, detention ponds, and piped drainage systems). Discharges to these waters are regulated by the Clean Water Act. Effects to surface waters can occur when pervious (permeable) areas are converted to impervious (hard, impermeable) surfaces such as pavement. When



---

*Please refer to the Kirkland Nickel Project Water Quality, Surface Water and Floodplains, and the Geology, Soils, and Groundwater discipline reports in Appendices R, S, and T, respectively, (on CD) for a complete discussion of water resources analyses.*

---


### **What is the Clean Water Act?**

The Water Pollution Control Act, better known as the Clean Water Act, 33 USC 1251 et seq., provides for comprehensive federal regulation of all sources of water pollution. It prohibits the discharge of pollutants from non-permitted sources. In Washington, authority to administer the Clean Water Act is delegated primarily to the US Army Corps of Engineers and the Department of Ecology.

---


<sup>1</sup> The infiltration of water into the earth. Groundwater recharge may increase the total amount of water stored underground or only replenish supplies depleted through pumping or natural discharge.

**Exhibit 5-29**  
Watersheds and Associated Streams



surface water, sometimes in the form of stormwater, cannot be absorbed by the ground, runoff occurs and volumes increase. Changes in runoff volumes and velocities can cause stream bank erosion, streambed scouring, and increased flooding risks (Exhibit 5-28).

**Exhibit 5-28: How does water move across and below the ground?**



The Kirkland Nickel Project area includes four watersheds<sup>2</sup>: Lake Washington East/Bellevue North, Forbes Creek, Juanita Creek, and the Sammamish River. The main receiving surface waters include Yarrow, Forbes, and Juanita Creeks, the Sammamish River, and Lake Washington.

Additional small tributaries that contribute to the Sammamish River and Lake Washington, and drainages that cross or run parallel to I-405 and receive runoff from the Kirkland Nickel Project area are also part of the project's affected environment. Exhibit 5-29 shows the area's watersheds and their associated rivers, streams, and waterbodies.

### Floodplains

There are no 100-year floodplains in the Kirkland Nickel Project area that have been designated as Areas of Special Flood Hazard by the Federal Emergency Management Agency. With the exception of limited work in Forbes and Juanita creeks, the proposed project will not encroach on any existing floodplains; furthermore, it will not substantially change downstream floodplains or flooding characteristics.

<sup>2</sup> A geographic region within which water drains into a particular river, stream, or body of water.

## Groundwater

The Group-A Groundwater Supply Well System, referred to as the Kirkland Well Field, is located about 3,000 feet west and down-gradient of I-405 between MP 16.5 and MP 16.6 (Exhibit 5-29). This system is operated by King County Water District No. 1 as a public water supply. These wells provide domestic water to approximately 200 Yarrow Point residences.

Based on studies conducted by King County, groundwater travel time from I-405 to the Kirkland Well Field is about five years. The Kirkland Nickel Project will avoid impacts to this water supply by piping stormwater discharged from I-405 around the recharge area for the well field..

According to the Washington Department of Health, the use of the Kirkland Well Field may be discontinued in the near future. A request to obtain potable water from Bellevue has been made for the Yarrow Point community (Washington Department of Health, 2004). The date for transferring service and future use of the Kirkland Well Field is unknown at this time.

### **How is stormwater from I-405 currently managed?**

The project has been designed to comply with WSDOT's *Highway Runoff Manual* (2004) and *Hydraulics Manual* (2004). Best Management Practices from the *Highway Runoff Manual* have been incorporated into the design.

The I-405 roadway within the Kirkland Nickel Project area has about 263 acres of impervious surfaces. Currently, the stormwater runoff drains to nearby streams or municipal storm drainage systems, and, ultimately to Lake Washington. Cross-culverts along the project corridor convey upstream (off-site) runoff from the east, and some roadway (on-site) runoff to urban creeks, the Sammamish River, and small watercourses and urban storm drains.

### **How will stormwater be affected once the project is built?**

The proposed project will include enhanced water quality treatment facilities consisting of ecology embankments<sup>3</sup> and a combination of stormwater treatment wetlands/detention



**A culvert that crosses under the I-405 northbound lanes**

---

### **What is enhanced water quality treatment?**


Enhanced water quality treatment is the use of best management practices to capture dissolved metals. The performance goal for enhanced treatment is 50-percent removal of certain metals.

---

---

<sup>3</sup> A stormwater treatment facility constructed in the pervious shoulder area of a highway, consisting of a vegetation-covered french drain containing filter media (see Exhibit 4-7).

ponds. These facilities will provide enhanced treatment for the proposed 13.56 acres of new impervious surfaces, and 38.17 acres (approximately 14.5 percent of existing impervious surfaces within this portion of I-405) of presently untreated impervious surfaces (Exhibit 5-30).



Four pollutants (suspended solids, zinc, dissolved zinc, and phosphorous) are important because there is sufficient data on these constituents to estimate runoff based on average daily traffic loads. Elevated levels of suspended solids are a concern because turbid water can directly impair aquatic life. Suspended solids can also indirectly degrade downstream receiving waters because many other pollutants can absorb onto the particles.


Total and dissolved zinc are important because they represent heavy metals impacts. Phosphorus is evaluated because of its potential to increase eutrophication of streams and lakes. Other pollutants in highway runoff can also be a concern, depending on the receiving waters and the relative amount of pollutant loading. In-stream temperatures are another water quality concern in the project area. In-stream temperatures above water quality standards are functions of ambient air temperature, surface area, stream volume, and shaded riparian cover. Stormwater runoff is generally a minor consideration, since the vast majority of runoff events do not



occur in summer or early fall when stream temperatures tend to be elevated. The other pollutant of concern for streams in the project area is fecal coliform, which is typically not associated with highway runoff.

Overall, the proposed project will improve water quality with a net decrease in the annual pollutant loading of total suspended solids (TSS), total phosphorus (TP), and zinc. Most notably, the proposed treatment will reduce annual pollutant loading to the main receiving water, Lake Washington (Exhibit 5-31).

**Exhibit 5-31**  
*Pollutant Loadings*



### Stormwater Detention


Stormwater detention systems for half of the two-year through 50-year storm events will be incorporated into the project, as required. The proposed permanent drainage improvements will collect and treat runoff prior to release into municipal storm drains or the following downstream waterbodies, including:

- Yarrow Creek – a detention pond along the east side of the corridor (approximately MP 15.9) will discharge waters through a swale that leads to Yarrow Creek (Exhibit 5-32). Enhanced water quality treatment will be provided by ecology embankments along the west side of I-405 adjacent to the new pavement areas.
- Forbes Creek – The Forbes Creek watershed will receive treatment for flow control by a detention pond

and a large detention vault on the west side of the freeway (approximately MP 19.1). The detention pond will discharge runoff to the existing ditch leading to the Forbes Creek ravine. An additional detention vault will be constructed at approximately MP 19.4. This vault will replace an existing small detention pond. Discharge will continue to the Forbes Creek tributary.

- Juanita Creek – The I-405 project will re-route a portion of the stormwater from the west side of the freeway that discharges into a tributary to Juanita Creek. Re-routing of this flow to an expanded detention pond will provide relief to existing culvert capacity.
- Sammamish River – There is a steep and deeply-incised ravine within the Sammamish Watershed that has been identified as a landslide, erosion, and seismic hazard area. Drainage improvements for this area will re-route high storm flows around the ravine, but not change the overall drainage pattern. Freeway runoff and off-site runoff will be separated and routed independently to the Sammamish River. Off-site runoff will be distributed to three separate existing outfalls to the Sammamish River by the use of flow splitters. On-site runoff will be routed to a detention pond at the bottom of the ravine, and then flow through an existing roadside ditch to an open channel to the Sammamish River.

**Exhibit 5-32**  
Proposed Stormwater Treatment Features



### ***How will construction activities affect water resources in the project area?***

Construction activities are expected to include the building of new culverts, detention facilities, stream crossings, new storm drain systems, enhanced water quality treatment facilities, and paving. These activities will affect water quality and water quantity as described below.

#### **Construction effects to water quality**

Project construction may have minor effects on water quality of the small tributaries; however, the effects will be temporary. No long-term adverse effects on receiving streams or Lake Washington are anticipated.

The contractor will be required to prepare a temporary erosion and sedimentation control (TESC) plan and a spill prevention control and countermeasures (SPCC) plan prior to initiating construction. Implementing these plans will minimize erosion effects, decrease the sediments entering receiving waters from the construction area, and protect against effects from harmful material spills to streams.

Automotive-related substances, such as petroleum hydrocarbons and heavy metals, are another concern during construction. These substances may be found in staging areas, on temporary roads, or on other work surfaces such as the freeway. If discharged directly to surface waters, these contaminants can reach concentrations that are toxic to aquatic life. The SPCC plan will specify that equipment fueling and maintenance and storage of fuels and toxic materials can only take place away from surface waters.

#### **Construction effects to water quantity**

There will be increased amounts of runoff during construction. Detention provided during construction will help prevent downstream flooding, erosion, and sedimentation. The increased runoff will not have any appreciable effect on Lake Washington because of the lake's large size and volume in comparison to the small amount of runoff from the freeway. Other waterbodies that convey water to Lake Washington will each receive a small amount of flow from the construction areas. Each waterbody should have sufficient capacity to convey the flow without increasing flood risk.

### **What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to water resources during construction?**

Several measures will be incorporated into construction plans and specifications to reduce effects to water resources.

#### **Groundwater**

- Groundwater will be protected with the use of standard best management practices (BMPs).
- A TESC plan and a SPCC plan will be prepared and implemented.
- The contractor will be required to take added measures during construction within the Kirkland Well Field's Wellhead Protection Area to protect the area, such as prohibition of fuel and chemical storage and refueling operations. Also, construction specifications will require stormwater collection with either a lined or piped conveyance system within the Wellhead Protection Area. Stormwater will be directed and discharged outside of the Kirkland Wellhead Protection Area to prevent any possible degradation of water quality. No permanent stormwater facilities will be constructed in the Kirkland Wellhead Protection Area.
- The contractor will identify and develop staging areas for equipment repair and maintenance away from all drainage courses. Washout from concrete trucks will not be dumped into storm drains or onto soil or pavement that carries stormwater runoff. Thinners and solvents will not be used to wash oil, grease, or similar substances from heavy machinery or machine parts. The contractor will be required to designate a washdown area for equipment and concrete trucks.
- Prior to construction, a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Stormwater Construction Permit covering activity in the highway right of way will be obtained from the Washington State Department of Ecology.
- WSDOT will obtain a Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA) from the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) prior to construction. The HPA will address impacts from water quality and quantity.

---

### **What are best management practices?**

Best management practices (BMPs) are actions or structures that reduce or prevent pollutants from entering stormwater and degrading water quality. There are many different types of BMPs – some are treatment technologies, such as stormwater treatment ponds. Others are typical measures that can be implemented as part of a project, such as sweeping streets to eliminate debris. Some BMPs are permanent features of a project, others can be temporary measures used during construction.

---



- For work within waters of the United States (such as stream crossings) WSDOT will obtain a Section 404 permit from the US Army Corps of Engineers.

***What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to water resources during operation?***

**Groundwater**

- The SPCC plan will address the project's long-term operational phases. Permanent stormwater collection, conveyance, and discharge systems will capture and control spills and prevent contamination of the groundwater aquifers.

**Water Quality**

- Permanent controls for the mitigation or containment of spills will be provided for new pavement (or equivalent pavement areas) within the project area. Stormwater treatment facilities for flow control and water quality runoff treatment will provide successive levels of protection for downstream conveyance systems by intercepting and retaining spilled contaminants. Subsequent maintenance activities would remove the contaminants from the treatment facilities and restore normal operation to the system.
- Scheduled maintenance programs developed for the stormwater treatment system will include provisions for the regular removal of contaminants and restoration of treatment operations.
- Oil and other petroleum products will be removed with oil treatment facilities.

---

## 5.10 Wetlands

Wetlands are a valuable resource to our environment. They can help moderate stormwater flows by slowing down and retaining flood waters during periods of rain. They can help reduce flooding downstream and clean the water of material such as dirt and oil. Wetlands may also provide vital habitat for many plants and animals.

### **How were wetlands identified in the project area?**


WSDOT biologists conducted literature reviews and field investigations using methods defined by the Washington State Wetlands Identification and Delineation Manual (Ecology, 1997) to determine wetland boundaries and characteristics. This method is in agreement with the US Army Corps of Engineers' method (1987).

Wetlands are made up of three components, as shown in Exhibit 5-33, and categorized according to their quality.

### **Are wetlands located in the project area?**

There are several wetlands located in the project area. Exhibit 5-34 shows these sites along the Kirkland section of I-405, together with map insets that indicate the wetlands that will be affected by the project.

**Exhibit 5-33: Components of a Wetland**



**Roadside wetland along I-405**

---


*Please refer to the Kirkland Nickel Project Wetlands Discipline Report in Appendix U (on CD) for a complete discussion of wetlands analysis.*

---

### **How are wetlands categorized?**

Wetlands are categorized according to their size, vegetation and benefit to society. Lower quality wetlands are generally small, lack trees and shrubs, and have been disturbed by past development. Medium-quality wetlands contain some younger trees and shrubs; and high quality wetlands contain primarily mature trees and bushes and are used by a lot of animals.

**Exhibit 5-34**  
Wetlands in the Project Area



### **How will wetlands be affected by the project?**

The Kirkland Nickel Project will affect wetland areas along both sides of I-405, primarily within the WSDOT right of way. Two additional locations, where the project extends into privately-owned property, will be affected by stormwater detention, interchange improvements, or roadway widening where wetlands are present.


The project team compared wetland survey data files with project engineering data. The project footprint was then overlain onto the wetland survey data to determine the number and extent of affected wetlands. Exhibit 5-35 illustrates the method for determining impacts. Construction of the Kirkland Nickel Project will affect wetlands regulated by King County, and the cities of Bothell and Kirkland.

Because of a long history of disturbance from past roadway construction and other development, wetland quality in the I-405 Corridor is generally poor. Thirteen of the 14 affected wetlands in the Kirkland Nickel Project area can be characterized as lower-quality wetlands, typically associated with ditches alongside the road. The remaining wetlands can be characterized as medium quality, which provide minimal water quality improvement and habitat value. Exhibits 5-36 and 5-37 are examples of these types of wetlands. The larger medium- or high-quality wetlands, which provide valuable habitat functions, are usually more natural and occur outside the WSDOT right of way and will not be affected.

When the I-405 roadway is widened, wetlands totaling 1.6 acres will be permanently filled. The majority of these wetlands are located adjacent to the roadway in the form of ditches or stormwater detention facilities.

Wetlands occur in areas along I-405 that have been modified by creating ditches and re-grading the soil to control stormwater. Water from these wetlands typically flows into culverts that extend beneath I-405 or adjacent roads, or into storm drains.

**Exhibit 5-35**  
*Method for Determining Impacts*



#### **Design Footprint**

Cut and fill line or design limit

#### **Impact Area Line**

10' offset of design footprint - limit of construction

#### **Temporary Impact Line**

10' offset of impact area line - occurs only along environmentally sensitive areas.

#### **Impact Area**

Area between existing roadway and design footprint. Construction may occur up to the Impact Area Line.

#### **Temporary Impact Area**


Area between impact line and temporary impact line - This area may be cleared for construction, but will be restored to pre-project conditions.

#### **No Construction Area**




Construction is prohibited.



**Exhibit 5-36**  
Seep Wetland



**Exhibit 5-37**  
Detention Pond Wetland




Because the affected wetlands will occur in three separate jurisdictions, each jurisdiction will use its specific guidance to determine how the effects to wetlands will be addressed. Exhibits 5-38 and 5-39 compare the extent of wetland effects, and show proposed mitigation for each jurisdiction.

**Exhibit 5-38: Wetland Impacts and Proposed Mitigation**

Local Jurisdiction	Number of Affected Wetlands	Acres of Temporary Impacts	Acres of Permanent Impacts	Acres of Mitigation
City of Bothell	1	0.099	0.136	1.220
City of Kirkland	10	0.050	1.229	2.828
King County	3	0.031	0.235	0.704
Total	14	0.180	1.600	4.752

**Exhibit 5-39**  
*Permanent Wetland Impacts and Proposed Mitigation*



**How will construction activities affect wetlands?**

Most construction effects are temporary. However, temporary effects can result in a short-term loss of wetland functions during construction and for up to five years following construction. WSDOT does not expect these effects to result in a complete loss of wetlands once the project is completed and disturbed vegetation or wetland hydrology is reestablished.

WSDOT anticipates that the equipment will need 10 feet beyond the grading limits during construction for space to turn and move about. Within this space, machinery may disturb wetlands and possibly cause dirt to mix with excess water from the project and spill into the wetlands. Such conditions can degrade wetland functions.

**What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to wetlands during construction?**

The following activities will be undertaken to avoid or minimize effects to wetlands:

- WSDOT and the contractor will protect, preserve, and enhance the wetlands in the project area during the planning, construction, and operation of transportation facilities and projects consistent with USDOT Order



5660.1A; Executive Order 11990 and Governor's Executive Orders EO 89-10 and EO 90-04.

- The project will follow guidance contained in the WSDOT *Environmental Procedures Manual* (WSDOT, 2004a), which outlines the issues and actions to be addressed prior to authorizing work that could affect wetlands.
- The contractor will use fencing to clearly mark wetlands to be avoided in the construction area.
- Project-level design and environmental review has included avoidance, minimization, restoration, and compensation of wetlands. The contractor will implement these measures to reduce temporal losses of wetland functions.


The Kirkland Nickel Project mitigation strategy includes the use of guidance by local governments to select projects that provide substantially greater functions and values than the affected wetland. WSDOT has worked with the cities of Kirkland and Bothell, as well as King County to coordinate activities to avoid or minimize effects. The mitigation strategy must satisfy the requirements of each jurisdiction to compensate for the respective loss of wetlands within the Kirkland Nickel Project area (Exhibit 5-39).

Despite WSDOT's efforts to avoid wetlands during construction, 0.180 acres of wetlands will be temporarily disturbed, which the contractor will be required to restore. An additional 1.6 acres of wetlands will be permanently filled. The acreage of filled wetlands is distributed among local jurisdictions accordingly:

- Kirkland – 1.229 acres
- Bothell – 0.136 acres
- Unincorporated King County – 0.235 acres

Three sites (Exhibit 5-40) will be used to provide the required wetland mitigation to replace filled wetlands. These sites provide adequate area according to replacement ratios of each jurisdiction to fully mitigate for the filled wetlands.

**Exhibit 5-40**  
**Wetland Mitigation Sites**



The sites selected for mitigation are:

- Property on the west side of Forbes Lake – WSDOT will use 2.9 acres of acquired property for mitigation. After wetland mitigation has been constructed and monitored, the private property will be deeded to the City of Kirkland.
- Property on the east side of Forbes Lake – WSDOT will use 4.5 acres of City of Kirkland property for mitigation.
- Property south of Thrashers Regional Park – WSDOT will acquire 4.7 acres of private property west of SR 527 (Bothell-Everett Highway) and north of 214th Street SE. After wetland mitigation has been constructed and monitored, the acquired property will be deeded to the City of Bothell.

---

## 5.11 Wildlife and Vegetation

Wildlife presence within urban landscapes depends on the availability of suitable habitat. Habitat loss, along with increasing habitat fragmentation, is a primary reason for species decline in urban environments. Greater human access to these areas can also influence the presence and abundance of wildlife in urban environments. Most of the Kirkland Nickel Project area is highly developed for residential, commercial, and industrial activities.

### **How were wildlife and vegetation studied within the project area?**

WSDOT reviewed information provided by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) and the Washington Department of Natural Resources (WDNR), and conducted field surveys within the project area. WSDOT also contacted resource agencies to validate information and to target field studies.

The study area covered one mile on each side of the freeway (Exhibit 5-41) as well as the adjoining, disturbed mixed-forests<sup>1</sup>.

Riparian<sup>2</sup> (streamside) areas were mapped along the major drainages within the project area, including Yarrow Creek, Forbes Creek, and Juanita Creek, to determine existing habitats.

A Biological Assessment (BA) was prepared for the project to comply with the Endangered Species Act. The BA made a finding of “no effect” for bald eagles and a finding of “may affect, not likely to adversely affect” for chinook salmon and bull trout. The US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and NOAA Fisheries issued letters of concurrence on the BA on October 25, 2004, and October 28, 2004, respectively.



**Red-tailed hawks are commonly seen in the project area**

---

*Please refer to the Kirkland Nickel Project Wildlife and Vegetation Discipline Report in Appendix V (on CD) for a complete discussion of the wildlife and vegetation analysis.*

---

### **What is the Endangered Species Act?**

A 1973 federal law, amended in 1978 and 1982, was enacted to protect troubled species from extinction. NOAA Fisheries and the US Fish and Wildlife Service decide whether to list species as threatened or endangered. Federal agencies must avoid jeopardy to and aid in the recovery of listed species. Similar responsibilities apply to non-federal entities.

---

<sup>1</sup> Forest of hardwood and softwood trees that has been disturbed from development activities.

<sup>2</sup> Land that occurs along or interacts with flowing water.

**Exhibit 5-41**  
Wildlife and Vegetation Study Area



### **What types of wildlife and vegetation are found in the project area?**

Generally, habitats within the I-405 Corridor have been intensely fragmented by urban development, including the freeway. This fragmentation has reduced the value of wildlife habitat by interrupting movement within and through the project area. Wetland and riparian habitats associated with Juanita Creek and Forbes Creek, for example, have been highly fragmented, creating a patchwork of isolated habitat areas, often poorly suited for wildlife.

#### **Wildlife Species**

The Kirkland Nickel Project area is dominated by landscaped areas, patches of native vegetation, and maintained grasses. WSDOT manages vegetation within the right of way to discourage use by wildlife that can enter the roadway and cause accidents. With respect to wildlife habitat, these resources typically have low value and are generally highly disturbed (WSDOT, 2002).

Although there is low-value habitat within the project area, the mowed right of way in the I-405 Project Corridor is used extensively as foraging habitat for red-tailed hawks; other wildlife species also use these mowed areas. Given the extensive level of development that has eliminated large expanses of red-tailed hawk habitat, the grass-dominated portions of the right of way likely provide important habitat for the species (WSDOT, 2002).

#### **Vegetation Species**

Both landscaped and unlandscaped areas within the Kirkland Nickel Project area are dominated by invasive Himalayan blackberry, sword fern, crab grass, quackgrass, and domestic cherry, among many weed species. The vegetation along the roadway consists of mowed grasses and scattered trees. Approximately 95 acres of disturbed and landscaped vegetation are located within the right of way.

The stream-side vegetation associated with Juanita Creek and Forbes Creek is dominated by sword fern, salmonberry, Himalayan blackberry, and reed canarygrass. Cottonwood, alder, big-leaf maple, fir, and cedar comprise the forested canopy (The Watershed Company, 1998).

Approximately 159 acres of disturbed mixed-forest occur in patches within, or adjacent to, the I-405 proposed Kirkland



Nickel Project area. Most of these patches include successional<sup>3</sup> native forests dominated by a relatively homogeneous mixture of native and non-native species. Western red cedar, western hemlock, Douglas-fir, red alder, and big-leaf maple typically dominate these areas, with an understory of sword fern and scattered vine maple.

### Threatened and Endangered Species

WDFW (2004) identified one bald eagle nesting zone within one mile of the project area, the Hunts Point Bald Eagle Territory. This territory has been active since 1992 and contains two nests, both of which are located 1.25 miles or more from the project area. No roost trees are located within one mile of the project area.

### How will wildlife and vegetation be affected by the project?

In total, approximately 80 acres of potential habitat is expected to be removed as a result of the project. Of this total, approximately 60 acres of ruderal or landscaped vegetation, 0.28 acres of stream-side habitat, and 20 acres of disturbed mixed-forest will be cleared. Areas with mixed forest, however, will not be removed for temporary use (i.e., construction staging). Areas of disturbed mixed-forest that will be removed for roadway construction will be replaced with plantings of native tree and shrub species (acre for acre) within the project area.


There will be minimal removal of shrubs and trees in stream-side areas associated with Forbes Creek during the proposed culvert replacement beneath I-405 (Exhibit 5-42). Disturbance to stream-side vegetation along Juanita Creek will occur on the west side of I-405 (Exhibit 5-43).

Removal of vegetation will result in some displacement of wildlife, including small mammals and amphibians that exist in these low-quality habitats.


Construction effects on wildlife can be caused by noise associated with equipment movement, excavation, cutting, filling, and grading. Noise during construction activities will

<sup>3</sup> The gradual and orderly process of change in an ecosystem brought about by the progressive replacement of one community by another until a stable climax is established.

**Exhibit 5-42**  
Vegetation Impacts - Forbes Creek (KL5)



**Exhibit 5-43**  
Vegetation Impacts - Juanita Creek (C29)



disturb small rodents, songbirds, and birds of prey. These effects will be minor.

Interstate 405 is a freeway that has been in operation for many years. After construction of the Kirkland Nickel Project, conditions for wildlife will be similar.

***What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to wildlife and vegetation during construction?***

The mitigation measures established in the *I-405 Corridor EIS* will be used for implementation of the Kirkland Nickel Project.

- The contractor will be required to prepare and implement a revegetation plan that has been approved by WSDOT. In addition, areas with mixed forest will not be removed for temporary use (i.e., construction staging). If the contractor must permanently remove an area of mixed forest for roadway construction, it will be replaced with plantings of native tree and shrub species (acre for acre) within the affected area.
- The contractor will adhere to project conditions identified in the Biological Assessment and agency concurrence letters.

---

## 5.12 Fish, Aquatic Habitat, and Threatened and Endangered Fish Species

Finfish, shellfish, and aquatic organisms make use of several streams within the project area during some stage of their life cycle (e.g., spawning, rearing, and migrating). Most streams were modified over time and contain limited habitat for fish. A Biological Assessment was prepared for the project, in compliance with the Endangered Species Act, that made a finding of “may affect, not likely to adversely effect” for chinook salmon and bull trout.

### **How were aquatic resources evaluated for the project?**

WSDOT surveyed habitat conditions on all the streams were surveyed in the Kirkland Nickel Project area (Exhibit 5-44). The surveys focused on fish life and habitat conditions to determine potential effects to aquatic resources that could result from project construction and operation.

### **What streams are in the project area and what fish species live in the streams?**

The affected aquatic environment includes several streams within 300 feet of I-405 that flow beneath or parallel to the roadway. One additional stream segment, at the lower end of Stream KL14, was surveyed in the City of Bothell near a stormwater detention facility (see Exhibit 5-44). Only four of the affected streams were identified by traditional names on maps. They are Yarrow, Juanita, and Forbes creeks, and the Sammamish River. The remaining streams were identified by project fisheries biologists using an alpha-numeric code, e.g., KL2 or C2.

Avoiding or minimizing project impacts to aquatic resources is a vital component of the project. Special consideration is given to these resources because of the biological, environmental, economic, and cultural importance of fish and aquatic species in the Pacific Northwest.

The primary species to consider are the federal Endangered Species Act-listed salmonids. The listed species include fall chinook salmon and bull trout.



---

*Please refer to the Kirkland Nickel Project Fish and Aquatic Habitat Discipline Report and the Supplemental Stream Habitat Survey Report and Impact Assessment in Appendix W (on CD) for a complete discussion of the fish and aquatic resources analyses.*

---

### **What is spawning?**

Spawning is the production and deposition or laying of eggs.

---

**Exhibit 5-44**  
Streams Surveyed in the Project Area



Other important species within the project area include coho, sockeye, and kokanee salmon; steelhead, rainbow, Dolly Varden, and cutthroat trout; and mountain whitefish (WSDOT, 2002). Information provided by the USFWS indicates that migratory native char, including bull trout and Dolly Varden, occur within the Lake Washington system, but with low frequency (Dan Lantz, USFWS, pers comm., September 29, 2004; unpublished data). Bull trout adults or sub-adults may be present in Lake Washington (also in Lake Union and Lake Sammamish) year round, depending on the availability of prey resources. Currently, within the Cedar-Sammamish water resource inventory area (WRIA 8), there are no reproducing bull trout populations below the winter snow line (WDFW, 1999). There is no known evidence that any of the streams in the vicinity of the Kirkland Nickel Project area currently support bull trout.

There are several non-salmonid species present within the project area. They are either resident, migratory, exotic, warm water, or shellfish species, or some combination of the above. Non-salmonid species that may be present include sculpin, dace, stickleback, lamprey, crayfish, freshwater mussels, chub, northern pikeminnow, suckers, yellow perch, carp, whitefish, and bullheads.

### **What type of habitat is required for these fish?**

Fish habitat was evaluated upstream and downstream of I-405 even though the presence of migrating salmon is extremely limited because of impassable barriers downstream.

Salmon have specific habitat requirements. Different species have different needs for both juveniles and adults. Many of the I-405 streams provide habitat for juveniles but not for adults. Historically, many of these streams were too small for larger adult salmon spawning activities, especially chinook. Of the smaller salmon species, coho, sockeye, and kokanee salmon, and cutthroat trout have the potential to occur in five water bodies within the project area; Yarrow Creek, Forbes Creek, KL6 (a tributary to Forbes Creek) Juanita Creek, and the Sammamish River.

Bull trout require very cold water and high quality stream habitat. For a typical stream, this includes many deep pools with plenty of wood in the stream, and year-round flow.



Habitat conditions were evaluated to determine which resident fish could be present. Resident fish and most of the non-salmonids are different from the migratory salmon species because they live in streams or lakes all their life—that is, they do not migrate to the ocean. Resident fish may include native species as well as introduced species. Like salmon, resident species have unique habitat requirements for food, temperature, shade, or the presence of small gravels.

### ***What is the condition of the fish habitat?***

Use by salmon and resident species is limited in many of the streams because of natural and unnatural conditions, including but not limited to poor water quality, lack of spawning substrate, limited open channels, steep gradients, non-passable culverts, and other hydrologic sources such as stormwater.

Many of the streams exhibit poor habitat and a low potential for salmon or resident species. This is attributed to conditions such as limited food sources, no cover, or no water.

Two major problems occur in these streams: 1) a lack of water, and 2) a lack of open channels (because of pipes and culverts, and water routed through stormwater control basins). Many of the unnamed urban drainages retain less than one half of their historic open-water channels because the remainder is piped underground. For others, during the dry summer months the flow disappears underground.

For bull trout, the streams are too warm; they do not have enough woody debris for cover; and they do not contain the type of gravels needed for bull trout to lay eggs. Because of natural limiting factors, historic use by bull trout of the small streams in the project area probably ranged from extremely limited to no presence at all.

Cutthroat trout are more tolerant of urban stream conditions and appear in some of the streams that flow beneath I-405 within the project area. Cutthroat trout can survive as a year-round freshwater resident or they can migrate to the ocean. Most of the cutthroat in the project streams are considered as year-round residents. Habitat in the project streams is adequate for cutthroat trout to spawn, hatch, and rear to adulthood.

---

### **What are salmonids?**

Salmonids are fish that are members of the family Salmonidae, which includes salmon, trout, char, and whitefish.

---

---

### **What are resident fish?**

Resident fish are fish that remain in freshwater for their complete life cycle.

---



### ***How will the project affect fish, aquatic habitat, and threatened and endangered fish species?***


The I-405 project will be built in an urban area where people, buildings, and roads have existed here for many decades, often in conflict with fish and streams. The Kirkland Nickel Project has the opportunity to demonstrate how a highway can be constructed in an urban environment without conflicting with natural resources, such as fish and streams.

The project will have short-term, long-term, and minor effects to the aquatic resources within the area. WSDOT's goal is to minimize the harmful effects and maximize the long-term, beneficial effects by maintaining existing aquatic resources, and then by improving those resources over time.

Exhibit 5-45 shows the fish barrier at Forbes Creek. A new fish passage structure will be constructed under I-405 to allow upstream fish movement. A long-term benefit will be to improve the quality of water that is entering the streams during storm events. The project will also use the best available science regarding stormwater treatment.

Chinook salmon require very cold water to survive; therefore, WSDOT will manage vegetation to benefit these species by ensuring:

- Vegetation will remain in place near the roadway streams and waterways;



- Vegetation will be planted where necessary to provide cover and keep the water cool through more shade; and
- Vegetation will be kept healthy and functioning over time.

Most of the other aquatic resources, including small insects, will also benefit from the colder water and extra vegetation.

In addition to shade, vegetation provides other long-term benefits including:

- Plants reduce erosion, thereby creating less sand and dirt in the streams;
- Dead vegetation helps create big pools in the streams that attract fish;
- Tiny insects live on the wood and leaves of plants and provide food; and
- The stream banks and shoreline remain natural.

***How will construction activities affect fish, aquatic habitat, and threatened and endangered fish species?***

Construction activities that could affect fish and stream habitat include:

- Filling and grading;
- Removing stream-side vegetation; and
- Temporarily diverting streams and dewatering.

Road widening, culvert replacement and extension, as well as construction of headwalls, retaining walls, and stormwater conveyance systems and associated outfalls to streams, will involve some work in streams, resulting in some loss of instream habitat (e.g., pool and riffle areas). These disturbances may affect spawning, rearing, and migration habitat; however, these impacts are usually short-term because of beneficial revegetation or restoration of other stream functions.

In-water work also results in short-term increases in turbidity and sedimentation, similar to the effects of removing stream-side vegetation. Culvert replacement, culvert extension, or headwalls may require temporary disturbance to the stream bank. There is the potential for bank erosion and downstream

sediment transport during the initial growing period of any stream bank segment subjected to disturbances associated with culvert replacement.

There will be an approximate loss of 2,540 square feet of aquatic habitat as a result of project construction. During construction of the Kirkland Nickel Project, the stream crossing culvert for Forbes Creek (KL5) will be replaced. On average, approximately 10 to 15 linear feet of stream on each side of I-405 may be affected long term (e.g., filled and graded). However, after a fish-friendly culvert is constructed, approximately 7,500 linear feet of stream will become available for fish use between the freeway and Forbes Lake.

Streamside (i.e., riparian) vegetation plays a number of important roles in supporting instream habitat functions. They provide large woody debris, food, stream bank stabilization, water storage, and water quality (Poole and Berman, 2001). Therefore, removal of stream-side vegetation is likely to impact these habitat functions. The extent of vegetation removal determines the type and degree of the effect, especially regarding large woody debris recruitment.

Stream-side vegetation removal can alter soil stability. Loose soils cause erosion, which, in turn, increase sediment deposition in streams or fill the pool habitat (Berman, 1998). In addition, reduction in canopy cover promotes higher temperatures and increases sediment transport from cleared areas (Bolton and Shellberg, 2001).

Vegetation clearing can adversely affect salmonid habitat. Depending on the duration, timing, frequency, and level of turbidity, the associated sedimentation can cause behavioral, sublethal, and lethal effects in juvenile and adult salmonids (Newcombe and Jensen, 1996). However, this loss will be offset by the 7,500 linear feet of stream habitat gained for fish use after construction of the culvert or other fish passage structure at Forbes Creek.

Impacts to stream-side, vegetated areas will result in permanent removal of an estimated 12,340 square feet (0.28 acres) of stream-side habitat.

During in-water construction work at Forbes Creek, the dewatering and temporary stream diversion could harm fish. Harmful activities include fish seining, electrofishing, fish exposure to turbidity (although rare), and small losses of

stream-side functions because of vegetation removal. These fish stressors may induce responses ranging from behavioral to lethal. The contractor will use WSDOT and NOAA Fisheries handling procedures to minimize harmful effects to fish species.

In addition, macro invertebrates and amphibians occupying the dewatered segments of the stream channel will be displaced, thereby temporarily disrupting food sources for fish. However, numerous studies have indicated that benthic invertebrates drift from upstream, rapidly recolonizing the affected area (Barton, 1977; Reed, 1977; Chisolm and Downs, 1978; Waters, 1995). Likewise, aquatic insect production is seldom affected in the long term by minimal habitat displacement and short-term pulses of suspended sediment (Spence et al., 1996). Therefore, any effects on benthic macro invertebrates and aquatic insects are expected to be short-term.


#### ***What are the operational effects of the proposed project?***

Operational effects are direct effects caused by the existence, use, and maintenance of the project elements, including new or altered stream crossing culverts, over-water structures, stormwater facilities, and impervious surfaces. These features may permanently affect fish and aquatic resources, and their effects could be beneficial or harmful. The primary operational impact to stream habitat will result from new impervious surfaces and subsequent changes in stormwater runoff. New stormwater treatment facilities will be constructed and existing infrastructure will be modified to reduce adverse effects to streams and, in several areas, improve conditions compared to those that exist today (see Chapter 5.9, Water Resources).

Although project elements are designed and sited to avoid or minimize adverse effects on aquatic life, some residual effects are likely during operation of the project.

The Kirkland Nickel Project will extend culverts and construct headwalls to accommodate a wider roadway span in the vicinity of Forbes Creek (KL5), an unnamed stream (KL8) and Juanita Creek (C28, C29), (Exhibits 5-46 and 5-47).

**Figure 5-46**  
*Fish Barrier at Unnamed Stream (KL8)*



Most of the existing runoff from the highway drains to streams, watercourses, and storm drains with minimal treatment for quantity or quality. The Kirkland Nickel Project will have beneficial effects on fish life in streams and potentially in Lake Washington by improving existing water quality conditions through the removal of sediments, petroleum products and other roadway pollutants. Proper maintenance and improvements to these stormwater structures over time will continue to provide benefits to the aquatic environment.

The project will add impervious surface areas that can result in adverse changes in peak and base streamflow arising from an increase in stormwater runoff. However, a design criterion for the I-405 Kirkland Nickel Project is to limit or reduce peak flows resulting from stormwater facilities discharging to the streams in the area. As a result, the increase in impervious surfaces and the proper operation of stormwater detention facilities will not adversely affect peak and base streamflow in the Kirkland Nickel Project area streams.

Detailed stream-by-stream discussions of the effects of the specific project elements on fish species and aquatic habitat are presented in the Kirkland Nickel Project Fish and Aquatic Resources Discipline Report (Appendix W on CD).



### **Will the project remove barriers to fish passage?**

There are several beneficial actions that will restore and improve fish passage as a result of the project.

One benefit to fish life will occur where a fish-friendly culvert or bridge at Forbes Creek will be constructed to restore fish passage. After this structure is constructed, juvenile salmonids will be able to swim upstream and downstream beneath the freeway. Initially, cutthroat trout and other resident species already upstream of fish barriers will benefit the most. In the future, if barriers are removed, coho and sockeye salmon may benefit. All of these species currently exist in either lower Forbes Creek or throughout the greater Forbes Creek watershed.

Improvements to the stormwater treatment structures also have indirect benefits to fish passage. The new stormwater structures will help maintain normal stream flows, thereby making it easier during a storm event for young fish to swim upstream. This means fish will have better access to habitats.


Additionally, revegetation will help retain more water in the streams for longer periods of time. During the critical dry summer months, fish will benefit from improved access to habitats and have a higher likelihood of survival.

### **What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to fish and aquatic species during construction?**

The following measures will be followed to avoid or minimize effects to fish and aquatic resources during construction:

- The contractor will be required to implement construction BMPs (such as silt fencing or sedimentation ponds) and to avoid disturbing sensitive areas during the development and use of any staging areas, access roads, and turnouts associated with resurfacing activities.
- The contractor will not allow any in-water work to occur except during seasonal work windows established to protect fish.
- The fish-friendly culvert or bridge constructed at Forbes Creek will restore fish passage beneath the freeway. Approximately 7,500 linear feet of stream

**Exhibit 5-47**  
Tributary to Juanita Creek - C29



between the freeway and Forbes Lake will become available for fish use.

- If conditions allow, the contractor will use bio-engineering techniques at new stormwater outfalls near Yarrow Creek, Juanita Creek, Forbes Creek, and the Sammamish River.
- New stormwater discharged to Forbes Creek will be conveyed to Forbes Creek via existing stormwater conveyances so no new outfalls (requiring grading or filling with bank-stabilizing or energy-dissipating riprap) will be constructed in Forbes Creek.
- If the width of the road prism<sup>1</sup> increases to accommodate the wider span of roadway at Forbes Creek and at Stream KL8, headwalls<sup>2</sup> will be constructed at the culvert inlet and outlet to minimize the amount of grading and filling.
- The detention pond on the west side of I-405 will be sited at a sufficient distance south of Forbes Creek so no grading or filling in Forbes Creek or its stream-side zone will be required.
- The combined stormwater treatment wetland/detention to be constructed near Riverside Drive will be sited at a sufficient distance from both the Sammamish River and the unnamed stream KL14 (at Riverside Drive) so no grading or filling in the streams or the stream-side zones will be required.

***What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to fish and aquatic species during operation?***

The following measures will be used to avoid or minimize impacts to fish and aquatic resources during operation of the project:

- Stormwater will be controlled so peak and base flows in Yarrow Creek, Forbes Creek, Juanita Creek, and Sammamish River are not adversely affected by treated stormwater discharge from the expanded impervious

---

<sup>1</sup> The portion of the highway between the ditch lines, curb lines, or toe of fill lines.

<sup>2</sup> A concrete structure at the end of a culvert to protect the embankment slopes, anchor the culvert, and prevent undercutting.

surface areas created by the project. The sheet flow from the roadway surfaces will be captured and held in detention facilities prior to its controlled discharge into streams within the same drainage basin. As a result, peak and base stream flows will not be adversely affected by the increase in impervious surfaces.

- Off-site flow to unnamed stream KL14 will be managed so peak and base flows are not adversely affected by the new stormwater treatment and detention facilities in the vicinity of this stream.
- Ongoing maintenance of stormwater treatment and detention facilities will not include the application of any chemical weed control agents (e.g., herbicides).

Letters of concurrence on the Biological Assessment from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Fisheries (NOAA Fisheries) are included in Appendix D.



---

## 5.13 Geology and Soils

*Geology is the study of the origin, history, materials, and structure of the earth, along with the forces and processes operating to produce changes within and on the earth. When we consider the geologic features of a project area, we must consider how improvements will interact with the soils, groundwater, and topography, as well as the area's unique physical features. Through focused study, we can make determinations about erosion, suitability of soils for construction, slope stability, and other factors.*

### **How were geology and soils evaluated for the Kirkland Nickel Project?**

Scientists and planners studied the geology, soils, topography, physical features, and potential for erosion in the study area. They also considered how subsurface water conditions can affect soil moisture, water supplies, wetlands, and water movement, and how they might affect construction activities. Their data sources included geological maps, aerial photos, and geotechnical reports.

### **What is the geology of the project area?**

The Kirkland Nickel Project area is located along an upland separating the Lake Washington and Lake Sammamish troughs. Most of the present day geologic and topographic conditions are the result of glaciers that covered the land long ago. These conditions affect geologic, soil, and groundwater resources.

The last glaciers left behind a mixture of clay, silt, sand, and gravel. These materials were deposited on top of older glacial materials; the bedrock beneath these deposits is over 1,500 feet below the surface in most areas. As the last glacier receded, a sculpted landscape of long narrow uplands and intervening troughs or valleys remained.

Post glacial deposition has occurred along modern drainages and lakes. Locally, these deposits include accumulations of organic silts, peats, soft clays, and loose sands.



**Ancient landslide area**

---

*Please refer to the Kirkland Nickel Project Geology, Soils, and Groundwater Discipline Report in Appendix T (on CD) for a complete discussion of geology and soils analysis.*

---



**Exhibit 5-48**  
**Landslide and Loose Soil Area**



An ancient landslide feature underlies one area at the northern end of the project. The landslide area shows no signs of recent instability except for some areas of highway cuts upslope of the northbound lanes, and a small slide area downslope of the southbound lanes. Evidence of slope instability also exists on the uphill cut side of older roads in the area. Such geologic features set the stage for the soil and groundwater conditions to be addressed during freeway design and construction.

#### **What soils are found in the project area?**

The majority of the project area is underlain by dense glacial soils. The roadway alignment crosses several drainages and lowlands underlain by soils deposited after the glaciers receded. Localized areas of these soils include artificial fill, materials deposited by flowing water, lake and peat deposits, and recessional outwash. The only major areas of these recent soils include the soft and loose soils adjacent to Totem Lake at the NE 124th Street interchange, and areas of past landslide activity at the northern end of the alignment at SR 522 (Exhibit 5-48). Areas underlain by these soft and loose recent soils generally require different design and construction considerations than those characterized by dense or stiff glacial soils.

#### **How will geologic resources be affected by the project?**

Design and construction of the proposed project will be based on the existing geologic and soil conditions following well-established WSDOT design practices for managing the types of conditions found in the project area. Design elements will be incorporated into the project specifications to address the identified conditions. The project description in Chapter 4 includes several design and construction elements that have been incorporated into the project to address conditions such as slope stability and landslide areas, soft ground areas, and protection of groundwater resources (see also Chapter 5.9, Water Resources).

***What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to geology and soils during construction?***

**Slope Stability and Landslide Areas**

- A large landslide feature was identified at the northern end of the project. The design geotechnical investigation will fully examine the landslide area and develop appropriate construction procedures to maintain or enhance slope stability.
- The contractor will be required to submit earthwork and wall placement sequencing plans, construction drainage plans, and a slope monitoring program.
- During construction, areas of observed or suspected groundwater seepage will be drained to reduce the risk of landslide and surface sloughing through the use of gravel drainage blankets, french drains, horizontal drains, and/or placement of a surface rock facing or similar methods.

---

**What is a landslide?**

A landslide is the sudden release of a mass of rock and earth down a slope.

---

**Soft Ground Areas**

- During the design process, geotechnical engineers will assess potential settlement problems associated with existing utilities or structures. If deemed necessary, structures could be underpinned and utilities relocated or made more flexible. In cases where it is an acceptable solution, the settlement will be allowed, with repairs made after settlement is complete. When appropriate, project engineers will conduct pre-construction surveys and monitor construction settlements.
- Construction vibration, particularly generated by driven pile installation (if allowed by resource agencies), large diameter drilled pier installation, and any required ground improvement, can cause settlement of adjacent areas underlain by loose granular soils. Project engineers will identify these areas during the design phase. The contractor will be required to develop the means and methods to avoid or minimize settlement.

### **Erosion**

- The contractor will be required to prepare and implement a temporary erosion and sedimentation control (TESC) plan.
- Should any BMP or other operation not function as intended, the contractor will take additional action to minimize erosion, maintain water quality, and achieve the intended environmental performance.

### ***What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects to geology and soils during operation?***

### **Erosion**

- A stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) for operational activities will document drainage facilities and specify their inspection, operation, and maintenance requirements.

---

## 5.14 Hazardous Materials

Hazardous materials can be encountered during the construction and operation of public projects. Examples of common hazardous materials include asbestos, lead-based paint, and total petroleum hydrocarbons<sup>1</sup>, also known as TPH. Without proper handling, removal, and containment, these materials can pose dangers to human health and the environment. Identifying known and potential contamination prior to construction is important because it can substantially reduce the possibility of exposure to people and the environment.

### **How were hazardous materials and wastes identified within the project area?**

The project team reviewed historical land uses, regulatory agency database lists (Environmental Data Resources, Inc. [EDR], 2004), and Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) site files. A windshield survey of properties within the project area was also conducted.

### **Are there any potentially contaminated sites in the project area?**

Studies indicate that contaminated materials exist on sites located within the proposed right of way or located up-gradient to the proposed right of way. However, within the Kirkland Nickel Project area, no “substantially contaminated” properties were identified.

Seventeen (17) “reasonably predictable” properties, either within the proposed project right of way, or above-gradient to the proposed right of way, were identified for more detailed analysis (Exhibits 5-49 and 5-50). Petroleum hydrocarbons<sup>2</sup> may be encountered in the soil and groundwater at 10 of the 17 identified properties. Six of the 17 properties were listed as



**Asbestos is a common hazardous material found in older structures**

---

*Please refer to the Kirkland Nickel Project Wildlife and Vegetation Discipline Report in Appendix X (on CD) for a complete discussion of the hazardous waste analysis.*

---

### **What are “substantially contaminated” properties?**

“Substantially contaminated” properties typically refer to sites with large volumes of contaminated materials, a long history of industrial or commercial use, and sites with contaminants that are persistent, difficult, or expensive to manage.

---

---

<sup>1</sup> Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) is a term used to describe a large family of several hundred chemical compounds that originally come from crude oil.

<sup>2</sup> Chemical compounds that originate from crude oil.

---

**What are “reasonably predictable” properties?**

“Reasonably predictable” properties refer to sites with recognized environmental conditions based on existing data, or they can be predicted to have those conditions based on site observations, previous experience, or by using best professional judgment. Common examples of reasonably predictable sites might include a dry cleaning business or a former gas station. These properties are typically small; contaminants are localized and are relatively non-toxic; and abatement or remediation activities are routine.


---

small-quantity generators (less than 220 pounds of hazardous waste per month). Additionally, six of the 17 properties may contain asbestos-containing materials or lead-based paint (ACM/LBP), based on the age of the structures located on the properties.

***Exhibit 5-49: Reasonably Predictable Properties***

Map ID No.	Property
1	I-405 and NE 160th Street Exit SB
2	Texaco #632321469/ Star Mart #120531/Shell Oil Products
3	Chevron USA Products 93299
4	Residence
5	Fred Meyer Totem Lake
6	Buchan Brothers Investment Property
7	Quality Transmission, Inc.
8	Eschem Automotive Inc./ Bel Kirk Body Shop Inc. DBA Clarks Wheel
9	Ultra 1-Hr Cleaners
10	ARCO Facility/CYJ Inc.
11	Stericycle of Washington, Inc. Transfer Facility
12	John Coleman
13	Eastside Petroleum Co., Inc.
14	Exxon #7 3640/Tosco 0314730113/BP Service Station 03147/Conoco Phillips Co 2603147
15	Cascade Structures, Inc.
16	Weathervane Windows/Vander Hoek Corporation
17	Pacific Systems/ Tel Tone

**Exhibit 5-50**  
*Potentially Contaminated Sites*





Spills are infrequent along I-405 in the project area. Between 2002 and 2004, there were only 19 spills involving a total of 161 gallons. These spills consisted of motor/hydraulic oil (21 gallons), diesel (70 gallons), class A firefighting foam (10 gallons), paint (150 gallons), and gasoline (10 gallons).

***Will the project affect any hazardous materials sites?***

At least two hazardous materials sites have been identified within the project right of way.

During construction, the contractor will comply with all applicable environmental rules and regulations as described in the Kirkland Nickel project description (see Chapter 4). Despite measures to manage risks associated with hazardous materials, spills can occur or unknown contaminants can be encountered. These materials can result in short-term contamination to the environment before avoidance actions can be taken.

***What measures are proposed to avoid or minimize effects from hazardous materials during construction?***

**Known or Suspected Contamination within the Project Right of Way**

- The contractor will prepare a spill prevention control and countermeasure (SPCC) plan that provides specific guidance for managing contaminated media that may be encountered within the right of way.
- WSDOT may be responsible for the remediation and monitoring of contaminated properties that will be acquired for this project. In such cases, WSDOT will further evaluate the identified properties to assess their condition before acquisition or construction occurs.
- Prior to construction, the contractor will have a thorough asbestos containing materials/lead-based paint (ACM/LBP) building survey completed by a certified building inspector on all property structures that will be acquired and/or demolished.
- If WSDOT acquires a portion or all of a property (building, structure) suspected of containing ACM/LBP, the contractor will properly abate and dispose of any existing ACM and LBP contamination prior to construction activities. Depending on the

concentration of lead in the demolition debris, some debris may need to be disposed of as dangerous waste, which will require Ecology to be notified and that appropriate regulations are followed.

- If the contractor encounters an underground storage tank (UST) within the right of way, WSDOT will assume cleanup liability for the appropriate decommissioning and removal of the UST. If this occurs, WSDOT and the contractor will follow all applicable rules and regulations associated with UST removal activities.
- Construction waste material, such as concrete or other harmful materials' disposal/treatment, will take place at approved sites.
- WSDOT may acquire the responsibility for cleanup of any soil or groundwater contamination encountered during construction within WSDOT right of way. Contamination will be evaluated relative to Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) cleanup levels.
- The contractor will be required to meet all regulatory conditions imposed at contaminated properties (e.g., Consent Decree) associated with construction. These conditions could include ensuring that the surrounding properties and population are not exposed to the contaminants on the site; i.e., the contractor will ensure that the site is properly contained after construction is completed so that contaminants do not migrate offsite and so that the health and safety of all on-site personnel are protected during work at the site.
- WSDOT will consider entering into a pre-purchaser's agreement for the purposes of indemnifying WSDOT against acquiring the responsibility for any long-term cleanup and monitoring costs.

#### **Known or Suspected Contamination Outside of the Project Right of Way**

- Contaminated groundwater originating from properties located up-gradient of the right of way could migrate to the project area. WSDOT generally will not incur liability for groundwater contamination that has migrated into the project footprint as long as

the agency does not acquire the source of the contamination. However, WSDOT will manage the contaminated media in accordance with all applicable rules and regulations.

### **Unknown Contamination**

- If WSDOT acquires a property that has unknown contamination, the agency could incur liability for any contamination discovered after acquisition, as well as liability for the removal of any stored materials remaining onsite at the time of the acquisition. WSDOT could be responsible for cleanup or disposal of these unknown substances, for example, USTs and contaminated media (including ACM and LBP). If unknown contamination is discovered during construction, the contractor will follow the SPCC plan as well as all appropriate regulations.

### **Worker and Public Health and Safety**

The contractor will comply with the following regulations and agreements:

- State Dangerous Waste Regulations (Chapter 173-303 WAC);
- Safety Standards for Construction Work (Chapter 296-155 WAC);
- National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) (Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Volume 5, Parts 61 to 71);
- General Occupational Health Standards (Chapter 296-62 WAC); and
- Implementing Agreement between Ecology and WSDOT Concerning Hazardous Waste Management (April 1993).

### **Hazardous Materials Spills During Construction**

- The contractor will prepare and implement a SPCC plan to minimize or avoid effects on soil, surface water, and groundwater as described in Chapter 5.9, Water Resources.

## CHAPTER 6

---

### Cumulative Effects Analysis

*This analysis expands on the cumulative effects analysis presented in the I-405 Corridor Program Final EIS to address cumulative effects of the Kirkland Nickel Project.*

*Cumulative effects are important to consider during the construction and operation of a project. While they may be minor when viewed in the individual context of direct<sup>1</sup> and secondary<sup>2</sup> effects, they can add to the effects of other actions and eventually lead to a measurable environmental change.*

#### **What are cumulative effects and why do we study them?**

The Council on Environmental Quality's<sup>3</sup> regulations implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act define cumulative effects as:

*"The impact on the environment which results from the incremental impact of the action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency or person undertakes such other actions."*<sup>4</sup>

The Council on Environmental Quality recommends that an agency's analysis accomplish the following:

- Focus on the effects and resources within the context of the proposed action.
- Present a concise list of issues that have relevance to the anticipated effects of the proposed action or eventual decision.
- Reach conclusions based on the best available data at the time of the analysis.

---

*Please refer to the Kirkland Nickel Project Cumulative Effects Discipline Report in Appendix Y (on CD) for a complete discussion of the cumulative effects analysis.*

---

---

<sup>1</sup> Effect caused by the proposed action and occurring at the same time and place.

<sup>2</sup> Effect caused by the proposed action that is later in time or farther removed in distance, but still reasonably foreseeable.

<sup>3</sup> The federal agency charged with implementing the National Environmental Policy Act.

<sup>4</sup> 40 CFR 1508.7

- Rely on information from other agencies and organizations on reasonably foreseeable projects or activities that are beyond the scope of the analyzing agency's purview.
- Relate to the geographic scope of the proposed project.
- Relate to the temporal (timeframe) period of the proposed project.

Cumulative effects can be positive as well as negative depending on the environmental resource being evaluated. It is possible that some environmental resources can be negatively, and other positively, impacted by the same proposed project.

***If identified, how will adverse cumulative effects associated with the Kirkland Nickel Project be mitigated?***

For the Kirkland Nickel Project to be consistent with regulatory guidance, reasonable measures to minimize adverse effects have been incorporated into the project design. The measures are a combination of mitigation and enhancements that include minimizing impacts to wetlands, construction of noise walls, improvements to fish habitat, treatment of stormwater, and use of a traffic management plan.

***What is the relationship between this cumulative effects analysis and that contained in the I-405 Corridor Program Final EIS?***

The cumulative effects analysis for the Kirkland Nickel Project used the cumulative effects analysis in the *I-405 Corridor Program Final EIS* as a starting point. The I-405 Corridor Program cumulative effects analysis focused on air quality, energy, farmlands, fish and aquatic habitat, surface water, and wetlands. However, for the Kirkland Nickel Project, neither energy nor farmlands were included in the cumulative effects analysis. Farmlands were determined not to be affected at all by the project. Energy was not analyzed because the difference in energy consumption at the regional level with or without the project was predicted to be un-measurable. The project-level analysis was then conducted, based on the results of scoping, agency consultations, and the anticipated direct and secondary effects on surface water, wetlands, and fish and aquatic habitat due to the Kirkland Nickel Project.

### **What are the temporal and geographic boundaries for this analysis?**

When evaluating cumulative effects, the analyst must consider expanding the geographic study area beyond that of the proposed project, as well as expanding the temporal limits to consider past, present, and future actions that may affect the environmental resources of concern.

The geographic scope of analysis is defined by the physical limits or boundaries of the Kirkland Nickel Project's effect on an environmental resource, as well as the boundaries of other activities that also may contribute to the effects on that environmental resource. The temporal limits are determined by identifying time limits that are both relevant to the project and reasonable. The temporal and geographic boundaries can be different for each environmental resource evaluated.

The temporal and geographic boundaries established for the cumulative effects analysis for the Kirkland Nickel Project were based on those used in the *I-405 Corridor Program Final EIS*, scoping, agency consultations, and the area directly affected by the project itself.


#### ***Geographic Boundaries***

The geographic boundaries for the surface water, wetlands, and fish and aquatic habitat analyses included the Forbes Creek, Lake Washington East/Bellevue North, Juanita Creek, and Sammamish River watersheds (Exhibit 6-1). Expanding the geographic area beyond that of the direct impact area of the Kirkland Nickel Project allowed a more comprehensive analysis of the cumulative effects on the environmental resources. This geographic area was also consistent with the area that was evaluated in the biological assessment that was prepared for the project under the Endangered Species Act.

#### ***Temporal Boundaries***

The temporal boundaries, 1960 through 2030, inclusive, were set for all three environmental resources analyzed (surface water, wetlands, and fish and aquatic habitat). Using 1960 as the starting point for the analysis allowed an assessment of the changes that have occurred since the original construction of I-405. The year 2030 is the future year used in regional transportation planning documents.

**Exhibit 6-1**  
**Geographic Boundaries for Surface Waters, Wetlands, and Fish and Aquatic Habitat**





**Exhibit 6-2**  
Projects Considered in  
Cumulative Effects Analysis



### **Under what circumstances were other projects included in the cumulative effects analysis for the Kirkland Nickel Project?**

For the effects of other major future projects to have been considered, the projects must be located within or nearby the geographic boundaries used for the cumulative effects analysis. The projects must also be reasonably foreseeable. For transportation projects, this typically means the projects are planned, approved, and funded. Specific projects considered in the cumulative effects analysis are (Exhibit 6-2):

- *King County Wastewater Treatment Division, Brightwater Conveyance System, North Creek Portal.* The portal will be constructed in the southeast quadrant of the intersection of NE 195<sup>th</sup> Street and North Creek Parkway. Activities at this site will involve demolition of the existing office building; excavation of a 90 feet deep portal 50 feet wide and 120 feet long; access for removal of spoils from the excavation of a 2.8-mile long, 18-foot diameter tunnel; lining of the tunnel; and installation of an influent pump station and other facilities. Construction is scheduled to begin in 2005 and last five years.
- *Sound Transit, Bothell Branch Campus Access at NE 195<sup>th</sup> Street/I-405.* HOV improvements being considered are transit signal priority at entrances, arterial HOV enhancements, and development of a transit hub with transit customer parking. Construction would begin in 2005 and be completed in 2006.
- *Sound Transit, Totem Lake Transit Center/NE 128<sup>th</sup> Street.* The transit center will be located on NE 128<sup>th</sup> Street at 120<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE. Development of the new transit center will begin in 2005 and continue through 2006.
- *Sound Transit, Totem Lake Freeway Station/NE 128<sup>th</sup> Street.* The station will consist of a new bridge over I-405 at NE 128<sup>th</sup> Street and direct access ramps connecting the HOV lanes on I-405 with the new crossing. Construction will begin in mid-2005 and be completed in 2007.
- *City of Kirkland, NE 85<sup>th</sup> Street HOV Lane.* The City of Kirkland is planning to add a dual left-turn lane from 114<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE to eastbound NE 85<sup>th</sup> Street and an

HOV priority lane on NE 85th Street. The HOV lane will start at Kirkland Way and extend east to connect with the HOV lane on-ramp to southbound I-405. Construction is planned for late 2005 and early 2006. Improvements will also be made at the intersection of 124th Avenue NE and NE 85th Street in the same timeframe.

### ***What has been the history of the environmental resources analyzed?***

#### ***Surface Water***

Lake Washington has seen considerable changes since 1960. Continued development around the lake has resulted in large portions of the surrounding watersheds becoming urban/suburban in nature. With this development has come a substantial increase in the areas covered by impervious surface.

Until the early 1960s, water quality in the lake continued to decline because of the contaminant loadings from increased runoff. The lake also served as the receiving water for septic and sewage system discharges. The pollution combined with elevated temperatures in the summers caused the lake to take on a cloudy, “pea soup” appearance. The creation of the Municipality of Metropolitan Seattle (Metro) and the subsequent construction of regional wastewater treatment plants in Renton and Seattle, led to the elimination of municipal wastewater discharges to Lake Washington (except in the case of certain infrequent overflow events), resulting in dramatic improvements in water quality by the mid-1970s.

Portions of the streams in the project area have also undergone major changes. These have primarily come about simultaneously with conversion of natural areas to urbanized landscapes and included channelization, removal of woody debris from the streams, re-routings, bank armoring, loss of stream-side vegetation, heavy silt and pollutant loadings, and elevated summer temperatures. Water in these streams ultimately reaches Lake Washington and affects water quality there.

Recognition of the declining ecological conditions in the streams and the lake set the stage for implementation of laws and regulations to curb this trend and provide for restoration

of degraded stream habitats. By the 1970s, local municipalities began to recognize that some form of stormwater management was needed for new developments. Stormwater utilities were established and best management practices (BMPs) for the control of stormwater runoff were developed and implemented.

The *Puget Sound Water Quality Management Plan* was published in the late 1980s. The early 1990s brought the issuance of King County's *Surface Water Design Manual*, Ecology's *Stormwater Management Manual for the Puget Sound Basin*, and WSDOT's *Highway Runoff Manual*. Stormwater detention and water quality treatment became mandatory for all projects within areas draining to Puget Sound. Statutes (e.g., the Clean Water Act (CWA), Growth Management Act (GMA), and the Shoreline Management Act (SMA)) and their associated implementing regulations have provided additional guidance. Stormwater management requirements have continued to evolve and, in general, have become more stringent.

In general, the design standards for the Kirkland Nickel Project now require treatment for more than 100 percent of new impervious surfaces and detention of the two-year through 50-year storm events.

### ***Wetlands***

Numerous federal, state, and local laws, regulations, ordinances, and orders now govern activities in or near wetlands. That was not the case in 1960. The passage of the NEPA in 1969 required project proponents to evaluate the impacts of their projects on the environment including wetlands. Federal Executive Order 11990 issued in 1978, required all federal agencies to provide for wetland protection in their policies. The US Department of Transportation complies (DOT Order 5660.1A) with that mandate during the planning, construction, and operational phases of transportation facilities and projects. Additionally, legislation at the state level, such as the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) and the GMA, as well as county and municipality ordinances, now regulate wetlands. The local ordinances governing wetlands and other sensitive/critical areas continue to evolve. In general, required mitigation and compensatory measures have become more stringent with the passage of time.

In general, wetland resources in the four watersheds have continued to decline over time due to increased urbanization and the associated loss of natural systems and landscapes. While environmental awareness has increased through the passage of legislation, the number, size, and function of wetlands has continued to decline. However, the rate of decline has decreased and that trend is likely to continue. The goal of *No Net Loss* and improved avoidance, mitigation, and compensation measures are helping to restore wetland areas, functions, and values. Advanced scientific studies, refined regulatory requirements and programs, and use of adaptive management procedures will serve to further enhance the restoration trend.

### *Fish and Aquatic Habitat*

Although fish populations fluctuate naturally, in general, their numbers have markedly declined and the extent and quality of their habitat<sup>5</sup> decreased over the past century. Two major factors affecting fish populations in the Kirkland Nickel Project area are harvest and habitat. This cumulative effects analysis focused on habitat (including water quality, stream flows, physical features, and ecosystem interactions).

As the human population and the extent of development in the project area have increased over time, aquatic habitat has been eliminated and/or degraded. Aquatic habitat alteration has taken the form of removal of forest cover and stream-side vegetation, channel modification, bank armoring, dredging, removal of woody debris from streams, routing of streams through culverts, alteration of natural stream flow regimes, and construction of barriers to fish passage.

With the intent of stopping the decline in fish populations and the loss/degradation of aquatic habitat, laws and regulations applicable to aquatic habitats and fish have continued to increase in number and complexity since the 1960s. Examples include:

---

<sup>5</sup> Fish habitat includes the physical, chemical, and biological components of the environment that support fish throughout their life cycle. These components include water quality, stream flows, physical features, and ecosystem interactions related to the habitat.

- Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973 – provides protection for threatened and endangered fish<sup>6</sup>, wildlife, and plants
- Clean Water Act – regulates discharges of pollutants into surface waters of the United States
- Sustainable Fisheries Act of 1996 – requires the identification and conservation of habitat that is “essential” to federally-listed fish species.

Additionally, local regulations, ordinances, and policies provide for the protection of fish and aquatic habitat through shoreline management and sensitive area requirements.

The Washington State Salmonid Stock Inventory identifies five salmonid stocks within the I-405 Corridor Program area as “depressed”<sup>7</sup>: Cedar River sockeye, Lake Washington beach sockeye, Lake Washington/Sammamish tributary sockeye, Lake Washington/Sammamish tributary coho, and Lake Washington winter steelhead. Each of these stocks has been on a declining trend. Any cumulative adverse effect can contribute to the continuance of such a declining trend.

ESA-listed fish species that may occur in the vicinity of the Kirkland Nickel Project include chinook salmon and bull trout. Bull trout/Dolly Varden have been reported in Lake Washington, but none in any of the streams in the project area. Construction of the Kirkland Nickel Project will not involve any instream work for any water bodies that may be used by chinook salmon.

No ESA-listed species have been identified in Yarrow Creek. Cutthroat trout use the stream throughout its length. Coho (candidate ESA species) have access and may use its lower reaches. Non-salmonids likely present include stickleback and sculpin.

Salmonid habitat has been degraded by intensive development in the Forbes Creek watershed. The watershed downstream of I-405 has extensive wetland and open space. However, there are two migration barriers downstream of I-

---

<sup>6</sup> Declining populations have led to the listing of Puget Sound chinook salmon and bull trout as “threatened” under the ESA.

<sup>7</sup> A stock whose production is below expected levels, based on available habitat and natural variation in survival rates, but above where permanent damage is likely.

405. Coho, coastal cutthroat trout, sockeye, and possibly steelhead use Forbes Creek. Trout populations may also spawn in Forbes Lake and in the upper watershed and contribute to downstream recruitment below I-405. Non-salmonid species in the creek system include stickleback, lamprey, and dace. No chinook salmon have been found in Forbes Creek in recent field surveys.

Intensive urbanization in the Juanita Creek watershed has severely degraded salmon habitat. Juanita Creek, although utilized by other salmonids (coho, sea-run cutthroat, resident cutthroat), is not used by chinook. Migration and rearing habitat is not available for chinook. Non-salmonids likely present include stickleback, lamprey, dace, and sculpin.

Coho, sockeye, kokanee, and chinook salmon, as well as steelhead, sea-run cutthroat, resident trout, and non-salmonids (large-mouth bass, sculpin, lamprey, dace, and stickleback) use the main stem of the Sammamish River for migration and rearing. Poor water quality, especially high temperatures, limits salmonid production in the system. Salmonid use is primarily as a migration corridor to better upstream habitats.

Of seven un-named streams in the project area, only two have documented salmonid (resident cutthroat – both streams, coho – one stream) presence, and four have barriers to anadromous fish passage downstream of I-405.

### ***What contribution to cumulative effects will result from construction of the Kirkland Nickel Project?***

If current schedules do not change, the Kirkland Nickel Project and all of the other five projects included in the cumulative effects analysis could be under construction at the same time from late 2005 through 2006. Construction of the Totem Lake Freeway Station would continue into early 2007.

Simultaneous construction of the Brightwater Conveyance System North Creek Portal Facilities and portions of the Kirkland Nickel Project would extend into 2010.

### ***Surface Water***

The Kirkland Nickel Project will include construction of a new storm drain system that will collect, treat, and discharge highway runoff from the new impervious surfaces and some replaced pavement areas. In general, effects on surface waters



during construction could include increased runoff volumes and increased peak flows.

The project will be constructed in accordance with federal and state technical guidance, permit conditions, and WSDOT specifications that will require the use of Best Management Practices (BMPs) to control the rate of runoff and, where practical, to retain runoff on the site. Regardless, there will be the potential for increased runoff entering some local waterways. However, the receiving waters and drainage systems that convey water to Lake Washington will each receive only a small percentage of their total flow from the construction areas. Increased runoff and peak flows during construction can potentially adversely affect water quality in the receiving waters. The decreased water quality can negatively affect fish and organisms living in the waters.

Minimization of the Kirkland Nickel Project's contribution to cumulative effects on surface waters will be achieved through implementation of applicable BMPs and compliance with regulatory requirements and permit (e.g. NPDES Construction Stormwater Permit) conditions. It is assumed that similar mitigation measures will be followed, where appropriate, for the other five projects being implemented by others. As a result, construction-related cumulative effects on surface waters attributable to the Kirkland Nickel Project and the other five projects (Brightwater Conveyance System North Creek Portal and Bothell Branch Campus Access (Sammamish River Watershed); Totem Lake Freeway Station and Totem Lake Transit Center (Juanita Creek Watershed); NE 85<sup>th</sup> Street HOV Lane (Forbes Creek and Lake Washington East/Bellevue North Watersheds)) included in the cumulative effects analysis should be temporary and of low magnitude.


### ***Wetlands***

Currently, within the project area, the Lake Washington East/Bellevue North Watershed has 14 wetlands covering 2.32 acres, the Juanita Creek Watershed has 8 wetlands covering 4.11 acres, the Forbes Creek Watershed has 11 wetlands covering 2.02 acres, and the Sammamish River Watershed has two wetlands covering 0.037 acres. Fifteen of the wetlands within the project area are stormwater facilities or stormwater conveyance swales or ditches. All of the wetlands within the project area have been disturbed to some extent by development, including the construction of I-405 and

development in the surrounding area. Approximately 0.19 acres of wetlands will be temporarily affected because of construction activities and approximately 1.832 acres of wetlands will be permanently filled (Exhibit 6-3). The distribution of permanently filled wetlands by watershed will be Forbes Creek – 1.297 acres, Lake Washington East/Bellevue North – 0.096 acres, Juanita Creek – 0.304 acres, and Sammamish River – 0.136 acres.

Based on the mitigation that will occur to compensate for the loss of the 1.832 acres, a positive contribution to cumulative effects (more wetlands created or enhanced than filled or permanently impacted) to wetlands within the affected areas can be realized as a result of the construction of the Kirkland Nickel Project.

**Exhibit 6-3**  
Wetlands in the Project Area



Wetlands will be affected in the Juanita Creek Watershed by the Totem Lake Freeway Station as well. That project should also provide a positive contribution to cumulative effects (more wetlands created or enhanced than filled or permanently impacted) on wetlands.

#### *Fish and Aquatic Habitat*

Temporary minor loss of aquatic habitat and minor changes in stream flows will occur due to the construction of the Kirkland Nickel Project. These effects (e.g., temporary loss of stream-side vegetation, increased sedimentation, changes in the stream flows, and course modifications) will be minimized through the use of BMPs and compliance with in-water work windows set by the fish and wildlife regulatory agencies.

None of the other five projects included in the cumulative effects analysis will directly affect fish and aquatic habitat.

#### ***What contribution to cumulative effects will result from operation of the Kirkland Nickel Project?***

##### *Surface Water*

The Kirkland Nickel Project's contribution to cumulative effects on surface waters during operation will likely be positive in all four watersheds. The greatest benefits will be gained through maintenance of the enhanced treatment for the new pavement areas and the retrofitted treatment of the 16.9 acres of existing pavement where previous runoff was not treated. The application and maintenance of similar standards for the other projects (Brightwater Conveyance System North Creek Portal and Bothell Branch Campus Access (Sammamish River Watershed); Totem Lake Freeway Station and Totem Lake Transit Center (Juanita Creek Watershed); NE 85th Street HOV Lane (Forbes Creek and Lake Washington East/Bellevue North Watersheds)) included in the cumulative effects analysis will likely result in positive effects on surface waters as well.

##### *Wetlands*

The operation of the Kirkland Nickel Project may provide a positive contribution to the cumulative effects (although difficult to measure) on wetlands. That positive effect would result from the improvements in surface water quality and flows to streams in the area. Those improvements would be due to the Kirkland Nickel Project's enhanced treatment of the

runoff from the new impervious surfaces and the establishment of enhanced water quality treatment for presently untreated impervious surfaces. Similar positive effects may result from the other five projects (Brightwater Conveyance System North Creek Portal and Bothell Branch Campus Access (Sammamish River Watershed); Totem Lake Freeway Station and Totem Lake Transit Center (Juanita Creek Watershed); NE 85th Street HOV Lane (Forbes Creek and Lake Washington East/Bellevue North Watersheds)) included in the cumulative effects analysis.

#### ***Fish and Aquatic Habitat***

Proper maintenance and continued operation of the Kirkland Nickel Project facilities should maintain its positive contribution to cumulative effects on fish and aquatic habitat.

None of the other five projects included in the cumulative effects analysis will directly affect fish and aquatic habitat.

#### ***What measures are proposed to minimize cumulative effects?***

No measures, beyond those incorporated in the project design, are necessary.

## CHAPTER 7

### List of Preparers

<b>Name</b> <i>AFFILIATION</i>	<b>Contribution</b>	<b>Education</b> <b>Certifications/Licenses</b> <b>Professional Organizations</b>	<b>Years</b> <b>of</b> <b>Experience</b>
<b>Mark Assam, AICP</b> CH2MHILL	<i>Environmental Justice</i> <i>DR</i>	BS, Biology AS, Biology UW Community Planning Certificate	14
<b>Cathy Bialas</b> HISTORICAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, INC.	<i>Historical, Cultural, and</i> <i>Archaeological</i> <i>Resources DR</i>	BA, Anthropology	8
<b>Megan Bockenkamp</b> HDR ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Wildlife and Vegetation</i> <i>DR</i>	BS, Environmental Science	3
<b>Carey W. Burch, AICP</b> HDR ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Visual Quality,</i> <i>Transportation, Land</i> <i>Use and Energy DRs,</i> <i>EA Author: Geology,</i> <i>Soils and Groundwater,</i> <i>Socioeconomics, Air</i> <i>Quality and Visual</i> <i>Quality</i>	MS, Environmental Planning BS, Forest Resource Management	26
<b>Benn Burke</b> ADOLFSON ASSOCIATES, INC.	<i>Wetland Delineation</i> <i>and Wetland Mitigation</i> <i>Wetland DR</i>	BS, Fisheries Biology	13
<b>Ranae Buscher</b> SCARLET PLUME WRITING/DESIGN	<i>Editor</i>	BA, Communications	19
<b>Virginia Brix</b> MIRAI ASSOCIATES	<i>Transportation DR</i>	MBA, Administrative Organization BA, Finance	20
<b>Jose Carrasquero</b> HERRERA ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS	<i>Fish and Aquatic</i> <i>Resources DR</i>	MS, Fisheries	15
<b>Jill Crotwell</b> PARSONS	<i>GIS Analysis/Graphics</i>	BS, Geology	11
<b>Kimberly Farley</b> WSDOT URBAN CORRIDORS OFFICE	<i>EA Author: Section 4(f)</i>	JD BS, Applied Engineering Geology	13
<b>David Findley</b> GOLDER ASSOCIATES, INC.	<i>Geology, Soils and</i> <i>Groundwater DR</i>	MS, Geologic Engineering BS, Geology Registered Professional Geologist Registered Professional Engineering Geologist	28



<b>Name</b> <i>AFFILIATION</i>	<b>Contribution</b>	<b>Education</b> <b>Certifications/Licenses</b> <b>Professional Organizations</b>	<b>Years of Experience</b>
<b>Karin Fusetti</b> HDR ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>EA Author: Historic, Cultural and Archaeological Resources, Recreation Resources, Land Use, and Alternatives</i>	BA, Urban Planning and Design	14
<b>Dianne Gibson</b> HDR ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Editor</i>		39
<b>Gina Mares Kurtz, AICP</b> JONES & STOKES	<i>Land Use Plans and Policies DR</i>	Masters of City Planning, BS, Geological and Environmental Sciences	6
<b>Michael Hutchinson</b> GEOENGINEERS	<i>Hazardous Materials DR</i>	MS, Geology, Licensed Hydrogeologist	10
<b>Jim Jordan, AICP</b> HDR ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>EA Author and DR Coordinator</i>	MA, Geography BA, Geography American Institute of Certified Planners	35
<b>Paul LaRiviere</b> HDR ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>EA Author: Fish and Aquatic Habitat, and Threatened and Endangered Fish Species</i>	BS, Biology	23
<b>Michael Lapham</b> MIRAI ASSOCIATES	<i>Transportation DR</i>	MS, Urban and Regional Science BS, Urban Geography	
<b>Karissa Kawamoto</b> HDR ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Land Use Patterns, Social Elements and Energy DRs</i>	BA, Urban and Regional Planning	11
<b>James Leonard, PE</b> FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION	<i>Guidance and Review</i>	MBA, BA, Environmental Engineering Registered Professional Engineer	43
<b>Ilon E. Logan</b> ADOLFSON ASSOCIATES, INC.	<i>Wetland Delineation and Wetland Mitigation Wetland DR</i>	Certificate of Wetland Science and Management BA, English Literature	5
<b>Alex Maas</b> HISTORICAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, INC.	<i>Historical, Cultural and Archaeological Resources DR</i>	MA, Archaeology BA, Archaeology	12
<b>Allison MacEwan, PE</b> HDR ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Surface Water and Floodplains DR</i>	MSE, Civil Engineering BA, Engineering Sciences Registered Professional Engineer	20
<b>Christina Martinez</b> WSDOT	<i>I-405 Environmental Manager</i>	BS, Environmental Science	7

<b>Name</b> <i>AFFILIATION</i>	<b>Contribution</b>	<b>Education</b> <b>Certifications/Licenses</b> <b>Professional Organizations</b>	<b>Years of Experience</b>
<b>Keith McGowan, AICP</b> MCGOWAN ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.	<i>Corridor Environmental Manager / Senior Review</i>	MUP, Urban and Regional Planning MS, Recreation and Park Administration BS, Forest Management	24
<b>Jason McKinney</b> HNTB	<i>Public Services and Utilities DRs EA Author: Wetlands, Public Services and Utilities</i>	BA, Sociology UW Environmental Regulation Certificate	3
<b>Patty Michak</b> HDR ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>EA Author: Water Resources, Wildlife and Vegetation, Fish and Aquatic Habitat, and Threatened and Endangered Fish Species</i>	BS, Fisheries Science Certified Fish Health Inspector	22
<b>Douglas Morell</b> GOLDER ASSOCIATES, INC.	<i>Geology, Soils and Groundwater DR</i>	PhD, Hydrogeology MS, Geochemistry BS, Geology Registered Geologist and Hydrogeologist	29
<b>Ed Murray</b> HDR ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Environmental Management Oversight, Review, QA/QC  EA Author: Noise, Transportation, and Hazardous Materials and Wastes</i>	MS, Plant Pathology & Physiology and Forest Biology BS, Biology	30
<b>Patrick O'Bannon, PhD</b> HISTORICAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, INC.	<i>Historical, Cultural, and Archaeological Resources DR</i>	PhD, US History MA, History BA, History	27
<b>Jory Oppenheimer</b> HDR ENGINEERING, INC.	<i>Water Quality DR</i>	MS, Environmental Science BS, Environmental Science and Engineering	15
<b>Linda Osborn, ASLA</b> OSBORN PACIFIC GROUP, INC.	<i>Section 4(f) Resources DR</i>	BLA, Landscape Architecture BA, Botany	28
<b>Robert Plum</b> GOLDER ASSOCIATES, INC.	<i>Geology, Soils and Groundwater DR</i>	MS, Geotechnical Engineering BS, Civil Engineering Registered Professional Engineer	36

<b>Name</b> <i>AFFILIATION</i>	<b>Contribution</b>	<b>Education</b> <b>Certifications/Licenses</b> <b>Professional Organizations</b>	<b>Years of Experience</b>
<b>Donald R. Samdahl, PE</b> MIRAI ASSOCIATES	<i>Transportation DR</i>	MS, Civil Engineering/Transportation BS, Civil Engineering, PE in Civil Engineering Registered Professional Engineer	28
<b>Brett Scheckler</b> BERK AND ASSOCIATES	<i>Economics DR</i>	BS, Economics	7
<b>Deborah Shields</b> PARSONS	<i>Editor</i>	MA, Comparative Literature BA, English and History	26
<b>Lawrence Spurgeon</b> Parsons BRINCKERHOFF QUADE AND DOUGLAS	<i>Air Quality and Noise DRs</i>	MSE Environmental Engineering BS Industrial Engineering	10
<b>Mike Stimac</b> HDR ENGINEERING, INC	<i>Cumulative Effects DR, Environmental QA/QC Oversight</i>	BS Electrical Engineering MS Fisheries Registered Professional Engineer	37
<b>Connie Walker Gray</b> HISTORICAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, INC.	<i>Historical, Cultural, and Archaeological Resources DR</i>	Masters of Urban Planning BA, History and Spanish	5
<b>Daniel Weiss</b> HERRERA ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS	<i>Fish and Aquatic Resources DR</i>	BS, Biology Certified Hazardous Materials Manager	6
<b>Mary Yoder-Williams</b> HERRERA ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS	<i>Fish and Aquatic Resources DR</i>	MS, Ecology	16

## CHAPTER 8

---

### References

- Ecology (Washington State Department of Ecology). 1997. *Washington State Wetlands Identification and Delineation Manual*. Publication No. 96-94. Olympia, WA: Washington State Department of Ecology.
- EDR (Environmental Data Resources, Inc.). February 11, 2004.
- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. *Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual*. Technical Report Y-87-1. Vicksburg, MS: US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station.
- PSRC (Puget Sound Regional Council) 2001a. Destination 2030 Metropolitan Transportation Plan for the Central Puget Sound Region.
- The Watershed Company. 1998. *Kirkland's Streams, Wetlands, and Wildlife Study*. July 1998.
- US EPA (US Environmental Protection Agency). 1971. Noise from Construction Equipment and Operations, Building Equipment, and Home Appliances. Washington, D.C.
- Washington Department of Health, 2004. Personal communication with Mr. Jim Nilson.
- WDFW (Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2004. *Priority Habitat and Species Polygon Report for T26N, R5E*. March 2004.
- WSDOT (Washington State Department of Transportation). 2002. *I-405 Corridor Program NEPA/SEPA Final Environmental Impact Statement*.
- WSDOT (Washington State Department of Transportation). 2002. *2003-2022 Washington State Highway System Plan*. February 2002.
- WSDOT (Washington State Department of Transportation). 2004. *Highway Runoff Manual (M 31-16)*. 2004.
- WSDOT (Washington State Department of Transportation). 2004a. *Environmental Procedures Manual M31-11 Volume 1 & 2*. Olympia, WA. WSDOT Environmental Affairs, Engineering Publications.
- WSDOT 2004. *Hydraulics Manual (M 23-03)*. March 2004.
- WSDOT and Washington State Department of Ecology. *Implementing Agreement Between The Department of Ecology and The Department of Transportation Concerning Hazardous Waste Management*. 1993.
- WSDOT, 2002. The Wildlife, Habitat, and Upland Threatened and Endangered Species Report. *I-405 Corridor Program Final NEPA/SEPA Environmental Impact Statement*. June 2002.

## **Appendix A: Glossary**





## APPENDIX A

---

### Glossary

<i>Term</i>	<i>Meaning</i>
100-year floodplain	An area of land that would be inundated by a flood having a one-percent chance of occurring in any given year.
air pollutant	Any substance in air that could, in high enough concentration, harm people, other animals, vegetation, or material. Pollutants may include almost any natural or artificial composition of airborne matter capable of being airborne. They may be in the form of solid particles, liquid droplets, gases, or a combination thereof. Generally, they fall into two main groups: (1) those emitted directly from identifiable sources and (2) those produced in the air by interaction between two or more primary pollutants, or by reaction with normal atmospheric constituents, with or without photoactivation.
basin	An area of land that drains to a specific water body.
best management practices (BMPs)	Physical, structural, and/or managerial practices that, when used singly or in combination, prevent or reduce pollutant discharges.
carbon dioxide	A colorless, odorless, gas produced by burning fossil fuels, sometimes referred to as a green house gas because it contributes to global warming.
carbon monoxide	A colorless, odorless, poisonous gas produced by incomplete combustion of fossil fuel.
cross-culvert	A pipe designed to carry upstream drainage under a roadway.
culvert	A pipe or concrete box structure that drains open channels, swales, or ditches under a roadway or embankment. Typically, a culvert is not connected to a catch basin or manhole along its length.
design storm	A rainfall event of specific size and return frequency that is used to calculate the runoff volume and peak discharge rate to a stormwater facility.

<i><b>Term</b></i>	<i><b>Meaning</b></i>
detention	The temporary storage of stormwater runoff in a stormwater facility, which is used to control the peak discharge rates and which provides gravity settling of pollutants.
detention pond	A catchment designed to mitigate stormwater runoff quality and/or quantity impacts by storing the increased runoff volume that results from development, then slowly releasing it at controlled runoff rates. Detention tanks and vaults are underground structures used to attenuate peak stormwater flows.
discharge	Runoff leaving a new development or redevelopment via overland flow, built conveyance systems, or infiltration facilities; a hydraulic rate of flow, specifically fluid flow; a volume of fluid passing a point per unit of time.
displacement	The act of removing a business, residence, or public facility from its existing location. In the context of transportation improvements, displacement is generally the result of (1) property acquisition for right of way expansion or (2) elimination of access to a property due to traffic revisions.
ecology embankment	A stormwater treatment facility constructed in the pervious shoulder area of a highway, consisting of a vegetation-covered French drain containing filter media.
encroachment	Any action including the placement of fill and scour countermeasures, and the construction of piers and bridge abutments, that will occur within the limits of the regulatory floodplain.
endangered species	Any species that is in danger of extinction throughout all or a substantial portion of its range.
floodplain	The total area subject to inundation by a flood, including the flood fringe and floodway.
habitat	The native environment or specific surroundings where a plant or animal naturally grows or lives. The surroundings include physical factors such as temperature, moisture, and light, together with biological factors such as the presence of food or predator organisms.

<i><b>Term</b></i>	<i><b>Meaning</b></i>
hazardous air pollutants	Air pollutants which are not covered by ambient air quality standards but which, as defined in the Clean Air Act, may reasonably be expected to cause or contribute to irreversible illness or death. Such pollutants include asbestos, beryllium, mercury, benzene, coke oven emissions, radionuclides, and vinyl chloride.
infiltration	The downward movement of water from the surface to the subsoil.
large woody debris	Woody vegetation (e.g., trees or tree parts) that is wholly or partially waterward of the ordinary high water line. To qualify as large woody debris, it must be of sufficient size to be resistant to erosion, provide bank stability, or help maintain or create habitat features important to fish life (greater than 15 centimeters [5.9 inches] in diameter and 2 meters [6.6 feet] long.
listed species	Any species of fish, wildlife, or plant that has been determined to be endangered or threatened under Section 4 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA).
mitigation	Defined in WAC 197-11-766 as: (1) avoiding the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action; (2) minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation, by using appropriate technology, or by taking affirmative steps to avoid or reduce impacts; (3) rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment; (4) reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the action; (5) compensating for the impact by replacing, enhancing or providing substitute resources or environments; and/or (6) monitoring the impact and taking appropriate corrective measures.
nitrogen oxide (NO <sub>x</sub> )	The result of nitric oxide combining with oxygen in the atmosphere; major component of photochemical smog.

<i>Term</i>	<i>Meaning</i>
ozone (O <sub>3</sub> )	Ozone is a natural form of oxygen that provides a protective layer shielding the earth from ultraviolet radiation. Ozone in the troposphere is produced through complex chemical reactions of nitrogen oxides, which are among the primary pollutants emitted by combustion sources; hydrocarbons, released into the atmosphere through the combustion, handling and processing of petroleum products; and sunlight. Ozone is a chemical oxidant and major component of photochemical smog. It can seriously impair the respiratory system and is one of the most widespread of all the criteria pollutants for which the Clean Air Act required the EPA to set standards.
outfall	Point of discharge for stormwater runoff; also the end of a culvert or pipe that discharges stormwater runoff.
outwash	Usually stratified sediment deposited by glacial meltwaters in front of or beyond the limits of an active glacier.
particulate	A very small solid, suspended in air or water, which can vary widely in size, shape, density, and electrical charge.
PM <sub>10</sub>	A standard for measuring the amount of solid or liquid matter suspended in the atmosphere, i.e. the amount of particulate matter less than 10 micrometers in diameter; smaller PM10 particles penetrate to the deeper portions of the lung, affecting sensitive population groups such as individuals with respiratory ailments and children.
recharge	Water whether precipitation, surface water or groundwater that will enter and add to the change in level of an aquifer.
restoration	To improve a disturbed or altered wetland by returning wetland parameters that may be missing. The restoration may return an original wetland habitat.
right of way	Land purchased prior to the construction of new highway improvements along with land for building sound walls, retaining walls. And other project features. Vacant land may also be set aside for future highway expansion.
riparian	Land that occurs along or interacts with flowing water.

<i><b>Term</b></i>	<i><b>Meaning</b></i>
ruderal	A vegetation community dominated by weedy and commonly introduced plants growing where the natural vegetation has been disturbed.
runoff	Rainwater or snowmelt that directly leaves an area as a surface drainage.
single point urban interchange (SPUI)	A form of a diamond interchange with a single signalized intersection through which all left turns utilizing the interchange must travel.
smog	Dust, smoke, or chemical fumes that pollute the air and make hazy, unhealthy conditions. Automobile, truck, bus, and other vehicle exhausts and particulates are usually trapped close to the ground, obscuring visibility and contributing to a number of respiratory problems.
stormwater	The portion of precipitation that does not naturally percolate into the ground or evaporate, but flows via overland flow, interflow, pipes, and other features of a drainage system into a defined surface water body or treatment facility.
threatened species	Any species that is likely to become endangered within the foreseeable future throughout all or a substantial portion of its range.
vault	Underground storage facilities that treat stormwater. Dry vaults provide stormwater quantity control by detaining runoff and then releasing reduced flows at established rates. Wet vaults are designed to treat stormwater for both quantity and quality by maintaining a permanent pool of water that acts as a settling basin.

<i><b>Term</b></i>	<i><b>Meaning</b></i>
wetland	<p>Areas that are inundated or saturated by surface water or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas. Wetlands do not usually include those artificial wetlands intentionally created from non-wetland sites, including, but not limited to irrigation and drainage ditches, grass-lined swales, canals, detention facilities, wastewater treatment facilities, farm ponds, and landscape amenities. However, wetlands may include artificial wetlands intentionally created from non-wetland areas to mitigate conversion of wetlands, if permitted by the appropriate authority.</p>



## **Appendix B: Proposed Construction at Cross-Culverts**



## APPENDIX B

### Proposed Construction at Cross Culverts

Culvert ID	TDA	Culvert Size	Mile post	Direction Affected	Construction Activity
1	A1	Unknown	15.95	Southbound	Replace 190 ft. culvert, add catch basins
2	A1	18"	16.07	Southbound	Extend west approx. 13 ft., add catch basin
3	A1	18"	16.18	Southbound	Extend west approx. 15 ft., add catch basin
4	A1	18"	16.32	Southbound	Extend west approx. 13 ft., add catch basin
5	A1	24"	16.47	Southbound	Extend west approx. 15 ft., add catch basin
6	A1	18"	16.51	Southbound	Extend west approx. 16 ft., add catch basin
7	A1	Unknown	16.55	Southbound	Extend west approx. 16 ft., add catch basin
8	A2	Unknown	16.70	Southbound	Construct detention vault on west side
16	C1	12"	18.57	Both	Extend west approx. 20 ft., east 15 ft., construct headwall on east side
17	C1	24"	18.79	Southbound	Extend west approx. 22 ft., add catch basin
18	C1	18"	18.96	Southbound	Extend west approx. 25 ft., add catch basin, headwall on east side
19	C1	24"	19.07	Southbound	Extend west approx. 20 ft.
20	C1	30"	19.14	Both	Construct new Forbes Creek fish passage culvert 490 ft. long
21	C1	24"	19.42	Both	Extend east approx. 30 ft., add catch basins
22	C1	24"	19.59	Southbound	Replace 300 ft. culvert, add catch basins
23	C1	24"	19.83	Neither	Replace collection system in NE 116th Street
27	D3	24"	21.23	Southbound	Extend approx. 20 ft. into median, add catch basin
28	D3	30"	21.27	Southbound	Extend approx. 30 ft. into median, replace outfall on west side
29	D3	30"	21.41	Southbound	Extend approx. 35 ft. into median, replace catch basin
30	D4	18"	21.54	Neither	Adjust catch basin in median
34	E2	18"	22.28	Southbound	Extend west approx. 72 ft., add catch basins
35	F1	24"	22.60	Neither	Replace damaged culvert (approx. 152 ft.)
37	F3	24"	22.84	Northbound	Construct collection system and catch basins in shoulder
38	F3	18"	22.90	Northbound	Construct collection system and catch basins in shoulder
39	F3	18"	22.98	Northbound	Construct collection system and catch basins in shoulder
40	F3	18"	23.13	Northbound	Construct collection system and catch basins in shoulder
41	F4	18"	23.18	Both	Construct collection system and catch basins in shoulder
43	F4	24"	23.35	Neither	Construct collection system and catch basins in shoulder with flow splitter



## **Appendix C: Cross Reference of NEPA Elements of the Environment and Environmental Assessment Sections**





## APPENDIX C

### Cross Reference of NEPA Elements of the Environment and Environmental Assessment Sections

NEPA Element of the Environment	Location in the Environmental Assessment	Appendix
Soils and Geology	Section 5.13 Geology and Soils	T
Air Quality	Section 5.8 Air Quality	Q
Water Quality	Section 5.9 Water Resources	R
Surface Water	Section 5.9 Water Resources	S
Floodplains	Section 5.9 Water Resources	S
Groundwater	Section 5.9 Water Resources	T
Wildlife, Fish, and Vegetation	Section 5.11 Wildlife and Vegetation	V
	Section 5.12 Fish, Aquatic Habitat, and Threatened and Endangered Fish Species	W
Wetlands	Section 5.10 Wetlands	U
Energy	Energy Technical Memorandum (on attached CD)	Z
Noise	Section 5.2 Noise	G
Hazardous Materials	Section 5.14 Hazardous Materials	X
Land Use, Land Use Plans, and Growth Management	Section 5.3 Land Use Patterns	I
	Section 5.4 Communities, Neighborhoods, and Businesses	H
Coastal Areas and Shorelines	Not applicable	
Wild and Scenic Rivers	Not applicable	
Agricultural and Farmlands	Not applicable	
Public Lands (Section 4(f), 6(f), and Forests)	Section 5.5 Recreational and Cultural Resources (Section 4(f) only)	N
Historic, Cultural, and Archaeological Resources	Section 5.5 Recreational and Cultural Resources	M
Social and Economic Conditions	Section 5.4 Communities, Neighborhoods, and Businesses	L and J
Environmental Justice	Section 5.4 Communities, Neighborhoods, and Businesses	K
Visual Impacts, Light, and Glare	Section 5.7 Visual Quality	P
Transportation	Section 5.1 Traffic and Transportation	F
Public Services and Utilities	Section 5.6 Public Services and Utilities	O
Cumulative Impacts	Section 6.0 Cumulative Effects Analysis	Y



## **Appendix D: Concurrence Letters**





# United States Department of the Interior

## FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Western Washington Fish and Wildlife Office  
510 Desmond Dr. SE, Suite 102  
Lacey, Washington 98503



OCT 25 2004

Michelle Steinmetz  
Biology Program Manager - WSDOT  
I-405 Corridor Program Office  
600-108<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE, Suite 405  
Bellevue, Washington 98004

Dear Ms. Steinmetz:

This letter is in response to the request for informal consultation on the Interstate 405 (I-405) Congestion Relief and Bus Rapid Transit Project between State Route (SR) 522 and SR 520 in King County, Washington. Your letter and enclosed Biological Assessment (BA), dated August 10, 2004, and received in our office on August 11, 2004, requests U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) concurrence with the determination of "may affect, not likely to adversely affect" for the bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*). It is our understanding that this request is being submitted to us by the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT), I-405 Project Office on behalf of the Federal Highway Administration. This informal consultation has been conducted in accordance with section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

The WSDOT has determined that the project will have "no effect" on the bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*). There is no requirement for Service concurrence. Your determination that this project will have no effect on the bald eagle rests with the action agency; therefore, consultation on this species is not required.

The WSDOT is proposing to widen I-405 for a distance of 8 miles from the north side of the I-405/SR 520 interchange to the south side of the I-405/SR 522 interchange. As part of the project the I-405/ NE 116<sup>th</sup> Street interchange will be realigned and local roadways will be modified. Stormwater will be managed for water quality treatment and detained by upgrading conveyance systems. The project is anticipated to begin in mid 2005 and be completed by 2011.

We believe sufficient information has been provided to determine the effects of the proposed project to federally listed species and to conclude whether this project is likely to adversely affect those species. Our concurrence would be based on information in the BA, draft Wildlife and Vegetation, and Water Quality discipline reports, the Land Use Analysis document, the additional information received via electronic mail on October 20, 2004, and at a meeting with WSDOT on October 13, 2004, complete and successful implementation of the conservation and performance measures described in the BA, and the following rationale.



The proposed project will occur in the Lake Washington Watershed, and will directly impact a number of tributaries to Lake Washington: Forbes, Juanita, and North Creeks and the Sammamish River. The habitat within the project/action area supports foraging, migratory and overwintering bull trout. Bull trout have been detected in Lake Washington and some of its tributaries. Bull trout using the action area would be adult and subadult anadromous bull trout from bull trout core areas/subpopulations to the south (i.e., Puyallup) and north (i.e., Stillaguamish, Snohomish and Skagit). Bull trout would most likely occur in the Lake Washington tributaries in response to foraging opportunities on juvenile or spawning salmon.

The project will result in the addition of a culvert under I-405 and the redirection of Forbes Creek. This work will occur upstream of three fish migration barriers. Bull trout and their prey downstream of these barriers may be exposed to temporary increases in sediment. The in-water work will be timed to occur such that it will not coincide with any salmon spawning (prey) and will be conducted during the warmest time of the year, when bull trout would be least likely to occur in these areas. Given the timing and the low number of bull trout detections in the Lake Washington Basin, the probability of exposure of bull trout to construction-related activities is extremely remote and therefore effects are discountable.

The proposed project will result in the addition of 14 acres of new impervious surface. Impervious surfaces and their resultant stormwater will alter hydrologic functions of the stream systems within the action area and may expose bull trout to contaminated stormwater runoff. The project proposes to treat stormwater runoff from a portion of existing and new impervious surfaces (43 acres in total). With the proposed stormwater treatment facilities, the annual amount of total suspended solids in the runoff is expected to decrease by 70 percent from existing baseline condition. No more than 80 acres of vegetation will be removed as part of this project. All areas in which vegetation removal will occur, with the exception of the new impervious surface (approximately 14 acres), will be re-vegetated. Areas with mixed forest will not be removed for temporary use (i.e., staging). Areas of mixed forest that will be permanently removed will be replaced with plantings of native tree and shrub species (acre for acre) within the action area. With the proposed stormwater treatment and the replacement of vegetation, the effects of the new impervious surface on pollutant loading and hydrology are expected to be insignificant.

To expedite the environmental review process, if the Federal Highway Administration concurs with the effect determinations for listed species, then you may consider this action to be in compliance with requirements of 50 CFR 402.13, thereby concluding the consultation process. The project should be reanalyzed if new information reveals effects of the action that may affect listed species or critical habitat in a manner, or to an extent, not considered in this consultation. The project should also be reanalyzed if the action is subsequently modified in a manner that causes an effect to a listed species or critical habitat that was not considered in this consultation, and/or a new species is listed or critical habitat is designated that may be affected by this project.




Michelle Steinmetz

3

If you have further questions about this letter or your responsibilities under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, please contact Jennifer Quan at (360) 753-6047 or John Grettenberger at (360) 753-6044, of this office.

Sincerely,



Ken S. Berg, Manager

Western Washington Fish and Wildlife Office

cc:

FHWA – Olympia (J. Leonard)

WDFW Region 4

WSDOT – ESO, Olympia (P. Wagner, M. Carey)





**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**  
NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE  
Northwest Region  
7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Bldg. 1  
Seattle, WA 98115

NMFS Tracking No.:  
2004/00886

October 28, 2004

Michelle Steinmetz  
Biology Program Manager  
WSDOT - Urban Corridors Office  
401 2nd Ave. S., Suite 560  
Seattle, WA 98104-3850

Re: Endangered Species Act Section 7 Informal Consultation and Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Essential Fish Habitat Consultation: I-405 Congestion Relief and Bus Rapid Transit Projects - SR522 to SR520 Lower and Middle Sammamish River HUCs, 171100120301 and 171100120401.

Dear Mrs. Steinmetz:

This correspondence is in response to your request for consultation under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). Additionally, this letter serves to meet the requirements for consultation under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSA).

### **Endangered Species Act**

NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA Fisheries) has reviewed the Biological Assessment (BA) and Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) assessment for the above referenced project received on August 10, 2004, and additional information received on September 30, 2004. According to the amended BA, the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) is proposing to widen I-405 for a distance of eight miles from the north side of the I-405/SR522 interchange to the south side of the I-405/SR520 interchange. The I-405/NE 116th Street interchange will be realigned and local roadways will be modified, as necessary, for interchange improvements. Additionally, the project proposes reconstruction of the NE 116th street bridge over the Burlington Northern Santa Fe railroad tracks, and the associated on and off ramps, along with construction of new retaining walls along portions of northbound NE 116th street. The construction activities will be phased over six years beginning in 2005 and ending in 2011.

The project will add a northbound general-purpose lane from the I-405/NE 70th interchange to the I-405/NE 124th Street interchange and a southbound general purpose lane from the I-405/SR522 interchange to the I-405/SR520 interchange. The proposed project will add 13.57 acres of new impervious surface. The WSDOT will use enhanced water quality treatment to treat 13.51 acres of new impervious surface and retrofit an additional 43.42 acres of presently untreated impervious surface. Approximately 47 acres of clearing and grading will be required. Clearing will impact less than 3.0 acres of Type III wetlands. Five potential wetland mitigation



sites are being evaluated to fully compensate for the loss of wetland functions. The project will require approximately 165,000 cubic yards of cut and 105,000 cubic yards of fill. A new culvert will be constructed at Forbes Creek that will provide fish passage under I-405 and will help to normalize stream flows.

The action area extends from a wetland mitigation site on North Creek (at approximately River Mile 4.0), one mile north of the I-405/SR522 interchange to approximately one half mile south of the I-405/SR520 interchange. It extends approximately one mile east of the I-405 Corridor and includes the headwaters of Yarrow, Forbes, and Juanita Creeks, and the Sammamish River. It then extends west to Lake Washington. The action area includes adjacent riparian zones within the construction area and all areas affected by the project, including staging areas. North Creek is a tributary to the Sammamish River; both of which support Puget Sound (PS) chinook (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) salmon, listed as threatened under the ESA.

The WSDOT has determined that the project “may effect, but not likely to adversely effect” PS chinook. During consultation, the WSDOT identified the following measures to be taken to avoid and minimize the potential effects of the project:

- 1) Work below the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) will be conducted during the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) work window, June 15 through September 30.
- 2) A Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures (SPCC) plan will be developed and implemented for the project to ensure that all pollutants and products are controlled and contained.
- 3) The contractor will develop, implement, and maintain a Temporary Erosion Sediment & Control (TESC) plan for the project to prevent sediments from entering water bodies during construction.
- 4) During the construction period, no disturbance beyond the marked clearing limits will take place.
- 5) Projects that require bank stabilization (i.e. application of riprap at the Forbes Creek/I-405 culvert) will follow the Washington State Aquatic Guidelines Integrated Streambank Protection Guideline (2003).
- 6) All impacted wetlands will be replaced at ratios dictated by state and local regulatory standards.
- 7) The WSDOT will incorporate stormwater design procedures from the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) Stormwater Management Manual for Western

Washington, Volumes I-V, August 2001. The WSDOT will incorporate Best Management Practices (BMPs) per the 2004 WSDOT Highway Runoff Manual (HRM) to treat runoff from the project.

- 8) The removal of riparian vegetation will be limited to the least extent possible. The re-vegetation with native plant species will occur in the first growing season after project completion and will be monitored for 5 years.
- 9) No staging areas will be located within 300 feet of any wetland, stream, or river.
- 10) All temporary storage piles will be covered by appropriate BMPs to prevent sediments from entering surface waters.
- 11) The installation of riprap and other material will occur from the streambank and outside the wetted perimeter.
- 12) All concrete will be poured in dry conditions, or within confined waters not connected to surface waters, and will be allowed to cure a minimum of 7 days before contact with surface waters.
- 13) Concrete, mixing, pouring, and concrete truck cleanout areas will be established to properly contain wet concrete and washwater.
- 14) All fueling activities will occur more than 300 feet from the nearest wetland, drainage ditch, or surface water body.

Puget Sound chinook salmon are not expected to be in the action area during the in-water work window, and the direct and indirect effects of the action on habitat for PS Chinook Salmon are considered to be discountable or insignificant. NOAA Fisheries therefore concurs with your effect determination of "may affect, but not likely to adversely affect" for PS chinook salmon. NOAA Fisheries' concurrence is based on the description of activities and conservation measures identified above and included in the amended BA, and are contingent upon full implementation of the project and conservation measures as proposed.

The regulations (50 CFR 402.08) implementing section 7 of the ESA of 1973, as amended, allow a Federal agency to designate a non-Federal representative to conduct informal consultations or prepare BAs by giving written notice to the Director of such designation. NOAA Fisheries has received the letter written May 10, 1999 from Federal Highways Administration (FHWA), Gene Fong, Division Administrator, so designating WSDOT as their non-Federal representative. The ultimate responsibility for compliance with section 7 remains with FHWA.

This concludes informal consultation on these actions in accordance with 50 CFR 402.14(b)(1). The FHWA must re-analyze this ESA consultation: (1) if new information reveals effects of the action that may affect listed species in a way not previously considered; (2) if the action is modified in a manner that causes an effect to the listed species that was not previously considered; or (3) if a new species is listed or critical habitat designated that may be affected by the identified actions.

### **Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act**

Federal agencies are required, under section 305(b)(2) of the MSA and its implementing regulations (50 CFR 600 Subpart K), to consult with NOAA Fisheries regarding actions that are authorized, funded, or undertaken by that agency that may adversely affect EFH. The MSA (section 3) defines EFH as “those waters and substrate necessary to fish for spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity.” If an action would adversely affect EFH, NOAA Fisheries is required to provide the Federal action agency with EFH conservation recommendations (MSA section 305(b)(4)(A)). This consultation is based, in part, on information provided by the Federal action agency and descriptions of EFH for Pacific salmon contained in Appendix A to Amendment 14 to the Pacific Coast Salmon Plan (August 1999) developed by the Pacific Fishery Management Council and approved by the Secretary of Commerce (September 27, 2000).

The proposed project is described in the amended BA submitted by the WSDOT. The proposal encompasses habitats that have been designated as EFH for various life stages of Chinook and coho salmon (*O. kisutch*).


*EFH Conservation Recommendations:* Because the habitat requirements for the MSA-managed species in the action area are similar to those of the ESA-listed species, and because the conservation measures that FHWA/WSDOT included as part of the proposed action to address ESA concerns are also adequate to avoid, minimize, or otherwise offset potential adverse effects to designated EFH, conservation recommendations pursuant to MSA (section 305(b)(4)(A)) are not necessary. Since NOAA Fisheries is not providing conservation recommendations at this time, no 30-day response from FHWA/WSDOT is required (MSA section 305(b)(4)(B)).

This concludes consultation under the MSA. If the proposed action is modified in a manner that may adversely affect EFH, or if new information becomes available that affects the basis for NOAA Fisheries’ EFH conservation recommendations, FHWA/WSDOT will need to reinstate consultation in accordance with the implementing regulations for EFH at 50 CFR 600.920(l).



If you have any questions, please contact Sean Callahan of my staff at the Washington State Habitat Office at (206) 525-6121, by e-mail at [sean.callahan@noaa.gov](mailto:sean.callahan@noaa.gov), or by mail at the letterhead address.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert D. Lohn", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Robert D. Lohn  
Regional Administrator

cc: Paul Wagner, WSDOT HQ Biology  
Kimberly Farley, WSDOT UCO





STATE OF WASHINGTON

**Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation**

1063 S. Capitol Way, Suite 106 • PO Box 48343 • Olympia, Washington 98504-8343 • (360) 586-3065  
Fax Number (360) 586-3067 • <http://www.oahp.wa.gov>

June 8, 2004

Mr. Craig Holstine  
Department of Transportation  
310 Maple Park Avenue SE  
PO Box 47300  
Olympia, Washington 98504-7332

Log No.: 060804-08-FHWA  
Re: I-405 Congestion Relief & Bus Rapid Transit Projects  
No Federal Aid # Assigned

Dear Mr. Holstine:

We have reviewed the materials forwarded to our office for the proposed I-405 Congestion Relief & Bus Rapid Transit Projects in King County, Washington. Thank you for your description of the Area of Potential Effect (APE). We concur with your definition of the Area of Potential Effect. We look forward to the results of your consultation with the concerned tribes and receiving the survey report.

These comments are based on the information available at the time of this review and on behalf of the State Historic Preservation Officer for compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and its implementing regulations 36CFR800. We would appreciate receiving any correspondence or comments from concerned tribes or other parties that you receive as you consult under the requirements of 36CFR800.4(a)(4).

Should additional information become available, our assessment may be revised. In the event that archaeological or historic materials are discovered during project activities, work in the immediate vicinity should be discontinued, the area secured, and the tribe's cultural committee and this office notified. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Robert G. Whitlam, Ph.D.  
State Archaeologist  
(360) 586-3080  
email: [robw@cted.wa.gov](mailto:robw@cted.wa.gov)

ADMINISTERED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY, TRADE & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

RECEIVED

JUN 11 2004

ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS POINT PLAZA





STATE OF WASHINGTON

**Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation**

1063 S. Capitol Way, Suite 106 • Olympia, Washington 98501  
(Mailing Address) PO Box 48343 • Olympia, Washington 98504-8343  
(360) 586-3065 Fax Number (360) 586-3067

February 14, 2005

Ms. Connie Walker-Gray  
Cultural Resources Specialist  
WSDOT Urban Corridors  
600 108th Avenue NE  
Suite 405  
Bellevue, WA 98004

In future correspondence please refer to:  
Log: 021405-01-FHWA  
Property: I-405 Kirkland Nickel Project  
Re: Concur with APE

Dear Ms. Walker-Gray:

We have reviewed the materials forwarded to our office for the above referenced project. Thank you for your description of the area of potential effect for the project. We concur with the definition of the APE. We look forward to the results of your cultural resources survey efforts, your consultation with the concerned tribes, and receiving the survey report. We would appreciate receiving any correspondence or comments from concerned tribes or other parties that you receive as you consult under the requirements of 36CFR800.4(a)(4) and the survey report when it is available.

These comments are based on the information available at the time of this review and on behalf of the State Historic Preservation Officer in conformance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and its implementing regulations 36CFR800. Should additional information become available, our assessment may be revised. Please note that as of July 1, 2005, OAHP will be requiring the use of OAHP Archaeology Site Forms for all archaeological survey projects. You can obtain a copy of the Archaeology Site form from our website at [www.oahp.wa.gov](http://www.oahp.wa.gov). Also note that as of January 1, 2005, OAHP requires that all historic property inventory forms provided to our office be submitted in an electronic version using the Historic Property Inventory Database. Please call me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Russell Holter  
Project Compliance Reviewer  
(360) 586-3533  
[russellh@cted.wa.gov](mailto:russellh@cted.wa.gov)

ADMINISTERED BY DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY, TRADE & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT





STATE OF WASHINGTON

**Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation**

1063 S. Capitol Way, Suite 106 • Olympia, Washington 98501  
(Mailing Address) PO Box 48343 • Olympia, Washington 98504-8343  
(360) 586-3065 Fax Number (360) 586-3067

February 17, 2005

Ms. Christina Martinez  
Environmental Manager  
WSDOT Urban Corridors  
600 108th Avenue NE  
Suite 405  
Bellevue, WA 98004

In future correspondence please refer to:  
Log: 021405-01-FHWA  
Property: I-405 Kirkland Nickel Project  
Re: No Adverse Effect

Dear Ms. Martinez:

Thank you for contacting the Washington State Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (OAHP). The above referenced project has been reviewed on behalf of the State Historic Preservation Officer under provisions of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended) and 36 CFR Part 800. My review is based upon documentation contained in the communication sent to us by your Cultural Resources Specialist, Connie Walker-Gray, dated, February 10, 2005.

We concur with the findings of your consultant, HRA, that the George Shaw house located at 11807 Bothell-Woodinville Road, Bothell; and the Bell-Miller house located at 16017 Juanita-Woodinville Way NE (now demolished), are ELIGIBLE for inclusion to the National Register of Historic Places.

We also concur that the following properties are NOT ELIGIBLE:

Kearns property located at 124<sup>th</sup> St. NE, T27R05E Sect 30; Bothell  
Parsell house located at 11325 E Riverside Drive, Bothell  
Gorbes-Forster house 9258 Slater Ave NE, Kirkland  
Gorbes-Forster guesthouse 9258 Slater Ave NE, Kirkland

The Forbes Lake sunken dock and the Furney property are not historic properties. Furthermore, out-structures such as the Gorbes-Foster garage and the Kearns pig house need to be evaluated in the context of their associated structures, therefore, they cannot be evaluated for National Register eligibility on their own merits. Please have your consultants refer to the OAHP Site Type Guide when filling out historic property inventory forms. A copy of this guide can be found at our website: [www.oahp.wa.gov](http://www.oahp.wa.gov).

I concur that the current project, as proposed, will have "NO ADVERSE EFFECT" on National Register eligible or listed historic and cultural resources. If additional information on the project becomes available, or if any archaeological resources are uncovered during construction, please halt work in the area of discovery and contact the appropriate Native American Tribes and OAHP for further consultation.




Ms. Martinez  
February 17, 2005  
Page 2

Please note that as of January 1, 2005, OAHP requires that all historic property inventory forms provided to our office be submitted in an electronic version using the Historic Property Inventory Database. If you have not registered for a copy of the database, please log onto our website at [www.oahp.wa.gov](http://www.oahp.wa.gov) and go to the Survey/Inventory page for more information and a registration form. As of July 1, 2005, we will also require the use of OAHP Archaeology Site Forms for all archaeological survey projects. You can obtain the Archaeology Site form on our website as well.

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment. Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,



Russell Holter  
Project Compliance Reviewer  
(360) 586-3533  
[russellh@cted.wa.gov](mailto:russellh@cted.wa.gov)

## **Appendix E: List of Commitments**



# LIST OF COMMITMENTS IDENTIFIED IN THIS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

---

WSDOT has well-established design and construction practices for avoiding or minimizing impacts resulting from environmental conditions anticipated along the project alignment. The following sections describe the measures that WSDOT will include in the project to avoid or minimize impacts during construction and operation.

## ***Project Measures to Avoid or Minimize Effects During Construction***

Design elements such as boundaries of areas that can be impacted that have been incorporated into the project specifications, as well as construction plans and procedures, will avoid or minimize most potential construction impacts. When appropriate, monitoring will be conducted to ensure that these design and construction measures are effective.

## **Measures for Geology and Soils**

### ***Slope Stability and Landslide Areas***

- A large landslide feature was identified at the northern end of the project. The design geotechnical investigation will fully examine the landslide area and develop appropriate construction procedures to maintain or enhance slope stability.
- The contractor will be required to submit earthwork and wall placement sequencing plans, construction drainage plans, and a slope monitoring program.
- During construction, areas of observed or suspected groundwater seepage will be drained to reduce the risk of landslide and surface sloughing through the use of gravel drainage blankets, french drains, horizontal drains, and/or placement of a surface rock facing or similar methods.

### ***Soft Ground Areas***

- During the design process, geotechnical engineers will assess potential settlement problems associated with existing utilities or structures. If deemed necessary, structures could be underpinned and utilities relocated or made more flexible. In cases where it is an acceptable solution, the settlement will be allowed, with repairs made after settlement is complete. When appropriate, project engineers will conduct pre-construction surveys and monitor construction settlements.
- Construction vibration, particularly generated by driven pile installation (if allowed by resource agencies), large diameter drilled pier installation, and any required ground improvement, can cause settlement of adjacent areas underlain by loose granular soils. Project engineers will identify these areas during the

design phase. The contractor will be required to develop the means and methods to avoid or minimize settlement.

#### *Erosion*

- The contractor will be required to prepare and implement a temporary erosion and sedimentation control (TESC) plan.
- Should any BMP or other operation not function as intended, the contractor will take additional action to minimize erosion, maintain water quality, and achieve the intended environmental performance.

#### **Measures for Water Resources**

Several measures will be incorporated into construction plans and specifications to reduce effects to water resources.

#### *Groundwater*

- Groundwater will be protected with the use of standard best management practices (BMPs).
- A TESC plan and a SPCC plan will be prepared and implemented.
- The contractor will be required to take added measures during construction within the Kirkland Well Field's Wellhead Protection Area to protect the area, such as prohibition of fuel and chemical storage and refueling operations. Also, construction specifications will require stormwater collection with either a lined or piped conveyance system within the Wellhead Protection Area. Stormwater will be directed and discharged outside of the Kirkland Wellhead Protection Area to prevent any possible degradation of water quality. No permanent stormwater facilities will be constructed in the Kirkland Wellhead Protection Area.
- The contractor will identify and develop staging areas for equipment repair and maintenance away from all drainage courses. Washout from concrete trucks will not be dumped into storm drains or onto soil or pavement that carries stormwater runoff. Thinners and solvents will not be used to wash oil, grease, or similar substances from heavy machinery or machine parts. The contractor will be required to designate a washdown area for equipment and concrete trucks.

#### *Measures for Water Quality*

- The contractor will identify and develop staging areas for equipment repair and maintenance away from all drainage courses. Washout from concrete trucks will not be dumped into storm drains or onto soil or pavement that carries stormwater runoff. Thinners and solvents will not be used to wash oil, grease, or similar substances from heavy machinery or machine parts. The contractor will be required to designate a washdown area for equipment and concrete trucks.

## Measures for Wetlands

The following activities will be undertaken to avoid or minimize effects to wetlands:

- WSDOT and the contractor will protect, preserve, and enhance the wetlands in the project area during the planning, construction, and operation of transportation facilities and projects consistent with USDOT Order 5660.1A; Executive Order 11990 and Governor's Executive Orders EO 89-10 and EO 90-04.
- The project will follow guidance contained in the WSDOT *Environmental Procedures Manual* (WSDOT, 2004a), which outlines the issues and actions to be addressed prior to authorizing work that could affect wetlands.
- The contractor will use high-visibility fencing to clearly mark wetlands to be avoided in the construction area.
- Project-level design and environmental review has included avoidance, minimization, restoration, and compensation of wetlands. The contractor will implement these measures to reduce temporal losses of wetland functions.

Three sites (Exhibit 5-40) will be used to provide the required wetland mitigation to replace filled wetlands. These sites provide adequate area according to replacement ratios of each jurisdiction to fully mitigate for the filled wetlands.

The sites selected for mitigation are:

- Property on the west side of Forbes Lake – WSDOT will use 2.9 acres of acquired property for mitigation. After wetland mitigation has been constructed and monitored, the private property will be deeded to the City of Kirkland.
- Property on the east side of Forbes Lake – WSDOT will use 4.5 acres of City of Kirkland property for mitigation.
- Property south of Thrashers Regional Park – WSDOT will acquire 4.7 acres of private property west of SR 527 (Bothell-Everett Highway) and north of 214th Street SE. After wetland mitigation has been constructed and monitored, the acquired property will be deeded to the City of Bothell.

## Measures for Wildlife and Upland Vegetation

The mitigation measures established in the *I-405 Corridor EIS* will be used for implementation of the Kirkland Nickel Project.

- The contractor will be required to prepare and implement a revegetation plan that has been approved by WSDOT. In addition, areas with mixed forest will not be removed for temporary use (i.e., construction staging). If the contractor must permanently remove an area of mixed forest for roadway construction, it will be replaced with plantings of native tree and shrub species (acre for acre) within the affected area.
- The contractor will adhere to project conditions identified in the Biological Assessment and agency concurrence letters.

## Measures for Fish and Aquatic Resources

The following measures will be followed to avoid or minimize effects to fish and aquatic resources during construction:

- The contractor will be required to implement construction BMPs (such as silt fencing or sedimentation ponds) and to avoid disturbing sensitive areas during the development and use of any staging areas, access roads, and turnouts associated with resurfacing activities.
- The contractor will not allow any in-water work to occur except during seasonal work windows established to protect fish.
- The fish-friendly culvert or bridge constructed at Forbes Creek will restore fish passage beneath the freeway. Approximately 7,500 linear feet of stream between the freeway and Forbes Lake will become available for fish use.
- If conditions allow, the contractor will use bio-engineering techniques at new stormwater outfalls near Yarrow Creek, Juanita Creek, Forbes Creek, and the Sammamish River.
- New stormwater discharged to Forbes Creek will be conveyed to Forbes Creek via existing stormwater conveyances so no new outfalls (requiring grading or filling with bank-stabilizing or energy-dissipating riprap) will be constructed in Forbes Creek.
- If the width of the road prism<sup>1</sup> increases to accommodate the wider span of roadway at Forbes Creek and at Stream KL8, retaining walls (headwalls) will be constructed at the culvert inlet and outlet to minimize the amount of grading and filling.
- The detention pond on the west side of I-405 will be sited at a sufficient distance south of Forbes Creek so no grading or filling in Forbes Creek or its stream-side zone will be required.
- The combined stormwater treatment wetland/ detention to be constructed near Riverside Drive will be sited at a sufficient distance from both the Sammamish River and the unnamed stream KL14 (at Riverside Drive) so no grading or filling in the streams or the stream-side zones will be required.

## Measures for Air Quality

Measures to reduce air quality emissions during construction were discussed in the *I-405 Corridor EIS*. The measures applicable to the Kirkland Nickel Project are summarized here.

---

<sup>1</sup> The portion of the highway between the ditch lines, curb lines, or toe of fill lines.



Fugitive dust will be controlled by the contractor in accordance with the Memorandum of Agreement between WSDOT and PSCAA Regarding Control of Fugitive Dust from Construction Projects (October 1999).

The following measures will be used to control dust (PM<sub>10</sub>), transmission of particulate matter, and emissions of CO and NO<sub>x</sub> during construction:

- Exposed soil will be sprayed with water to reduce emissions of PM<sub>10</sub> and deposition of particulate matter.
- All truck loads will be covered, and materials in trucks will be wetted or providing adequate freeboard (space from the top of the material to the top of the truck) to reduce PM<sub>10</sub> and deposition of particulates during transport.
- Wheel washers will be provided to remove particulate matter that would otherwise be carried off site by vehicles to decrease deposition of particulate matter on area roadways.
- Particulate matter deposited on public roads will be removed to reduce mud on area roadways.
- Dirt, gravel, and debris piles will be covered or wetted during periods of high wind when the stockpiles are not in use.
- Construction trucks will be routed and scheduled to reduce travel delays and unnecessary fuel consumption.

### **Measures for Noise**

To reduce construction noise at nearby receptors, the following measures will be incorporated into construction plans and specifications:

- Erecting noise berms and barriers prior to other construction activities to provide noise shielding;
- Limiting the noisiest construction activities, such as pile driving (if allowed by resource agencies), to between 7 AM and 10 PM to reduce construction noise levels during sensitive nighttime hours;
- Outfitting construction equipment engines with adequate mufflers, intake silencers, and engine enclosures to reduce their noise by 5 to 10 dBA (US EPA, 1971);
- Turning off construction equipment during prolonged periods of nonuse to eliminate noise;
- Requiring contractors to maintain all equipment and train their equipment operators in good practices to reduce noise levels;
- Locating stationary equipment away from receiving properties to decrease noise;

- Constructing temporary noise barriers or curtains around stationary equipment that must be located close to residences, to decrease noise levels at nearby sensitive receptors;
- Requiring resilient bed liners in dump trucks to be loaded on site during nighttime hours; and
- Requiring contractors to use OSHA-approved ambient sound-sensing backup alarms that could reduce disturbances from backup alarms during quieter periods.

New noise walls will be constructed at five locations provided that adjacent residents agree and that wall construction is feasible from an engineering perspective (see Exhibits 4-2 and 5-8).

- Along the eastern edge of the I-405 right-of-way along the NE 160th Street northbound on-ramp to 118th Avenue NE. The noise wall (NW1) will be approximately 1,280 feet long and 20 feet high.
- Along the western edge of the I-405 right-of-way between NE 132nd Street and 113th Avenue NE. The noise wall (NW3) will be approximately 1,680 feet long and 18 feet high.
- Along the western edge of the I-405 right-of-way between the north end of the existing wall west of I-405 in the NE 95th Street vicinity and NE 100th Street. The noise wall (NW4) will be approximately 920 feet long and 16 feet high and have no gap between it and the existing noise wall.
- Along the eastern edge of the I-405 right-of-way between NE 80th Street and the off-ramp to NE 85th Street. The noise wall (NW7) will be approximately 735 feet long and 20 feet high.
- Along the eastern edge of the I-405 right-of-way between NE 60th Street and the existing noise wall south of NE 67th Place. The noise wall (NW8) will be approximately 500 feet long and 18 feet high and have no gaps between it and existing noise walls.

Noise walls will be relocated at:

- Along the western edge of the I-405 right-of-way between NE 144th Street and the vicinity of NE 149th Street. The noise wall (NW2) will be approximately 1,565 feet long and 16 feet high.
- Along the eastern edge of the I-405 right-of-way between the end of the northbound on-ramp at the NE 85th Street interchange and NE 97th Street. The noise wall (NW5) will be approximately 1,325 feet long and 16 feet high and have no gaps between it and the remaining existing noise wall.

- In the vicinity of NE 92nd Street on the west side of I-405 where the existing noise wall was constructed in a depression, the new section of noise wall (NW6) will be 390 feet long and 16 to 20 feet high.
- Along the western edge of the I-405 right-of-way between NE 53rd Street and NE 65th Street. The noise wall (NW9) will be approximately 700 feet long and 8 feet high and have no gaps between it and the remaining existing noise walls. The replacement wall will be situated closer to the right-of-way line.

## **Measures for Hazardous Materials**

### *Known or Suspected Contamination within the Project Right of Way*

- The contractor will prepare a spill prevention control and countermeasure (SPCC) plan that provides specific guidance for managing contaminated media that may be encountered within the right of way.
- WSDOT may be responsible for the remediation and monitoring of contaminated properties that will be acquired for this project. In such cases, WSDOT will further evaluate the identified properties to assess their condition before acquisition or construction occurs.
- Prior to construction, the contractor will have a thorough asbestos containing materials/lead-based paint (ACM/LBP) building survey completed by a certified building inspector on all property structures that will be acquired and/or demolished.
- If WSDOT acquires a portion or all of a property (building, structure) suspected of containing ACM/LBP, the contractor will properly abate and dispose of any existing ACM and LBP contamination prior to construction activities. Depending on the concentration of lead in the demolition debris, some debris may need to be disposed of as dangerous waste, which will require Ecology to be notified and that appropriate regulations are followed.
- If the contractor encounters an underground storage tank (UST) within the right of way, WSDOT will assume cleanup liability for the appropriate decommissioning and removal of the UST. If this occurs, WSDOT and the contractor will follow all applicable rules and regulations associated with UST removal activities.
- Construction waste material, such as concrete or other harmful materials' disposal/treatment, will take place at approved sites.
- WSDOT may acquire the responsibility for cleanup of any soil or groundwater contamination encountered during construction within WSDOT right of way. Contamination will be evaluated relative to Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) cleanup levels.
- The contractor will be required to meet all regulatory conditions imposed at contaminated properties (e.g., Consent Decree) associated with construction.

These conditions could include ensuring that the surrounding properties and population are not exposed to the contaminants on the site; i.e., the contractor will ensure that the site is properly contained so that the health and safety of all on-site personnel are protected during work at the site and after construction so that contaminants will not migrate offsite.

- WSDOT will consider entering into a pre-purchaser's agreement for the purposes of indemnifying WSDOT against acquiring the responsibility for any long-term cleanup and monitoring costs.

#### *Known or Suspected Contamination Outside of the Project Right of Way*

- Contaminated groundwater originating from properties located up-gradient of the right of way could migrate to the project area. WSDOT generally will not incur liability for groundwater contamination that has migrated into the project footprint as long as the agency does not acquire the source of the contamination. However, WSDOT will manage the contaminated media in accordance with all applicable rules and regulations.

#### *Unknown Contamination*

- If WSDOT acquires a property that has unknown contamination, the agency could incur liability for any contamination discovered after acquisition, as well as liability for the removal of any stored materials remaining onsite at the time of the acquisition. WSDOT could be responsible for cleanup or disposal of these unknown substances, for example, USTs and contaminated media (including ACM and LBP). If unknown contamination is discovered during construction, the contractor will follow the SPCC plan as well as all appropriate regulations.

#### *Worker and Public Health and Safety*

The contractor will comply with the following regulations and agreements:

- State Dangerous Waste Regulations (Chapter 173-303 WAC);
- Safety Standards for Construction Work (Chapter 296-155 WAC);
- National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) (Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Volume 5, Parts 61 to 71);
- General Occupational Health Standards (Chapter 296-62 WAC); and
- Implementing Agreement between Ecology and WSDOT Concerning Hazardous Waste Management (April 1993).

#### *Hazardous Materials Spills During Construction*

- The contractor will prepare and implement a SPCC plan to minimize or avoid effects on soil, surface water, and groundwater as described in Chapter 5.9, Water Resources.

### **Measures for Traffic and Transportation**

- The contractor will prepare and implement a traffic management plan prior to making any changes to the traffic flow. The public, school districts, and emergency service providers will be informed of the changes ahead of time through a public information process.
- Prior to and during construction, WSDOT will implement strategies to manage the demand on transportation infrastructure. These transportation demand management strategies will form an important part of the construction management program and will be aimed at increasing public awareness and participation in HOV travel.

### **Measures for Visual Quality**

- The contractor will follow the I-405 Urban Design Criteria being developed as part of the Context Sensitive Solutions program. Where local terrain and placement of light poles allow, the contractor will reduce light and glare effects by shielding roadway lighting and using downcast lighting so light sources will not be directly visible from residential areas and local streets.
- The contractor will restore (revegetate) construction areas in phases rather than waiting for the entire project to be completed.

### **Measures for Communities, Neighborhoods, and Businesses**

To reduce the effects of construction activities on neighborhoods and businesses, the following measures will be incorporated into construction plans and specifications.

#### ***Communities and neighborhoods***

- The contractor will be required to prepare and implement a traffic management plan (TMP). If local streets must be temporarily closed during construction, detour routes will be provided and clearly marked with signs.
- The contractor will coordinate with the school districts before construction. The TMP will be implemented and coordinated with all emergency services organizations prior to any construction activity.
- The contractor will coordinate with utility providers prior to construction to identify conflicts and resolve the conflicts prior to or during construction.

#### ***Businesses***

- The contractor will be required to maintain access to businesses throughout the construction period.
- Because it can be difficult to determine whether a business is open, or how to access the site during the construction period, the contractor will make provisions for posting appropriate signs to communicate the necessary information to potential customers.

- The contractor will keep daytime street closures to a minimum.
- In those situations where it is necessary to acquire property, WSDOT will conform to the requirements set forth in accordance with the Federal Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended and implemented by FHWA under 49 CFR Part 24, and according to Chapter 468-100 WAC Uniform Relocation and Assistance and Real Property Acquisition. This will ensure just compensation of all properties and have a minimal effect on the current owners and residents. Relocation resources are available, without discrimination, to all eligible residential and business relocates.
- WSDOT will prepare a relocation plan in advance of actual displacements. Additional information will be collected, possibly through property owner interviews, to identify the specific needs of any business that will be relocated.

### **Measures for Public Services and Utilities**

WSDOT will coordinate several efforts with the contractor prior to and during construction of the project. These efforts will ensure that:

- The contractor will prepare and implement a traffic management plan (TMP). Signs will be posted to show detour routes if periods of closure are needed.
- Coordination with the school districts will occur before construction. The TMP will be implemented and coordinated with all emergency services providers prior to any construction activity.
- Coordination with utility service providers will identify conflicts and resolve them prior to or during construction.
- Prior to removal of the park-and-ride facility at NE 116th Street and 112th Avenue NE, signs will be posted at the lot to announce closure, and the location of nearby lots will be identified.
- Potential utility conflicts within WSDOT right of way will be relocated at the utility's expense prior to construction.

### **Measures for Recreational and Cultural Resources**

- WSDOT will prepare an Unanticipated Discovery Plan for the project that the contractor will be required to follow. This will avoid or minimize effects to historic, cultural, and archaeological resources.

### ***Project Measures to Avoid or Minimize Effects During Operation***

WSDOT has well-established design, operational, and maintenance practices for managing long-term operation issues associated with the types of soil, geologic, and groundwater conditions anticipated along the project alignment. The following sections describe the measures that WSDOT will implement during operation.

## **Measures for Water Resources**

### *Groundwater*

- The SPCC plan will address the project's long-term operational phases. Permanent stormwater collection, conveyance, and discharge systems will capture and control spills and prevent contamination of the groundwater aquifers.

### *Water Quality*

- Permanent controls for the mitigation or containment of spills will be provided for new pavement (or equivalent pavement areas) within the project area. Stormwater treatment facilities for flow control and water quality runoff treatment will provide successive levels of protection for downstream conveyance systems by intercepting and retaining spilled contaminants. Subsequent maintenance activities would remove the contaminants from the treatment facilities and restore normal operation to the system.
- Scheduled maintenance programs developed for the stormwater treatment system will include provisions for the regular removal of contaminants and restoration of treatment operations.
- Oil and other petroleum products will be removed with oil treatment facilities.

## **Measures for Fish and Aquatic Resources**

The following measures will be used to avoid or minimize impacts to fish and aquatic resources during operation of the project:

- Stormwater will be controlled so peak and base flows in Yarrow Creek, Forbes Creek, Juanita Creek, and Sammamish River are not adversely affected by treated stormwater discharge from the expanded impervious surface areas created by the project. The sheet flow from the roadway surfaces will be captured and held in detention facilities prior to its controlled discharge into streams within the same drainage basin. As a result, peak and base stream flows will not be adversely affected by the increase in impervious surfaces.
- Off-site flow to unnamed stream KL14 will be managed so peak and base flows are not adversely affected by the new stormwater treatment and detention facilities in the vicinity of this stream.
- Ongoing maintenance of stormwater treatment and detention facilities will not include the application of any chemical weed control agents (e.g., herbicides).



